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Abstract

Objective—To establish whether ABO blood group is related to ischaemic heart disease on an individual and geographic basis in Britain.

Design—Prospective study of 7662 men with known ABO blood group selected from age-sex registers in general practices in 24 British towns.

Measurements—ABO blood group, standard cardiovascular risk factors, social class, and presence or absence of ischaemic heart disease determined at entry to study.

End points—Eight year follow up of fatal and non-fatal ischaemic heart disease events achieved for 99% of study population.

Results—Towns with a higher prevalence of blood group O had higher incidences of ischaemic heart disease. In individual subjects, however, the incidence of ischaemic heart disease was higher in those with group A than in those with other blood groups (relative risk 1.21, 95% confidence limits 1.01 to 1.46). Total serum cholesterol concentration was slightly higher in subjects of blood group A. No other cardiovascular risk factor (including social class) was related to blood group.

Conclusions—Blood group A is related to the incidence of ischaemic heart disease in individual subjects. Geographic differences in the distribution of ABO blood groups do not explain geographic variation in rates of ischaemic heart disease in Britain. The findings do not support the view that ABO blood group and social class are related.

Introduction

Several reports have suggested that ABO blood type is associated with the risk of ischaemic heart disease. Case-control studies comparing the prevalence of ABO groups in survivors of myocardial infarction with that in male blood donors and female controls in hospital found that the prevalence of blood group A was greater than expected in subjects with myocardial infarction. Results from the Framingham study and the Israeli ischaemic heart disease project have indicated that the incidence of ischaemic heart disease may be higher in subjects of blood group A or its subgroups than other blood groups. In apparent contradiction, Mitchell showed that towns with a higher prevalence of blood group O had higher rates of cardiovascular mortality. He suggested that cardiovascular disease might be more lethal in subjects with blood group O and that the differences in the distribution of blood group O in different parts of Britain might provide an explanation for the geographic variation in ischaemic heart disease. We used data from the British regional heart study, a prospective study of ischaemic heart disease in a representative sample of middle aged men drawn from 24 British towns, to explore the relation between ABO blood group and ischaemic heart disease in towns and individual subjects. We also examined the relation between ABO blood group and cardiovascular risk factors. Social class was included because of the controversy produced by a recent suggestion that blood group A may be associated with higher social class.

Subjects and methods

The British regional heart study examined 7735 men (response rate 78%) aged 40-59 randomly selected from the age-sex registers of group general practices in 24 towns in England, Wales, and Scotland. The criteria for selecting the towns, general practices, and subjects, as well as the methods of data collection, have been presented in detail in previous reports. The towns, generally with populations of 50,000-100,000, were selected to represent the full range of cardiovascular disease mortality and included towns in all major standard regions. The general practice selected in each town was required to have a social class distribution among men representative of that town. Men with pre-existing cardiovascular disease were not excluded. The analyses presented here are restricted to 7662 subjects in whom ABO blood group was known.

RISK FACTORS

ABO blood groups were determined by standard agglutination techniques. Estimations of serum total cholesterol concentrations were carried out by a modified Liebermann-Burchard method. High density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration was measured after magnesium-phosphotungstate precipitation, initially by applying the Liebermann-Burchard method (11 towns) and subsequently by an enzymatic procedure. Blood pressure values at initial screening were based on the mean of two successive readings taken with the London School of Hygiene sphygmomanometer with the subject seated and the arm supported on a cushion. All blood pressure readings were adjusted for observer variation within each town. Systolic blood pressure is presented here because it is a stronger predictor of cardiovascular risk than diastolic pressure. The number of years a man had smoked cigarettes ("smoking years") is presented for current and former smokers because it is a strong predictor of risk of ischaemic heart disease. Social class was determined by asking each man about his longest held occupation, which was then coded in accordance with the registrar general’s occupational classification. The analyses of social class presented here exclude 227 men whose longest held occupation was in the armed services and 14 for whom insufficient data were available.

PRE-EXISTING ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE

The presence of pre-existing ischaemic heart disease at screening was defined as the presence of one or more of: a history suggesting angina or myocardial infarction from a standard WHO (Rose) chest pain
questionnaire; an electrocardiogram showing electrocardiographic evidence of possible or definite previous myocardial infarction or definite myocardial ischaemia; and the patient's recall of a doctor's diagnosis of angina or myocardial infarction.

