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Abstract

Objectives—Measurement of changes in patients' perceptions of how differing states of health affect their lives and determination of the ability of preoperative variables to predict outcome after coronary artery bypass grafting.

Design—Prospective study with completion of questionnaires before coronary artery bypass grafting and at three months, one year, and five years afterwards.

Setting—Regional cardiothoracic centre.

Patients—100 Male patients all aged below 60 at the time of operation, who were patients of two cardiothoracic surgeons.

Main outcome measures—Patients’ assessment of their health state in terms of functional capacity and aspects of distress, according to the Nottingham health profile and outcome of operation in terms of changes in symptoms, working life, and daily activities determined by self completed study questionnaires before operation and at three and six months afterwards.

Results—Intermediate one year results are reported. The differences between the Nottingham health profile scores before operation and at three months afterwards were significantly different (p<0·01), indicating an appreciable improvement in general health state, and at one year compared favourably with those from a normal male population. Analysis of responses to the study questionnaire showed that 65 of 89 patients (73%) were working at one year after operation with a further seven (8%) maintaining that they were fit to work but unable to find employment. The proportion of patients complaining of chest pain fell from 90% (88/98) before grafting to 19% (17/89) at one year after coronary artery bypass grafting, when 91% (81/89) patients maintained that their condition was either completely better or definitely improved. The significant positive factors affecting return to work and home activities were working before operation, short wait for operation, absence of breathlessness, and low physical mobility score in the Nottingham health profile (all p<0·001).

Conclusions—Improvements were evident in general health state, symptoms, and activity at three months and one year after coronary artery bypass graft surgery. Interventions likely to influence outcomes included reduction in waiting times for operation; rehabilitation initiatives; and more attention to the quality of information given to patients, their relatives, and the community.

Introduction

The measurement of outcome of treatment for the patient is the keystone of modern scientific medicine, and its importance is being recognised throughout clinical practice, particularly when innovative, costly, or invasive treatments are evaluated or reviewed. Survival rates, test results, return to work figures, and clinical judgment of outcome have been the prevalent methods, mainly because they are more easily measured. Recently, however, the emphasis has been more towards assessing outcome in terms of patients' perceptions of changes in their state of health over time. Both approaches are important and should complement each other.

At this hospital a Department of Health and Social Security study of the costs and benefits of heart transplantation during 1982-4, which included a comparison group of patients with coronary artery bypass grafts, formed the background to the development of this long term prospective study. A questionnaire to accompany the Nottingham health profile was developed from two sources: an extensive literature search for reports on outcome studies of coronary artery bypass graft surgery and the experience gained by the research team of the Department of Health and Social Security in their interviews with heart transplant patients. The ability to compare results in patients undergoing heart transplantation and coronary artery bypass operations was an important consideration, which is reported elsewhere.

Patients and methods

One hundred male patients aged below 60 at the time of operation were included in the study: all were patients of two cardiothoracic surgeons and were not otherwise selected. Their mean age was 51 (SD 6), range 37-59; 77 had three vessel disease and 84 needed three bypass grafts or more. The 100 patients were not consecutive cases, owing to problems of continuity arising from changes in junior surgical staffing during this period. Emergency admissions were excluded because of the need to obtain data before surgery.

On admission for coronary artery bypass grafting the patients' consent to the study was obtained, and the first questionnaires were completed. At three months and 12 months after surgery further questionnaires were sent to the patients by post, after we had first contacted their general practitioners to ensure that to their knowledge the patients were still alive. In the event of a delay in the return of the questionnaires the patient was contacted by telephone.

The purpose designed questionnaire was six pages long and largely comprised questions with yes/no answers, although space was allowed for additional details and comments. Questions were divided into five sections: working life, financial aspects, assessment of symptoms, and daily activities, plus a section on the overall quality of life, expectations before operation, and any problems or benefits experienced afterwards.

