On the acquisition of a gastroscope

HAROLD C EDWARDS

In an issue of the Lancet in the earlier part of 1933 there appeared an editorial entitled "The Flexible Gastroscope." It had been invented in 1932 by Dr Schindler in cooperation with the Berlin optical manufacturer Georg Wolf. It was clearly an immense improvement on the old semirigid metal gastroscope, which my predecessor, the late Mr Arthur Edmunds, brought from Germany in 1911, the use of which had been discarded after one or two unfortunate experiences.

The Wolf Schindler gastroscope consisted of a series of convex lenses ensheathed in rubber. It curved in any direction to subtend an angle of some 35° without distortion of the image, and was claimed to be perfectly safe to pass. It greatly excited my interest.

On inquiry I discovered that the Genitourinary Company had a gastroscope on show, and this through the agency of their Mr Schranz I was allowed to try. I attempted to use it on just one occasion with the patient under general anaesthesia, but without success. It was clear that failure was due to my lack of expertise and not to the instrument, which obviously had great potential. I was anxious to obtain one and to undergo training in its use in Germany. But the cost of the instrument was prohibitive—£120, of which £80 was the basic cost and £40 excise duty. In those days this was a considerable sum of money. What its equivalent would buy today is beyond my power to compute. My hospital, like most others, was supported entirely by voluntary contributions, and was permanently in debt. It could provide only the basic needs for surgery. To provide money for an instrument as yet untried in the country was out of the question. It should be remembered also that all senior clinical hospital appointments were strictly honorary. Life was supported entirely by the income from consulting practice; and these were early days in practice for me. The only way open for me to satisfy my ambition seemed to be to go to Germany for a period of training and to buy a gastroscope there, avoiding if possible the excise levy.

But this was a pipe dream—that is, until 1934 when Hitler, who had recently come to power, did the only decent thing he ever did. To lure selected visitors, especially students, to the Reich, reichsmarks were on offer at 22 to the pound sterling instead of the standard rate of 12, with a limit, so far as I can recall, of £50. Furthermore, if you had an acceptable project in view, this amount could be doubled. I declared my project, which was accepted. I think the arrangements were negotiated through the German Embassy. This extremely favourable exchange rate would mean that £100 would easily cover the cost of a week in Germany and a gastroscope—but not the duty. I should have to think out some way of avoiding that.

Off to Germany in 1934

I was soon on my way to Harwich with 2200 reichsmarks in my pocket—or the equivalent thereof. By this time Dr Schindler, a Jew, had escaped (if that is the right word) to the United States, where he was appointed gastroscopist to a university hospital. His assistant had been Dr Norbut Henning, who was at that time practising in Leipzig, and thither I went. Although we were strangers to each other, Dr Henning gave me a warm welcome. I explained that the purpose of my visit was to learn the technique of gastroscopy. He asked me if I had had any previous experience. I told him about my one abortive attempt under general anaesthesia. There followed a momentary silence of incredulity, and then an outburst of unconstrained laughter from all present. A general anaesthetic for gastroscopy. It was obviously the funniest thing that they had heard for a long time—the joke of the month, if not the year. But they tempered their amusement with kindness, which soon overcame my embarrassment. Thenceforth, they did all that they could to make me feel at home. Dr Henning, whom I had the pleasure of seeing again at meetings after the war, taught me the technique of preparatory local anaesthesia, the technique of introduction of the gastroscope, and the interpretation of what could be seen—even allowing me to pass a gastroscope myself.

There were some limitations. No control of the distal end was possible once the instrument was passed (such control awaited the ingenious modification devised by Mr Herman Taylor and Mr Schranz some years later); and some areas of the stomach—for example, the cardiac end, could not be brought into view. Yet despite these shortcomings I became convinced that the gastroscope would be an invaluable addition to our diagnostic facilities. To possess one for myself was mandatory.

There was an interesting but somewhat disturbing sidelight to my visit. Dr Henning invited me to a simple meal in his modest flat, where I had the pleasure of meeting Frau Henning. Both were Jewish. The doctor said little, but his wife was very outspoken about the circumstances in which they were obliged to live under the Hitler régime. One of her main complaints was the shortage of books. Dr Henning apologised for not being able to see me on the Sunday. The reason was that every Sunday he had to attend manoeuvres in the field by Hitler's new conscript army, as yet unarmed. The doctor's job was to organise the medical services.

And now from Leipzig to Berlin, where I obtained an appointment to see Mr Georg Wolf. He received me kindly in his well appointed office. He was a good looking grey haired man with an air of authority. We were soon on common ground. I wanted to buy a gastroscope; he wanted to sell me one. I had calculated that the favourable exchange rate nearly halved the cost—£45 instead of £80. Mr Wolf's reason for being anxious for a deal was that perhaps I might serve to popularise gastroscopy in the United Kingdom, to his business advantage. I jokingly asked him if I
Confronting the customs

My turn to confront customs at Harwich eventually arrived. Few people are at their best at 5 am, especially after a disturbed night crossing the North Sea. I am not one of them. To say I was nervous would be an understatement. My spirits were indeed at the lowest ebb. Down on the counter went my suitcase and my gastroscope. I remember the conversation between myself and the customs officer as though it was yesterday, as one ever remembers experiences under stress.

Customs: "Anything to declare?"

Charcot’s hysteria renaissant
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Abstract

The authenticity of Charcot’s original descriptions of hysteria has been questioned in the popular media. None the less, it is still possible to encounter florid forms of hysteria in culturally deprived communities, and to answer Charcot’s present day critics we present a selection of patients from Kentucky’s Appalachian counties with hysterical neurological disease. Their case histories are contrasted with those Charcot himself described and thereby form a modern commentary on such conditions as la grande hystérie, hysteroepilepsy, hystero-traumatic monoplegia, and hysterical hemianaesthesia.

Introduction

Hysteria is present when there is a disproportionate relation of symptoms to disability and a discrepancy between the manifestations and the anatomical and physiological arrangements of the body. Caution must be exercised in accepting even the most florid presentations, and hysteria presenting with neurological symptoms may be especially misleading. Furthermore, the physician has the added duty to understand why the patient has taken to express himself or herself subconsciously in this manner. The unexpectedly high incidence of hysterical disorders among the underprivileged white community of Kentucky’s Appalachian counties is a matter of surprise, matched only by the similarities of these disorders to the original descriptions of Charcot in his clinical lectures on diseases of the nervous system.

Clinical presentations

One of the most startling forms of hysteria that Charcot demonstrated at the Salpêtrière was la grande hystérie, otherwise called hysteria major or hysteroepilepsy with distinctive crises. Freud described la grande hystérie as consisting of four phases: the epileptoid; violent movements; attitudes passionnelles (the hallucinatory phase); and the concluding delirium. According to