Incidence and intensity of postpartum lower abdominal pain

Angela Murray, Anita Holdcroft

During labour women may experience lower abdominal pain related to the uterine contractions. In the postpartum period pain may persist but not be so severe. Mild analgesics are often used to relieve this, but at times they are inadequate. We determined the incidence and intensity of postpartum lower abdominal pain in relation to precipitating and relieving factors.

Patients, methods, and results

One hundred primiparous and 100 multiparous women were interviewed with a standard questionnaire up to 48 hours after vaginal delivery. Fifty of the primiparous and 86 of the multiparous women complained of lower abdominal pain (<0.001). Periodic pain occurred in 49 (98%) and 79 (92%) of these primiparous and multiparous women respectively, and it was almost twice as frequent in the multiparous compared with the primiparous women. Back pain was associated with the lower abdominal pain in half the women.

The maximum intensity of the pain was scored by the mothers with a simple word score, a visual analogue score, and the McGill pain questionnaire. Severe and moderate pain occurred in 30 primiparous and 58 multiparous women. The visual analogue scores showed a skewed distribution with the mode in the primiparous women being 2-3 cm and that in the multiparous women 4-5 cm. The table shows the results of the McGill pain questionnaire. The words used most commonly to describe the pain were throbbing, cramping, and aching. The pain was described as sharp by 11 (22%) primiparous women compared with 30 (35%) multiparous women.

The pain was relieved by a change in position, sleep, and oral analgesics in half the women in both groups; by passing urine in a quarter of the women in both groups; and by physiotherapy exercises in 20 (40%) primiparous women compared with 14 (16%) multiparous women (<0.01). Breast feeding exacerbated the pain in 48 (96%) of the primiparous women and 70 (81%) of the multiparous women.

Multiparous women were asked if they had had similar pain in previous pregnancies. About half of the women with one other child could not remember having had postpartum pain. Mothers of two and more children could almost always recall a similar pain that had tended to increase in severity with each labour. Individual effects of ethnic origin (either European or West Indian and African descent), epispidal analgesia, oxytocic drugs, and method of feeding the baby on the incidence of lower abdominal pain showed no significant difference between the two groups.

Comment

After delivery the incidence of lower abdominal pain was significantly higher in multiparous women than primiparous women (<0.001) despite less surgical intervention. The pain is probably uterine in origin as it is central and intermittent, such as would originate from a muscular viscus, and exacerbated by breast feeding. It was more severe in multiparous women as scored by a word scale and visual analogue scale. The McGill pain questionnaire scores were similar in the two groups, of a severity between that of menstrual and labour pains, but up to 10% of women who had experienced pain had a total pain rating index score of above 30, which is as severe as that recorded in labour. The McGill pain questionnaire showed a difference between the groups in the affective category of tiredness. This may reflect the longer duration of the first stage of labour in primiparous women, and sleep was a relieving factor. The use of exercises and change of position requires further evaluation because in primiparous women they gave greater relief than expected. The severe pain observed in some of the women requires to be identified and supportive treatment given.
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