The Nottingham health profile was developed by a team in the department of community health at
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Nottingham University in the late 1970s. Used widely in studies in the United Kingdom, it has proved sensitive to a wide range of health states and is acceptable to patients when used regularly over a prolonged period. The profile is divided into two parts. The first consists of 38 statements that convey limitations of activity or aspects of distress in six dimensions: physical mobility, pain, sleep, energy, social isolation, and emotional reactions. Patients are required to indicate by yes/no answer which of the problems they are experiencing at the time of completing the questionnaire. A score ranging from 0 to 100 may be calculated for each dimension of this part of the profile; the higher the score, the greater the limitations in activity or the distressing social and emotional problems. The second part lists the seven aspects of life which the Nottingham team found were most affected by a person’s state of health: occupation, ability to perform jobs around the house, social life, home relationships, sex life, hobbies, and holidays; a yes/no answer indicates which areas are affected by the respondent’s present state of health.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

Statistical analysis of the data obtained from the profile was performed using non-parametric tests. For comparisons before and after coronary artery bypass grafting Wilcoxon’s matched pairs signed ranks test was used for scores in the first part of the profile and McNemar’s test for the responses in the second. Scores obtained after coronary artery bypass grafting were compared against expected scores for a normal population with the same age and sex characteristics by Wilcoxon matched pairs signed ranks test. Associations between outcome and variables measured before artery bypass grafting were assessed with the $\chi^2$ test for contingency tables with Yates’s correction. Differences between outcome groups in terms of scores according to the Nottingham health profile were assessed with Wilcoxon’s rank sum test.

To investigate the ability of variables measured preoperatively to predict outcome, in terms of return to work and home and leisure activities, and to study them in a multivariate setting discriminant analysis was used. The Nottingham health profile scores were categorised as above and below twice the expected score for a normal population having the same age and sex structure as that of our sample. With discriminant analysis each indicant is given a “value” for or against the outcome of interest—for example, return to work in the first year—termed a “weight of evidence.” A positive value indicates evidence for the outcome of interest, and a negative value evidence against. If the sum of all the values passes a preset threshold then the patient is classified as having more than a 50% chance of having the outcome of interest, and if the threshold is not reached there is less than a 50% chance of the patient having that outcome.

Results

Preoperatively four of the 100 patients failed to complete the questionnaires, two the Nottingham health profile, and two the purpose designed questionnaire. Both questionnaires were completed by 91 respondents at three months and 89 respondents at one year after coronary artery bypass grafting. All but two patients were known to be alive one year after coronary artery bypass grafting; these could not be traced and were thought to have moved away from the area. The other non-respondents were contacted by telephone but still failed to return the questionnaires.

NOTTINGHAM HEALTH PROFILE

The mean scores from the first part of the profile gave a general impression of the state of health of patients before and after coronary artery bypass grafting (fig 1). The lower mean scores after grafting in all six dimensions indicated an overall improvement in quality of life. This was further supported by the statistical analysis of profiles completed by the same individual patients before operation and at three months after- wards. By Wilcoxon’s matched pairs signed ranks test significant differences were apparent in all six dimensions of the first part of the profile ($p<0.01$).

Table I shows the mean scores from the profiles completed at one year after operation compared with those from a normal male population (2173 randomly selected men aged 50-59 from general practice) in the 50-59 year age group. Apart from a slightly higher score in the dimension of sleep, the scores seemed similar and proved to be so when tested statistically.

Figure 2 shows the proportion of patients indicating that their current state of health was causing problems in seven aspects of daily living, before and after operation. There was a general reduction in affirmative responses at three months after operation, which was highly significant for all seven aspects of life by McNemar’s test for paired comparisons ($p<0.001$).