FOLLOW UP PROCEDURES
All men who took part in the original examination were followed up for mortality and morbidity from cardiovascular disease over eight years. The study therefore included men with evidence of pre-existing heart disease; analyses of follow up presented in this paper include these subjects. Follow up was achieved for 99% of the original cohort. The analyses reported here are based on the first major ischaemic heart disease events (myocardial infarction or death) during the follow up period for each patient. The rate of these events will be referred to as the ischaemic heart disease incidence rate, calculated in this instance with subjects with pre-existing ischaemic heart disease included. The results presented are based on first events after screening in 481 (6.3%) of the original 7662 men; 176 first events were fatal.

CASE DEFINITIONS FOR MAJOR ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE
The following definitions were used to determine whether any reported cardiovascular event during follow up could be accepted as an episode of new major ischaemic heart disease.

Non-fatal—Any report of myocardial infarction accompanied by at least two of: a history of severe chest pain; electrocardiographic evidence of myocardial infarction; and cardiac enzyme changes associated with myocardial infarction. Subjects who died and had evidence of new myocardial infarction more than 28 days after the onset of the first event were included in this non-fatal group.

Fatal—Any subject in whom the death certificate recorded ischaemic heart disease (International Classification of Diseases codes 410-414) as the cause of death and in whom this statement was not contradicted either by the medical history or by findings at necropsy. Sudden death for which no other cause was apparent and which was certified as due to ischaemic heart disease was included in this category.

Case fatality is defined as the proportion of these major ischaemic heart disease events in which death occurred during the clinical course of the event and in which the death certificate recorded ischaemic heart disease (ICD codes 410-414).

STATISTICAL METHODS
Differences in the prevalence of and event rates for ischaemic heart disease between ABO blood groups were assessed using $\chi^2$ tests across all groups (test of heterogeneity) and, where indicated, comparing one group with the other three. An exact test (two sided) was used to compare case fatality rates between groups because values of less than five were expected in group AB. Confidence intervals for relative risks were calculated using Woolf's method. ABO differences in cardiovascular risk factors were examined using one-way analysis of variance, with the exception of social class, where a $\chi^2$ test was used.

Results
VARIATION IN ABO BLOOD GROUPS BETWEEN TOWNS AND ITS RELATION TO ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE
The proportions of study participants with different ABO blood groups (table I) reflect the well known predominance of blood groups A and O and are very similar to estimates for Britain as a whole. The prevalence of blood group O varied between towns (fig 1), ranging from 40% (Guildford) to 52% (Ayr). Overall, the prevalence of blood group O was lower in southern and eastern England than in north western and northern England and Scotland, which agrees with earlier reports. There is still considerable variation within regions, which may be explained at least in part by sampling variation due to the small numbers of subjects in each town (average 319).

The geographic relation between blood group O and the incidence of major ischaemic heart disease events in the 24 study towns is presented in figure 2. A strong

![Figure 1: Prevalence of blood group O in middle aged men in 24 towns of British regional heart study](http://www.bmj.com/)

![Figure 2: Prevalence of blood group O and annual incidence of ischaemic heart disease per thousand in 24 British towns during eight year follow up in British regional heart study. Incidence is rate of first major ischaemic heart disease events during follow up and includes subjects with pre-existing ischaemic heart disease](http://www.bmj.com/)
positive association between the proportion of subjects with blood group O in a town and the rate of first ischaemic heart disease events in that town is shown (r=0.58; p=0.003). To explore this relation, which is similar to that observed by Mitchell for mortality from atherosclerotic and coronary heart disease,* the relations between ABO blood group and the prevalence, incidence, and case fatality rate of ischaemic heart disease were examined in individual subjects.

**TABLE II—**ABO blood group and major cardiovascular risk factors

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood group</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>O</th>
<th>Test for heterogeneity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SE) age (years)</td>
<td>50.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>50.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>50.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>50.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>p=0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SE) systolic blood pressure (mm Hg)</td>
<td>145.7 (0.4)</td>
<td>144.2 (0.7)</td>
<td>143.5 (0.4)</td>
<td>145.0 (0.4)</td>
<td>p=0.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SE) smoking</td>
<td>20.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>20.5 (0.6)</td>
<td>20.4 (1.1)</td>
<td>21.0 (0.3)</td>
<td>p=0.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Excludes 231 men with longest held occupation in armed services and 15 with occupation not known.

