Pregnancy established in an infertile patient after transfer of a donated embryo fertilised in vitro
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Abstract
In vitro fertilisation after stimulation of the ovulatory cycle has led to successful pregnancy. If more oocytes are recovered than are needed they may be left un-fertilised, preserved, or donated to a recipient couple from whom oocytes cannot be obtained. A case of human pregnancy initiated by transfer of a donated embryo fertilised in vitro is reported. The donor was a 42 year old woman with primary infertility from whom six follicles were aspirated after stimulation of the ovulatory cycle. The recipient was a 38 year old infertile woman who had undergone several unsuccessful attempts for artificial insemination from a donor. Five oocytes were recovered from the donor's six follicles, four of which were inseminated with spermatozoa of the donor's husband and the fifth with a frozen sample of semen. Three of the four embryos fertilised by her husband were returned to the donor and the fifth was transferred to the recipient. No pregnancy was recorded in the donor, but pregnancy was confirmed in the recipient, though spontaneous abortion occurred after 10 weeks.

This case will give useful information for further study of in vitro fertilisation, but also raises many ethical issues.

Introduction
In vitro fertilisation after stimulation of the ovulatory cycle with clomiphene citrate or human menopausal gonadotrophin provides the opportunity to obtain a number of oocytes that may be fertilised and develop to normal fetuses and babies after replacement in the uterus. We normally advise patients undergoing in vitro fertilisation that not more than three embryos should be transplanted back in the uterus because of the obstetric risks associated with the potential establishment of a triplet or quadruplet pregnancy. If more than three oocytes are recovered the patients are given the following alternatives: not fertilising all the recovered oocytes, thereby limiting the number of potential embryos to the number desired for replacement; preserving the oocytes or embryos excess to that desired for replacement by techniques of embryo preservation, or donating oocytes to a recipient couple from whom we are unable to obtain oocytes because of severe pelvic disorders, risk of genetic disease, physical risk to the patient of in vitro fertilisation procedures, ovarian dygenesis, failed ovulatory stimulation, or the absence of ovaries.

The donation of oocytes is completely anonymous and certain steps are taken to preserve the anonymity of donor and recipient. Any embryo resulting from the donation of an oocyte is transferred in a completely separate institution from where the donor is treated and her embryos transferred. The identity of the donor is known only to the clinician in charge and the scientist conducting the laboratory procedures. Neither the recipient nor the donor is informed of the resulting outcome of embryo development, transfer, or pregnancy in the other patient. Informed consent for both donation and receipt of oocytes or embryos is obtained in writing from both parties.

We report the first case of a human pregnancy initiated by transfer of a donated embryo fertilised in vitro.

Patients and methods

DONOR
The donor was a 42 year old woman complaining of primary infertility for four years. Regular ovulation was confirmed during 28 day cycles. Laparoscopy showed a blocked left fallopian tube and a tortuous patent right tube. There was a pedunculated fibromyoma 3 cm in diameter attached to the fundus of the uterus, though the uterine cavity felt smooth on curettage. The ovaries were normal and fully accessible. Analysis of her husband's semen showed consistent oligospermia with concentrations from 7 to $12 \times 10^6$ spermatozoa/ml and sperm motilities from 40 to 50%.

The donor was given 100 mg clomiphene citrate (Clomid; Merrell,
Sydney, Australia) for two days starting on the first day of her menses and 150 mg clomiphene for the next three days. In addition she was given 75 IU human menopausal gonadotrophins (Humagon; Organon, Sydney, Australia) daily from day 2 (second day after menses began) to day 6, 150 IU on days 7 to 9, and 75 IU on day 10. The daily dosage of human menopausal gonadotrophins was determined by the changes in plasma concentrations of oestriadiol 17β (fig 1). The patient had a spontaneous surge of luteinising hormone at 0400 hours on day 14 (fig 2) as assessed by radioimmunoassay of three hourly urine collection.3 Laparoscopy was timed for 23 hours after the start of the surge of luteinising hormone. Six large follicles were aspirated and five oocytes recovered. The oocytes were incubated in 1 ml culture medium for seven hours before being inseminated.4

**RECIPIENT**

The recipient was a 38 year old woman who had been married for 19 years and infertile for 18 years and had one adopted child. Her husband had azoospermia, and in 1978 she presented for artificial donor insemination. Investigations of her fertility at that time showed no abnormality; findings in hysterosalpingogram were normal. After six cycles of unsuccessful artificial insemination from a donor, laparoscopy showed a normal patent right tube with abnormal peritubal adhesions on the patent left tube. After laparoscopy in 1979 she became pregnant after the first cycle of artificial insemination but aborted at eight weeks' gestation. A further nine cycles of artificial insemination failed, after which a second laparoscopy revealed a patent but abnormal left tube and an abnormal right tube containing a hole in the ampulla. An intramural fibromyoma 3 cm in diameter was noted on the posterior wall. In 1981 the patient underwent salpingolysis, closure of the hole in the right tube, and myomectomy. She developed a deep vein thrombosis of the right calf on the second postoperative day; this was treated with heparin and warfarin (Glaxo, Melbourne, Australia) for three months. A further seven cycles of artificial insemination were given, after which a hysterosalpingogram showed patent tubes.

