Honey in the treatment of infantile gastroenteritis
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Abstract

A clinical study was undertaken using honey in oral rehydration solution in infants and children with gastroenteritis. The aim was to evaluate the influence of honey on the duration of acute diarrhoea and its value as a glucose substitute in oral rehydration. The results showed that honey shortens the duration of bacterial diarrhoea, does not prolong the duration of non-bacterial diarrhoea, and may safely be used as a substitute for glucose in an oral rehydration solution containing electrolytes. The correct dilution of honey, as well as the presence of electrolytes in the oral rehydration solution, however, must be maintained.

Introduction

Pure honey is bactericidal for many pathogenic organisms, including enteropathogens such as Salmonella spp, Shigella spp, enteropathogenic Escherichia coli, and other Gram negative organisms, and is a readily available source of glucose and fructose. A prospective controlled clinical trial of honey was therefore carried out in infants and children with acute gastroenteritis, the aims being to evaluate its efficacy, if any, (a) in reducing the duration of bacterial diarrhoea and (b) as a substitute for glucose in an electrolyte oral rehydration solution.

Patients and methods

One hundred and sixty nine infants and children aged 8 days to 11 years who were admitted to the R K Khan Hospital, Durban, with gastroenteritis were entered into the trial. Gastroenteritis was defined as the passage of three or more loose or watery stools per 24 hours with or without vomiting. The patients were randomly assigned to one of two treatment groups. Group 1 (controls) received routine management of diarrhoea. This comprised oral fluid only or oral and intravenous fluids containing glucose and electrolytes, the oral rehydration solution being composed of glucose 111 mmol/l (20 g/100 ml), sodium 48 mmol(mEq)/l, potassium 28 mmol(mEq)/l, and chloride 76 mmol(mEq)/l, based on the recommendations of WHO/UNICEF but with a lower sodium concentration to obviate hypernatremia. All patients received the oral rehydration solution, intravenous fluid being added for severe (75-100%) dehydration or intractable vomiting. Group 2 (honey treated group)—these patients also received either oral fluid only or oral and intravenous fluids depending on the severity of dehydration and the presence of intractable vomiting. Instead of glucose, however, the oral rehydration solution contained 50 ml pure honey per litre, the electrolyte content being identical with that in group 1. Roughly 70-80% of honey is composed of glucose and fructose in an approximate ratio of 1:1, so the glucose concentration of the solution was also 111 mmol/l. Antibiotics were given only if there was a concurrent infection elsewhere (29 patients in group 1, 27 in group 2) or if the patient appeared septicaemic with a high fever (seven in group 1, three in group 2). No anti diarrhoeal preparations or antiemetic drugs were used in any patient.

Stools were examined in most patients, investigations comprising microscopy for parasites; culture for pathogenic bacteria; culture in Bultzer's medium at 42°C over 48 hours for Campylobacter spp; enzyme linked immunosorbent assay for human rotavirus using the Rotazyme kit; and a Clinitest for detecting reducing substances. The Clinitest was done within six hours of admission and, in 54 cases, on alternate days thereafter, a reading of 0-75% (+ + ) or higher being regarded as positive. Plasma electrolyte concentrations were measured on admission in most patients and subsequently on days 2 and 3.

"Recovery time" was defined as the number of hours from initiation of treatment to when normal soft stools were passed, with the patient showing normal hydration and satisfactory weight gain. "Rehydration time" was defined as the number of hours from initiation of treatment to when the patient showed normal hydration (as judged by normal skin turgor, tongue, eyeballs, and fontanelle and a normal mental state) but with diarrhoea not necessarily having resolved. Student's t test was applied to the data, which were subjected to logarithmic transformation and found to be normally distributed. Levene's test was employed to confirm that the groups had equal variances after transformation.

Results

Of the 169 patients, 89 were assigned to receive routine management of their diarrhoea (group 1) and 80 to receive honey (group 2). The mean ages of the two groups were 18-8 (1SD 26-2) and 13-5 (5-2) months, respectively, and the mean age of the whole series 16-3 (21-8) months. Most of the children (143; 84.6%) fell into the 0-24 month age group. The overall male to female ratio was also comparable in the two treatment groups (1-23 and 1-50), the overall ratio being 1-35.

