Histology of the postoperative stomach before and after diversion of bile
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Abstract

Gastric biopsy specimens were taken in 33 patients before and after procedures to divert bile (construction of Roux loop in 19, closure of gastrojejunostomy in 14). Each biopsy specimen was assessed for dysplasia, intestinal metaplasia, atrophy, and gastritis, each variable being given a score ranging from 0 to 6. Patients were given preoperative and postoperative scores for each of these variables based on the average score of all preoperative or postoperative specimens. Comparison between preoperative and postoperative histology showed that there was no difference for gastritis, atrophy, or intestinal metaplasia. Patients who underwent closure of a gastrojejunostomy showed improvement in dysplasia that was not statistically significant. There was, however, a significant improvement in dysplasia in patients in whom a Roux loop was fashioned (p = 0.006) and in all patients taken together (p = 0.002).

It was concluded that procedures that divert bile improve dysplasia but not other histological abnormalities in the stomach postoperatively.

Introduction

Gastric mucosal dysplasia has recently given cause for concern because of its possible premalignant potential. After operation the stomach appears to be especially prone to this abnormality. Domellof suggested that reflux of bile into the stomach after...
operation may lead to premalignant and even malignant change after many years.4 His centre showed the presence of un-
conjugated and secondary bile acids in patients after gastrec-
tomy,5 some of which promote colonic adenocarcinoma in
experimental animals.6 Enterogastric reflux of bile has also been
suggested as a cause of gastritis in the stomach after operation.7
One study showed a correlation between the quantity of bile
reflux and the degree of gastritis,8 thus giving justification to the
term “malevolent gall” coined by Silen.9 It is not known
whether the histological changes in the stomach after operation
are reversible. The aim of this study was to investigate this
possibility by examining the morphology of gastric mucosa
before and after diversion of bile in a series of patients who had
undergone gastric operations.

Patients and methods

Patients included were those in whom bile was diverted either by
the formation of a Roux loop or by closure of a gastrojejunostomy. All
patients had symptoms attributed to enterogastric reflux of bile, the
dumping syndrome, or postvagotomy diarrhoea. In no patient was the
operation undertaken for recurrent ulceration. In all cases gastric
mucosal biopsy specimens were taken before and after the operation to
divert bile. Random preoperative biopsy specimens were taken at
endoscopy, before the operation, or at the time of the operation.
Random postoperative biopsy specimens were taken at endoscopy a
minimum of 10 months after operation. Ethical committee
approval was obtained for the study.

All biopsy specimens were collected in formalin, fixed in mercuric
formol embedded in wax, and stained with haematoxylin and eosin.
All identification marks on the microscopic slides were obscured and
replaced by numbers so that the observers had no knowledge of which
patient the specimens were from or whether they had been obtained
preoperatively or postoperatively. All were assessed by two observers
(JMS, PCHW) simultaneously using a double headed microscopy, and
each specimen was assessed for gastritis, atrophy, intestinal metaplasia,
and dysplasia. Each of these variables was given a score from 0 to 6.

To aid reproducibility photographs were taken of specimens that
were scored 1, 3, and 5. These were used as standards with which to
compare all other specimens.

Dysplasia—Scoring for dysplasia was based on the criteria
of Morson et al10 as follows: 0 = no dysplasia, 1 = focal mild, 2 = wide-
spread mild, 3 = focal moderate, 4 = widespread moderate, 5 = focal
severe, 6 = widespread severe.

Intestinal metaplasia—A score of 1 meant that one or two gastric
pits of the specimen were affected; 3 that roughly half the pits were
affected; and 5 that virtually all the pits were affected.

Atrophy—A score of 1 indicated minimal loss of specialised cells; of
3 that about half the specialised cells were missing; and of 5 that
virtually all specialised cells were missing. Different standard photo-
graphs were used for body and antral mucosa.