**TABLE III—**ABO blood group and social class. Values are percentages (numbers)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Blood groups</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>AB</th>
<th>O</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I (Professional)</td>
<td>41.5 (250)</td>
<td>9.5 (57)</td>
<td>2.8 (17)</td>
<td>46.3 (279)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II (Intermediate)</td>
<td>42.7 (715)</td>
<td>8.7 (49)</td>
<td>2.5 (15)</td>
<td>46.1 (274)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III non-manual (Clerical)</td>
<td>44.7 (318)</td>
<td>9.0 (64)</td>
<td>2.8 (20)</td>
<td>45.5 (309)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III manual (Skilled)</td>
<td>40.1 (1319)</td>
<td>9.4 (60)</td>
<td>2.9 (59)</td>
<td>47.6 (356)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV (Semiskilled)</td>
<td>40.0 (312)</td>
<td>9.7 (67)</td>
<td>3.6 (28)</td>
<td>46.7 (365)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V (Unskilled)</td>
<td>39.5 (214)</td>
<td>13.1 (13)</td>
<td>4.3 (11)</td>
<td>42.2 (142)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n=7421)</td>
<td>41.2 (3058)</td>
<td>9.3 (680)</td>
<td>2.9 (216)</td>
<td>46.6 (3457)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ABO BLOOD GROUP AND ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE IN INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS

**Prevalence** — There is little difference in prevalence between the different ABO groups (table I; χ²=3.35, p=0.05). In particular, the prevalence was identical in blood groups A and O. Although subjects of blood group B seem to have a slightly higher prevalence than average and subjects with group AB a slightly lower prevalence, these differences could easily be due to chance.

**Incidence** — Differences in incidence between ABO groups (table I) were small and did not achieve significance in tests of heterogeneity over all four groups (χ²=5.82; p=0.012). The incidence in subjects of blood group A was, however, marginally higher than that of blood group O. When blood group A was compared with the other three groups the relative risk was 1.2 (95% confidence interval 1.01 to 1.46). The relative risk of first ischaemic heart disease events in subjects of blood group O compared with the other three groups was 0.82 (95% confidence interval 0.68 to 0.99). These findings were not affected by excluding prevalent cases. Incidence rates in subgroups of blood group A and AB were also examined. The highest rate was observed in blood group A, (9.21 cases/1000/year), but this was not significantly different from those in other A and AB subgroups (exact test for heterogeneity, p=0.83).

**Case fatality** — There was some variation in case fatality rates between ABO groups (table I), but there was no consistent difference in case fatality rates between blood group A and the other groups, or between blood group O and other groups. The slight excess observed in subjects of group B did not approach significance in a test across the four blood groups (p=0.4). These findings were not affected by excluding prevalent cases.

ABO BLOOD GROUP AND MAJOR CARDIOVASCULAR RISK FACTORS

Table II shows the relation between blood group and major cardiovascular risk factors. As the mean ages of subjects with different blood groups were extremely similar no adjustment for age was carried out. Total cholesterol concentrations were higher in class A and to a lesser extent in group AB than in groups B and O. Although the differences were small (with a range of 0.13 mmol/l), the variation between groups was highly significant (one way analysis of variance, p<0.001) and persisted after adjustment for the effect of town. The small differences between ABO groups in blood pressure, smoking years, and high density lipoprotein cholesterol concentrations were not significant.

The proportion of subjects in manual occupations was slightly smaller in subjects of blood group A than in other blood groups. However, the variation in the proportion of manual workers was not significant either in a global test among all four groups (p=0.25) or in a comparison of group A and the other three groups (p=0.07). Because there has been considerable interest in the possibility of a relation between blood group and social class the distribution of ABO blood groups in the six social classes is presented in table III. It can be seen that the slightly higher proportion of subjects of blood group A in non-manual occupations is accounted for almost entirely by class III non-manual. The proportion of subjects of group A in classes I and II was close to the average and there was no evidence of a consistent trend in the prevalence of blood group A across the six social classes (p>0.25). Similarly, the prevalence of the other common blood group, O, showed no evidence of a consistent relation with social class.

ABO GROUP DIFFERENCES IN TOTAL SERUM CHOLESTEROL AND INCIDENCE OF ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE

We examined the contribution of the higher total serum cholesterol concentration in subjects of blood group A to differences in the incidence of ischaemic heart disease between group A and other groups. When the effect of total serum cholesterol differences was taken into account the relative risk associated with blood group A fell from 1.21 to 1.16 (95% confidence interval 0.96 to 1.40).