From a total of 121 patients whose stools were tested for human rotavirus by enzyme linked immunosorbent assay, 42 (34.7%) were positive (23 in group 1, 19 in group 2). Bacteriological cultures were positive in 36 (26.3%) of 137 patients (18 in each treatment group) and grew Salmonella spp (10), Shigella spp (17), enteropathogenic E coli (8), and Klebsiella (1). Cultures for Campylobacter spp were negative in all 137 patients in whom this investigation was done. Only two patients had intestinal parasites: one control patient had ascarisis, and one honey treated patient was infected with both ascaris and Trichuris trichiura. The causative agent could not be identified in 46 (38%) of 121 patients who had all four tests performed.

Recovery from diarrhoea—With the entire series of 169 patients taken as a whole, irrespective of aetiology, the mean recovery times for the control and honey treated groups were almost identical at 64-66 (1SD 49-65) and 63-91 (46-03) hours (p=0.74). One patient in the honey treated group, but none of the controls, had diarrhoea lasting 10 days. When the 36 patients with bacterial gastroenteritis were considered as a separate group, however, the mean recovery times were 93-19 (60-02) hours for the controls compared with 58-00 (34-54) hours for those receiving honey. This difference was statistically significant (p<0.05) (table). Antibiotics had been given to eight con-
Discussion

Two important points emerge from these findings. Firstly, honey shortens the duration of diarrhoea in patients with bacterial gastroenteritis caused by organisms such as Salmonella, Shigella, and E. coli. A possible explanation may be the known antibacterial, non-bacterial, and non-osmotic actions of honey, though we did not repeat the stool cultures to see whether these bacteria had been eliminated because in most cases the result of the positive culture became available only after the patient had made a clinical recovery and been discharged. Use of antibiotics, which were given to some patients for indications other than the gastroenteritis, cannot explain the difference in outcome; in fact, more controls received these than did honey treated patients. In non-bacterial gastroenteritis honey had the same effect as glucose on the duration of the diarrhoea.

Secondly, oral honey may safely be used as a substitute for pure glucose in oral rehydration solutions, provided that these contain electrolytes in the currently recommended concentrations and that the concentration of honey provides 111 mmol of each of glucose and fructose per litre (2.0 g/100 ml). Such a rehydrating fluid is as effective as a solution containing pure glucose and electrolytes.

Since pure honey has a high sugar content it could be used to promote sodium and water absorption from the bowel in a manner analogous to the use of oral rice water and sucrose. Seventy to eighty per cent of honey is composed of fructose and glucose, the ratio of fructose to glucose being slightly greater than 1:1. All oral fluids containing glucose and electrolytes are used for their sodium coupled active absorption of glucose to rehydrate patients. The dose of honey used in our study—that is, 50 ml per litre of oral fluid containing 48 mmol sodium, 28 mmol potassium, and 76 mmol chloride per litre—provided 111 mmol of each of glucose and fructose per litre. Fructose, which is absorbed by facilitated diffusion rather than by active transport and is not coupled to sodium ions, would be expected to promote water absorption without augmenting absorption of sodium. This is borne out by the absence of hyponatraemia in patients receiving the honey-electrolyte solution. Honey 100 g contains 7 mg sodium and 51 mg potassium. This resulted in an additional 0.25 mmol sodium and 0.63 mmol potassium in each litre of oral fluid containing honey. These are negligible amounts and would not be expected materially to influence the outcome. Furthermore, despite its high sugar content honey does not cause osmotic diarrhoea when used in the proper dilution in oral rehydration solution. This is confirmed by our results, which showed that the vast majority of patients receiving honey did not have reducing substances detectable in the stools during treatment. Our oral rehydration solutions containing honey had a total glucose-fructose concentration of about 220 mmol/l (4.0 g/100 ml), and even this did not appear to aggravate the diarrhoea.

Our results show that honey given with oral rehydration fluid shortens the duration of bacterial diarrhoea and may safely be used as a substitute for glucose—provided, of course, that the solution contains electrolytes. Diarrhoea of non-bacterial causes is not prolonged in patients treated with honey, which is safe, non-allergenic, and readily available in most communities and, when used as described, is just as efficient as glucose in promoting sodium and water absorption from the gut.

Other points to emerge were the high proportion (84.6%) of infants in the 0-24 month age group and the fact that only a small proportion of patients passed reducing substances in their stools, irrespective of whether they were receiving honey or not. Both these findings agree with those of other workers, who have observed that infants in the 6-24 month age group are the most susceptible to human rotavirus and that sugar intolerance is not a feature of this illness.

Expert experimental advice was given by Dr P J Becker, of the Institute for Biostatistics of the South African Medical Research Council.
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