QUESTIONNAIRE

Working life

Half of the patients were still at work in the immediate preoperative period. All patients were asked about their usual form of employment and about any changes in their working lives since the onset of illness. The ratio of manual to non-manual occupations was 55% (54 patients) to 43% (42); two patients failed to indicate their type of employment. Some men’s jobs had changed because of their illness, with 37 doing lighter work and 17 working shorter hours, but only 11 had actually changed employment. Three months after operation 39 of the 91 patients replying (43%) were back at work, and by one year the figure was 65 of 89 (73%). Of the 49 patients who were working before bypass grafting, 39 (80%) were known to have returned

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimensions</th>
<th>Patients (n=89)</th>
<th>Normal male population</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Aged 50-54</td>
<td>Aged 55-59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical mobility</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy</td>
<td>12.1</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social isolation</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emotional reactions</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>10.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2173 Randomly selected men aged 50-59 from general practice.
to work by one year after operation. Of the 65 patients working at one year, 39 (60%) were in manual occupations and 26 (40%) in non-manual occupations; 14 (22%) were doing a different job from that before grafting. Further differences in type of job after operation included lighter work (12 (18%) patients) and fewer hours (10 (15%)). Fewer patients were enjoying work “very much” (33 (51%) v 67 (68%) preoperatively), but more were finding it enjoyable “on the whole” (26 (40%) v 27 (28%) preoperatively). Of the 24 patients who were not working at one year, seven (29%) were planning to return to work, and a further seven stated that they were fit to work but could not find a job. Fifteen (63%) believed that their history of heart disease had made getting a job more difficult.

We examined several variables that might affect patients’ ability or opportunity to work or to return to work.

Univariate analysis of return to work—Breathlessness was the symptom most strongly associated with patients’ ability to work. Of the 49 patients who were not working preoperatively, 36 (74%) had breathlessness compared with 26 (53%) of the 49 who were working (p=0.0593). At three months after operation breathlessness and chest tightness were significantly more prevalent among the non-workers (23/52 (44%) v 4/39 (10%), p<0.01; 12/52 (23%) v 2/39 (5%), p<0.01). At one year after operation a significantly higher proportion of non-workers were experiencing all symptoms, with breathlessness showing the most

**TABLE II—Symptoms among patients working or not working before and after coronary artery bypass grafting. Figures are numbers (percentage) of patients**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Before grafting</th>
<th>Three months after grafting</th>
<th>One year after grafting</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working (n=49)</td>
<td>Not working (n=49)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Working (n=39)</td>
<td>Not working (n=52)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Breathlessness</td>
<td>26 (53)</td>
<td>36 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest tightness</td>
<td>30 (61)</td>
<td>36 (73)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>45 (88)</td>
<td>45 (92)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>21 (43)</td>
<td>21 (43)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**TABLE III—Discriminant coefficients for variables measured before coronary artery bypass grafting that affected subsequent return to work and home activities**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discriminatory variables</th>
<th>Time last worked (months)</th>
<th>Waiting time for operation (months)</th>
<th>Quality of life</th>
<th>Breathlessness</th>
<th>Physical mobility score</th>
<th>Discriminant threshold</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-6</td>
<td>6-12</td>
<td>&gt;12</td>
<td>0-1</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>&gt;3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to work by one year*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2-99</td>
<td>4-18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Return to unrestricted home by one year†</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0-90</td>
<td>1-80</td>
<td>1-09</td>
<td>2-18</td>
<td>2-25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* p<0.0001 For all discriminatory variables.
† p<0.001 For all discriminatory variables.
these symptoms were evident was different before and after grafting. Before grafting 48% (46/96) of patients who had symptoms did so at rest whereas at one year after grafting climbing stairs, running, or heavy lifting brought on symptoms for 68% (25/37) of patients (fig 5).

Patients' assessment of outcome

Patients gave an overview of the effect of the operation on their condition on a scale from "worse" to "completely better." By one year after grafting 81 (91%) of 89 patients said that they were either "completely better" or "definitely improved." Patients were also asked to rate their overall quality of life before and after grafting on a scale from 0 to 10, with higher figures representing a better quality of life. As figure 6 shows, most patients rated their quality of life preoperatively in the middle range, between 4 and 7, whereas one year after grafting 62 patients gave a rating between 8 and 10.

