Recurrent Ulceration after Vagotomy and Drainage with Electrical Stimulation Test, 1957-69


Summary: Between 1957 and 1969 700 patients with duodenal ulceration were treated by selective vagotomy and simple drainage using the electrical stimulation test to achieve complete nerve section. Ten of these patients had been re-admitted to the hospital with further ulceration, one with a lesser curve gastric ulcer and nine with recurrent duodenal disease. The first patient had gastric retention and has apparently been cured by gastrojejunostomy to improve antral drainage. The remaining nine cases were found to have incomplete nerve section, and, of these, seven have been treated and apparently cured by completion of the incomplete vagotomy, again using the electrical stimulation test.

Introduction

The electrical stimulation test for completeness of gastric vagotomy (Burge and Vane, 1958) was first used at the West London Hospital in 1957. Since then it has been used in every case, some 700 in all. This paper records the recurrent cases in this series which have come under our care for investigation and treatment.

Several factors bedevilled this test in its early years. Above all we did not then properly understand the problem of anticholinergic drugs given either before or during the operation. Many preparations used therapeutically in the treatment of peptic ulceration contain an anticholinergic agent, which, if taken regularly during the days or weeks preceding operation, will diminish or abolish the gastric response to stimulation.

The anaesthesia for almost all these patients was carried out by two anaesthetists, one of whom used halothane (Fluothane) and the other did not. Only repeated failure of the preliminary test to function properly on Fridays led us to the discovery that halothane, even in small concentrations, would abolish or severely diminish the response to vagal stimulation.

There was, too, the problem of incorrect premedication which arose from time to time in spite of every care. The preliminary stimulation test is important. If a satisfactory response is not obtained, then an anticholinergic drug must have been given and the final test is invalid.

The vagotomy in all these patients has been selective. In some either anterior or posterior selective nerve section was used, but most were treated by the bilateral selective method. The prepyloric gastric branches arising from the anterior vagus through the hepatic plexus and its pyloric branches were not divided.

Ten patients with recurrent ulceration have come under our care for investigation and treatment.

Case Reports

Case 1.—This patient presented with recurrent duodenal ulceration two months after vagotomy and pyloroplasty. The operation was performed by a surgeon not experienced in vagotomy. Difficulty arose in an attempt to preserve an ectopic left hepatic artery. The descending branches of the artery were left undivided. An insulin test 10 days after operation was positive (Fig. 1 a). A further insulin test a year later was also positive (Fig. 1 b). At a second operation the electrical test was applied initially with the posterior vagus outside the electrode. Incomplete anterior vagotomy was found (Fig. 2). The incomplete anterior nerve section was confirmed and then repaired (Fig. 1 c). The posterior branch was then included in the electrode and incomplete posterior section shown (Fig. 4). This was completed by division of the descending branch of the left gastric artery. Finally, complete gastric vagotomy was proved (Fig. 5). The insulin test became negative after four months later and remained so. Cause of recurrent ulceration: incomplete vagotomy.

Case 2.—Unfortunately there were no records of the responses to stimulation, but the stimulation test was used and vagotomy thought to be complete. Ten days after operation an insulin test was negative (Fig. 1 d). A further test 15 months later was equivocal (Fig. 1 e). Three months after this two tests were positive (Fig. 1 f and g). At a second operation for recurrent duodenal ulcer two years after the first the stimulation test was used. The preliminary test gave a poor reading, but the patient was retested a week later and the vagotomy was incomplete, after which the stimulation test showed no rise of pressure. A further insulin test ten days later was negative (Fig. 1 h), but when this test was repeated five and six months postoperatively a late positive result was obtained on both occasions. Cause of recurrent ulceration: incomplete vagotomy.

Case 3.—Selective vagotomy and gastrojejunostomy was performed for duodenal ulceration in 1960. The anaesthetic was administered by the anaesthetist who routinely used halothane. In 1962 a second operation was performed for recurrent duodenal ulcer. The preliminary response to the stimulation was 3 cm. H2O. The vagotomy was complete after four small nerve trunks were found and divided. These nerves were examined histologically. The gastrojejunostomy was taken down and replaced by a pyloroplasty. For six years he remained well until admitted with melaena. He had been taking aspirin. An insulin test at this time was perhaps positive but difficult to interpret (Fig. 1 i). The patient remains well. Cause of recurrent ulceration: incomplete vagotomy.

Case 4.—Vagotomy and pyloroplasty was performed in 1966. The descending branches of the left gastric artery were not divided, an attempt being made to divide the gastric division of the posterior vagus, leaving the coeliac division intact without dividing any of the descending branches of the gastric artery. At the end of the operation a rise of pressure of 6 mm. H2O was recorded on the stimulation test. The nerve causing the rise could not be found. Twenty months later recurrent duodenal ulceration was proved on x-ray examination. The insulin test in January 1969 was positive (Fig. 1 j). At the second operation incomplete nerve section was shown by the stimulation test. The rise of pressure at the preliminary test was 3 cm. H2O. The incomplete section was completed and proved on test. The insulin test 12 days later was negative (Fig. 1 k). This test was repeated five weeks later and was also negative (Fig. 1 l). Cause of recurrent ulceration: incomplete vagotomy.