Results

Thirty three patients entered the study group. In 14 patients a
gastrojejunostomy was closed. In 19 patients a Roux loop was
fashioned, after previous gastrectomy in 14 patients, vagotomy and
pyloroplasty in three, and vagotomy and gastrojejunostomy in two.
The average age of the patients at the time of their first operation was 38.3
years and at the time of the operation to divert bile 51 years. Before
the operation to divert bile 24 patients had complained of vomiting bile,
two with persistent epigastric pain, 10 of dumping symptoms, and seven
of diarrhoea.

All patients in our practice who require further gastric surgery are
categorised as Visick grade 4. Visick grades postoperatively were:
grade 1, 18 patients; grade 2, 11 patients; and grade 3, four patients.
The mean time between preoperative biopsy and the operation to
divert bile was 8.4 (SD 9.6) weeks. In seven patients biopsy specimens
were taken at the time of surgery, and the maximum time between
preoperative biopsy and surgery was 38 weeks. Postoperative biopsy
specimens were taken a minimum of 10 months after the operation.
The mean time between the operation and postoperative biopsy was
36 months (maximum 95 months (21)).

A total of 283 biopsy specimens were assessed. Of these, 122 (mean
3.7 per patient) were taken preoperatively and 161 (mean 4.8 per
patient) postoperatively.

Dysplasia—Table I shows the degree of dysplasia in each biopsy
specimen. Preoperatively 15 patients showed no dysplasia and the
worst dysplastic finding was mild in 11 patients and moderate
in seven. The equivalent figures for the same patients postoperatively
were no dysplasia in 24, mild in seven, and moderate in two. Pre-
operative and postoperative dysplasia scores in each patient were
then compared. In 13 patients the dysplasia score was zero both before and
after bile diversion. In 17 patients the dysplasia score improved, and in
three patients the score was worse. Table II shows the mean dysplasia
scores preoperatively and postoperatively for all patients and according
to the operation performed. When the preoperative and postoperative
scores were compared for all patients there was a significant improve-
ment after bile diversion (p=0.002). The same was true when patients in
whom a Roux loop was fashioned were compared (p=0.006). When only
patients who underwent closure of gastrojejunostomy

---

**TABLE I—Dysplasia in individual biopsy specimens before and after operation to divert bile (n=33) (figures are numbers (%) of specimen)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dysplasia score</th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0: No dysplasia</td>
<td>79 (64.7)</td>
<td>148 (91.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1: Focal mild</td>
<td>18 (14.8)</td>
<td>8 (4.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2: Widespread mild</td>
<td>13 (10.7)</td>
<td>3 (2.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3: Focal moderate</td>
<td>9 (7.4)</td>
<td>2 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4: Widespread moderate</td>
<td>3 (2.4)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5: Focal severe</td>
<td>2 (1.6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6: Widespread severe</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td>122</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TABLE II—Mean (SD) histological scores before and after procedure to divert bile**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Before</th>
<th>After</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastritis</td>
<td>1.75 (0.7)</td>
<td>1.62 (0.8)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Atrophy</td>
<td>0.65 (0.9)</td>
<td>1.95 (1.2)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intestinal metaplasia</td>
<td>0 (0.0)</td>
<td>3 (0.5)</td>
<td>NS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dysplasia</td>
<td>0.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>p=0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**GASTRITIS—The score for gastritis was based on the number and type of
inflammatory cells in the lamina propria. A score of 1 indicated a mild
infiltrate of inflammatory cells, confined to the superficial lamina propria and equivalent to “mild chronic superficial gastritis.” A score of 3 indicated that there was a heavy infiltrate of chronic inflammatory cells throughout the lamina propria. Most of these had some associated atrophy equivalent to “chronic atrophic gastritis.” A score of 5 indicated that there was a heavy infiltrate of acute as well as chronic inflammatory cells and often large numbers of intraepithelial neutrophils.**

Preoperative and postoperative scores were calculated for each of
these four variables for each patient by taking the average score of all
the preoperative and postoperative specimens obtained from that
patient. For the purposes of this report the terms preoperative and
postoperative refer to before or after the procedure to divert the bile.
Preoperative and postoperative scores for each variable were compared
statistically using the Wilcoxon test. In the case of dysplasia the
findings in each individual specimen are also given, but no statistical
analysis was carried out on these data as the specimens did not repre-
sent independent variables. The worst dysplasia found in each patient
is also given, but again this was not analysed statistically since more
specimens were taken postoperatively than preoperatively. As a
measure of reproducibility a proportion of the specimens was assessed
a second time unknown to the observers. The first and second
assessments were compared for reproducibility of scoring with
Kendall’s correlation coefficient.