Daily activities

The aspects of daily life included in the questionnaire were home, leisure, and social activities. Patients were asked to specify their usual activities under these headings and to say to what degree each had been affected by their state of health before bypass grafting and at three months and one year afterwards. Figure 7 summarises the results from those patients who indicated that these areas of activity were part of their daily lives. The proportion of patients who experienced no restrictions in looking after the home increased from 17/91 (19%) before grafting to 70/85 (82%) one year afterwards. Similar changes were noted in recreational pursuits and social life with the proportion of patients experiencing normal activity rising from 5% (4/84) to 82% (58/71) and 19% (13/70) to 88% (64/73) respectively.

Univariate analysis of return to unrestricted activity

In home activities low scores according to the Nottingham health profile before grafting were significantly associated with fewer restrictions at one year after operation in three dimensions: physical mobility (p<0.01), energy, and sleep (both p<0.05). No association could be found between the scores before grafting and return to leisure activities. For social life, however, unrestricted activity at three months was significantly associated with lower scores before grafting in four dimensions: physical mobility, pain, social isolation (p<0.05), and emotional reactions (p<0.01).

At one year after grafting an unrestricted social life was significantly associated with lower scores for physical mobility before grafting (p<0.01). Work history before grafting was again an important factor. The shorter the non-working time the less restricted patients were at one year after operation in home (p<0.01), leisure (p<0.01), and social (p<0.001) activities. Breathlessness as a symptom before grafting was significantly associated with more restrictions in home activities at one year afterwards (p<0.01). A low quality of life rating before grafting indicated a significantly greater chance of being restricted in hobbies at three months (p<0.001), in home activities at one year (p<0.05), and in social activities at three months (p<0.05) and one year (p<0.01).

Predictors of return to unrestricted activity—Because so few patients experienced restrictions in leisure and social activities before surgery it was not possible to consider a multivariate analysis. In the discriminant analysis of preoperative factors affecting return to unrestricted home life at one year the significant factors were working preoperatively, waiting time, quality of life assessment, and breathlessness (all p<0.001). The ideal patient for return to unrestricted activity worked up until the operation, waited less than one month, had no breathlessness, and rated his or her quality of life as good. Departures from this ideal increased the weight of evidence for restricted home life. If the total exceeded 6.13 for any patient that patient had a greater than 50% chance of having restrictions in home life postoperatively. With this method of classifying patients into those with restricted and unrestricted activity the sensitivity and specificity were 69-2% and 83.3% respectively (table III).

Problems

A proportion of patients had experienced problems as well as benefits after graft surgery, but for many these were temporary. Some of the commonest physical problems, mentioned by 25 (28%) of patients at
three months and 23 (26%) at one year were muscle stiffness; pain in the chest or leg; gain in weight, sometimes associated with giving up smoking; sexual limitations; tiredness; and the inability to do heavy manual work, particularly lifting. Behavioural problems, experienced by 5 (6%) patients at three months and 6 (7%) at one year included irritability, lack of concentration, and relationship problems with those who treated them like an "invalid." In several cases problems had been experienced with employers and insurance companies: this was true for 3 (3%) patients at three months and 6 (7%) at one year. Interestingly, all except two patients who specified problems also described the benefits they had experienced from their surgery. Apart from the obvious delight expressed by many patients at their return to a normal way of life, patients also alluded to an increased feeling of security about the future and believed that their life expectancy had been extended.

Discussion

When referred to this hospital for possible coronary artery bypass graft surgery, patients are assessed for their suitability on the criteria of severity and distribution of disease, the extent and severity of symptoms, and the effects of these clinical factors on their quality of life. No structured rehabilitation programme is offered to patients after grafting; they are seen by their surgeon six weeks after the operation and by their cardiologist at three months. Advice is given on reduction of risk factors; taking exercise; following a healthy diet, including reducing intake of cholesterol; and not smoking. Before operation patients are told that they can expect to return to normal activity, including work, at two to three months after their operation; this message is confirmed at the follow up clinics.