Case 5.—Selective vagotomy and pyloroplasty together with a Nissen fundoplication was done for hiatus hernia and duodenal ulceration in May 1966. The preliminary stimulation test gave no response, apparently because the patient had been given chlorpromazine (Largactil) until the day of the operation. Five months later a recurrent duodenal ulcer was evident on x-ray examination, and the insulin test was positive both at 15 months and at two years (Fig. 1 m and n). Incomplete vagotomy was proved by a second operation by the stimulation test. The vagotomy was completed and the insulin test was negative four months later (Fig. 1 o). Cause of recurrent ulceration: incomplete vagotomy.

Case 6.—Anterior selective vagotomy and Finney pyloroplasty was carried out in June 1962. Symptoms returned in June 1965. The patient was vomiting food. Barium studies showed a lesser curve gastric ulcer and gastric retention (Fig. 6 a and b). The...
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**Discussion**

Of some 700 patients with peptic ulceration treated since 1957 by selective vagotomy and drainage, using the electrical stimulation test, 10 have returned to the West London Hospital with recurrent ulceration for investigation and treatment. One had a lesser curve gastric ulcer with gastric retention proximal to a Finney pyloroplasty. This patient has remained well since the gastric antrum was drained by gastrojejuno- tomy in 1965. This simple drainage operation, however, has
left her with persistent episodic diarrhoea. In another patient gastric antral retention between the gastrojejunostomy and a stenosed duodenum gave rise to a large gastrojejunal ulcer. This ulcer healed spontaneously. He has remained well for eight years. The remaining eight patients developed recurrent duodenal ulceration. Of these, six continued to remain well after completion of the incomplete vagotomy. In the seventh case, with an obviously positive insulin test, antrectomy was ultimately performed. The eighth is under observation.

Incomplete vagal section was due in two cases to anticholinergic drugs. In one chlorpromazine was given daily before the operation, and in the other, it seems, halothane was used as an anaesthetic agent. In three of the other four patients with incomplete nerve section an attempt was made at the first operation to divide the gastric division of the posterior vagus without dividing the descending branch or branches of the left gastric artery (Fig. 9). This simple technique should never be used, for, as Jackson (1949) showed, gastric branches may arise from the coeliac division itself (Fig. 10). When the operation is done in this way the "final" electrical test is not satisfactory. The posterior trunk cannot properly be stimulated because of the mass of tissue which surrounds it and the ascending artery and which must be included in the electrode.

Now that we are able to study these cases of recurrent ulceration it is clear that a very small retained vagal trunk, giving rise to only a few millimetres of water pressure with the stimulation test, may be responsible for recurrent ulceration. We believe from our studies that the concept of adding antrectomy or mucosal antrectomy is based on an incorrect hypothesis and there is no need to do anything more than vagotomy and simple drainage if nerve section to the stomach is complete and the antrum properly drained. Indeed recent studies suggest that in chosen cases even the drainage operation may be omitted (Burge et al., 1969). In order to achieve complete gastric

---

**FIG. 6.** (a) Lesser curve gastric ulcer (A) after selective vagotomy and Finney pyloroplasty. (b) Gastric retention.

**FIG. 7.** (a) Gastrojejunal ulcer after vagotomy and gastrojejunostomy. A = Gastrojejunal ulcer. B = Stenosed duodenum. (b) A = Antral retention.
vagotomy a test for completeness of nerve section at the time of operation is essential. The instrument now recommended for the electrical stimulation test is the Burge electronic Vagotometer manufactured by Furness Controls Limited of Bexhill, England. The prototype of this is shown in Fig. 11. Working to a sensitivity of a 3-mm. rise in water pressure, we would at present leave 80% of patients with an incomplete vagotomy had the test not been used.

An assessment of the leucomethylene blue test (Lee, 1969) has shown it to be unsatisfactory (Burge, 1970; Cooke et al., 1970; Jensen et al., 1970). In making use of the insulin test to assess completeness of vagotomy it became apparent that gastric acid levels can vary spontaneously to a marked degree before insulin was given. The variations were large enough in many cases—see Fig. 1 b, c, f, m—to be taken as evidence of incomplete vagotomy had they occurred during the period of hypoglycaemia.

Consequently it is emphasized that a period of time is required before giving insulin (two hours in the present work), during which the levels of gastric acidity can be followed. Reliance on the interpretation of post-insulin acidities should be placed only in the light of the pre-insulin production: that is, greatest reliance can be attached to those results in which pre-insulin acid levels were either constant or falling slowly—for example, Fig. 1 a, d, g, o.

Finally, this long-term study confirms Dragstedt's very early opinion that recurrent duodenal ulcer after vagotomy is due always to incomplete nerve section. It can therefore be prevented by the correct use of the electrical stimulation test. The only gastric ulcer occurring after vagotomy for duodenal ulcer in this series also supports Dragstedt's hypothesis that this condition is due to gastric retention. The ulcer was cured by overcoming gastric retention by gastrojejunostomy. If these facts are true, then no case can be made for preoperative acid studies in planning the surgical treatment of duodenal disease.

REFERENCES