**Discussion**

ABO BLOOD GROUP AND ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE IN INDIVIDUAL SUBJECTS

The results suggest that blood group A is associated with a slightly higher incidence of ischaemic heart disease events in these middle aged British men. However, the estimated relative risk is small (1.21) with an upper 95% confidence limit of 1.46, which suggests that the effect is not likely to be clinically important. The finding is consistent with the results of case-control studies (comparing survivors of myocardial infarction with blood donors or other hospital patients), which reported relative risks associated with blood group A of 1.2 and 1.52 in men and 1.5 in women.** It is also consistent with the report on incidence of ischaemic heart disease over four years for men in the Framingham study,** which did not, however, show a similar pattern for women.

The results did not provide convincing evidence that any of the A subgroups were strongly associated with a high incidence of ischaemic heart disease. In particular, they provided no support for the higher rate of ischaemic heart disease in group AB observed in the Ischert heart disease project.** The differences in ischaemic heart disease rates between subgroups of group A in that study were small, and the statistical
significance of the finding was marginal even in a retrospective comparison with all other blood groups.

ABO BLOOD GROUP AND TOTAL SERUM CHOLESTEROL

In the analyses of the relation between ABO blood group and major cardiovascular risk factors the only association of note was that between blood group A and serum total cholesterol concentration. This relation, although highly significant, was small in magnitude (mean difference 0.124 mmol/l between group A and other groups). The findings are consistent with those of two previous reports.11,12

The higher concentrations of total serum cholesterol in subjects of blood group A seemed to contribute to the slightly higher incidence of ischaemic heart disease events in subjects of this group. The results do not, however, allow a firm conclusion as to whether cholesterol explains all or only part of the differences in the incidence of ischaemic heart disease between group A and the other groups.

ABO BLOOD GROUP AND SOCIAL CLASS

The relation between ABO blood group and social class was examined in some detail because of the report by Beardmore and Karimi-Booeshahi,12 who examined the relation between blood group and social class in 9691 blood donors from Yorkshire and south west England and reported an excess of blood group A among social classes I and II. Although there was a slightly higher proportion of subjects with blood group A in non-manual occupations in our sample than would have been expected, this excess did not reach significance either in a test of heterogeneity across all four blood groups or in a test between group A and the other three groups. Moreover, in our data the highest proportion of subjects with blood group A was seen in social class III non-manual, there is no suggestion of a trend of increasing proportions of subjects of blood group A in the intermediate and professional groups. Although our data set is slightly smaller than that of Beardmore and Karimi-Booeshahi, it may provide a more representative sample of the population than does the blood donor sample described by these authors. In particular, their sample contained a remarkably high proportion of subjects of social classes IV (19.3%) and V (24.7%), which are usually underrepresented in blood donor populations.26 A recent report from an Irish regional blood bank, with a smaller proportion of subjects in social class V, found no relation between ABO blood group and social class.27

GEOGRAPHIC DIFFERENCES IN ABO BLOOD GROUP AND ISCHAEMIC HEART DISEASE

The variation in heart disease rates within Britain has been the source of much interest. The results presented in figure 2 imply that the prevalence of blood group O is related to the incidence of ischaemic heart disease events on a town basis, consistent with Mitchell’s observations for mortality.4 If this association is of aetiological importance blood group O should be related to the occurrence of ischaemic heart disease in individual subjects. The results of the analyses between ABO blood group and ischaemic heart disease suggest that there is no such association; the incidence of ischaemic heart disease was, if anything, higher in subjects with blood group A, and there was no important difference in case fatality rates between blood groups. This implies that the association between blood group O and ischaemic heart disease found at the town level in purely an ecological correlation and cannot explain the geographic differences in ischaemic heart disease within Britain.

These results do not deny the potential importance of genetic factors in the aetiology of ischaemic heart disease. They do, however, suggest that the contribution of ABO blood groups and associated factors to individual risk is small. They also imply that geographic differences in the distribution of blood groups are not responsible for geographic variation in the occurrence of heart disease within Britain. In this respect the results are consistent with a recent report from the British regional heart study describing the relation between migration and ischaemic heart disease in Britain, which suggests that geographic differences in the incidence of cardiovascular disease are acquired rather than inherited.2
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