Our figure for return to work of 73% (65 patients) at one year is better than the results from several recent studies in Europe and the United States. In a report from Oxford in 1987, 46% of 79 men aged below 65 were working before bypass grafting and 56% of 71 were working at one year after surgery. In studies over the past 10 years or so the percentage of patients returning to paid employment has continued to vary greatly, and several factors have been associated with rates of return to work. Whether or not a patient is working and the length of time out of work before grafting are two of the most commonly quoted factors. Age, type of employment, symptoms severe enough to limit activity, and length of time waiting for operation have all been shown to affect postoperative working state.

The study population comprised men aged below 60 at the time of operation, who were engaged in a whole range of types of employment; 77 had three vessel disease and 84 needed three or more grafts. There was therefore no particular selection bias in our study population in terms of social class or severity of disease. Our selection of all male patients aged below 60 was to allow better comparison with heart transplant patients.

Reducing waiting times to a minimum would be one positive move likely to affect outcome in terms of return to work and other activity. Another recently advocated intervention is a physical rehabilitation programme designed to encourage patients to fulfill their potential after operation. Links between psychological factors and return to activity have led to demands for greater attention to patients' psychological attitudes for wellbeing. Such measures require mainly non-surgical staff time and fairly modest facilities and therefore have fewer economic or political implications than the reduction of waiting lists, thus making them perhaps more practical propositions for immediate and effective intervention.

In the 1980s reports on the outcome of coronary artery bypass grafting included return to work as only one of several factors considered important to the success of the procedure. Attention centred increasingly on the functional measures of general activity and on patients' own assessment of their quality of life and satisfaction with outcome. This study propounds the advantages of a prospective design, following the same group of patients from before grafting to long term follow up, and using at least one measure that allows comparison with a general population.

It might be argued that the fact that patients' knowledge of their participation in a trial of this kind might lead to bias in the results owing to patients exaggerating their assessment of improvement after operation to please their doctors. This is a common problem, for which it is difficult to make adjustments, either in the design of the study or in the analysis of results. Return to work after operation is a fairly objective measure of wellbeing whereas other self assessments of return to activity may be more open to distortion. However, as the improvements in the scores of the Nottingham health profile, were so overwhelming the underlying improvements are probably real.

The results provide evidence of clear benefit to a high proportion of patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting in terms of their own assessment of their functional ability and general wellbeing before
surgery and up to one year afterwards. We are currently investigating these patients again at five years from operation to report on the longer term outcome. Combined with the excellent survival data on patients with bypass grafts in various centres,10 11 our evidence supports the argument that resources allocated to this form of intervention are well spent. For this conclusion to be universal, however, every major centre should evaluate its own work. Continued monitoring of results is important in itself but particularly so when major changes in practice have occurred or are being contemplated. Extending the selection criteria to incorporate older patients and the advent of alternative forms of treatment, such as angioplasty, are just two examples particularly relevant to bypass surgery. To assess fully the effects of treatment it is vital to take baseline measurements before intervention as well as at intervals afterwards. Preoperative variables and measurements that are found to be associated with the rate and extent of rehabilitation after operation might lead to changes in selection or management—for example, in timing of surgery—which would benefit individual patients and the health service in terms of the best possible use of resources allocated to coronary artery bypass surgery.

We thank Sir Terence English, whose patients are included in our study population, for his support; the consultant cardiologists who referred patients for surgery; and all the patients who completed the questionnaires.
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Lesson of the Week

Life threatening laryngeal oedema after prolonged intubation for chickenpox pneumonia

O F Boyd, R M Grounds

Guidelines for timing tracheostomy in patients on ventilators remain vague.1 Studies of this problem,2,3 and specifically the complications of endotracheal intubation,4 do not take into account the type of disease in either the results or recommendations. We report on three adults with chickenpox pneumonia, seen from December 1988 to May 1990, who required ventilatory support and in whom severe life threatening laryngeal oedema developed on extubation.

Case reports