---

**RESULTS**

---

**Br Med J (Clin Res Ed): first published as 10.1136/bmj.287.6403.1410 on 12 November 1983. Downloaded from http://www.bmj.com by guest. Protected by copyright.**
Discussion

Symptomatic improvement after procedures to divert bile is good. We have previously reported good clinical results after both formation of a Roux loop and closure of gastrojejunostomy.11 In our patients the improvement in symptoms was not paralleled by improvement in gastritis. An experimental study showed that gastritis was induced in five out of 12 dogs by partial gastrectomy and that subsequent transposition of a jejunal segment with an intussusception valve resulted in return of the gastric mucosa to normal.13 Mosimann et al., however, found that, although they could induce gastritis in dogs by diverting bile and pancreatic fluid into the stomach, they could not show any improvement in chronic inflammation in eight people who had undergone Roux en Y gastrojejunostomy for reflux gastritis.11 School et al also found no improvement three months after Roux en Y diversion in six patients,14 and Hoare et al found no improvement in 16 patients.15 The evidence suggests that changes in gastritis occurring in experimental animals cannot be extrapolated to man. This is not surprising as the animals' stomachs are presumably normal whereas the human stomach has been operated on for previous gastric or duodenal ulcer, both of which are associated with gastritis per se.16

The disparity between histological gastritis and symptoms found in our 33 patients has been noted by others in both stomachs that have not11 and stomachs that have16 been operated on.

The long term natural history of dysplasia remains unknown. Stokkeland et al found no progression of dysplasia in patients who had undergone endoscopies three years apart.16 Farini et al followed up 18 patients with moderate and severe dysplasia by endoscopy for six or 12 months and found that, whereas two cases progressed to carcinoma, regression also commonly occurred.17 It is difficult to be sure, however, whether true progression and regression took place as opposed to purely sampling differences between the endoscopic examinations. Similarly in our own study we cannot be sure that the dysplasia in one gastric gland or cell regressed. To have taken biopsy specimens from fixed areas before and after operation might have been misleading as accurate mapping would be extremely difficult in view of the anatomical change and distortion effected by the operation. Additionally, the areas affected by dysplasia tended to be patchy, even within one biopsy specimen, and accurate mapping on this scale is clearly impossible. The fact that statistically less dysplasia was found in random biopsy samples after diversion of bile does at least suggest the possibility that the dysplasia did regress. The fact that no case of severe dysplasia was seen either preoperatively or postoperatively, however, means that our findings are confined to moderate and mild dysplasia.

Our findings suggest that reflux of the small-bowel contents into the stomach is important in the pathogenesis of gastric dysplasia in these patients. This agrees with the opinion of Domellof et al and the finding of Thomas et al of a positive correlation between bile reflux and gastric mucosal dysplasia. As the natural history of gastric dysplasia is uncertain we cannot advocate diversion of bile as a treatment for patients in whom dysplasia is found. If in the future dysplasia is found to have considerable premalignant potential, however, then diversion of bile may be considered at least in those patients in whom progressive abnormalities are found in sequential endoscopies.

No difference in the incidence of intestinal metaplasia was found. This is not surprising as it is uncertain whether gastric mucosa in man has undergone intestinal metaplasia. The fact that no increase in intestinal metaplasia occurred raises the possibility that the diversion of bile halted its progression. This point also applies to mucosal atrophy, which also did not progress.

Our conclusions are that diversion of bile away from the stomach postoperatively leads to an improvement in gastric mucosal dysplasia. The importance of this finding can be assessed in detail only when the natural history of dysplasia is fully elucidated.
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