Daily blood samples were obtained from the recipient beginning on day 10 of the menstrual cycle. Plasma was assayed for oestradiol 17β and progesterone on days 10 to 14 (fig 1) and for luteinising hormone on days 11 to 14 (fig 2) after a rise in oestradiol 17β concentrations. Urine was collected at home every six hours on days 11 to 14; the volume was recorded by the patient and a sample of each collection sent to the laboratory once a day for determination of luteinising hormone concentration. The time of the onset of the surge of luteinising hormone was taken as the first sample of 2000 IU/l/h, which occurred at 1500 hours on day 13 (fig 2).

Table 1 outlines the methods.

**INSEMINATION OF OOCYTES**

A semen sample was obtained from the donor's husband one hour before insemination and the spermatozoa washed free of seminal plasma by the addition of 3 ml of culture medium to 1 ml of semen and a single centrifugation for 8 min at 200 g. Motile spermatozoa were allowed to migrate from the sperm pellet into 2 ml culture medium during 20 min incubation at 37°C. A total of 60 000 motile spermatozoa in 50 µl were added to each oocyte in 1 ml of culture medium. Four of the five oocytes recovered from the donor were inseminated with spermatozoa of the donor's husband. A frozen sample of semen,5 matched to the recipient's husband, was thawed and 0·5 ml of cryopreserved semen was added to 3·5 ml of culture medium before centrifugation. A total of 60 000 motile spermatozoa in 50 µl were added to one oocyte in 1 ml of culture medium.

**TREATMENT AND TRANSFER OF EMBRYOS**

Twelve hours after insemination the cumulus cells were removed from the oocytes; all four oocytes inseminated with the semen of the donor's husband had fertilised and the oocyte inseminated with the frozen donor semen for the recipient couple had also fertilised. They oocytes were returned to culture for 33 hours to enable cleavage and development.

One three-cell and two four-cell embryos were transferred to the recipient using an open ended Teflon catheter.6 The patient remained in hospital for a further six hours and then returned home. One hour later, a single four-cell embryo was transferred to the recipient, who returned home after one hour's rest in the position used for embryo transfer.

**PREGNANCY**

Blood samples were obtained from both the donor and recipient 12 and 14 days after laparoscopy. Table II shows the concentrations of plasma progesterone and human chorionic gonadotrophin in both women. There was no indication of pregnancy in the donor, but an apparently normal pregnancy was initiated in the recipient. Further blood samples obtained from the recipient confirmed the establishment of an apparently normal pregnancy up to six weeks after laparoscopy (table II). An ultrasound examination carried out six weeks after laparoscopy confirmed an apparently normal pregnancy with a gestational age of 8 weeks. A further ultrasound 12 weeks later confirmed normal fetal development.
gestation sac of a size within normal limits. Seven weeks after transfer the patient noticed some slight vaginal bleeding, and plasma human chorionic gonadotrophin and progesterone concentrations began to fall (table II). These continued to fall and she finally aborted spontaneously 10 weeks after transfer. Cytogenetic study of the abortus included a female karyotype with trisomy of chromosome 9 (47, XX, +9).

Thirdly, the successful transfer of a donated embryo enables the treatment of a new group of infertile patients. This includes patients who are known to carry inheritable genetic disease, those with severe pelvic disease or abnormality preventing access to the ovaries for conventional oocyte recovery\textsuperscript{10} or ultrasonically guided follicular aspiration,\textsuperscript{10} those whose ovaries do not respond to stimulation therapy, and those patients at risk because of treatment and procedures used for in vitro fertilisation—for example, coagulation disorders and previous thromboembolic disease.

The recipient in our study had had a previous deep vein thrombosis and the thromboembolic risk resulting from repeated laparoscopies contraindicated the procedure of in vitro fertilisation, as cardiovascular homeostasis may be affected by the pneumoperitoneum and the use of prolonged anaesthesia.\textsuperscript{17, 18} It may be argued that the risk of pregnancy with such a condition should exclude her from even donated embryo transfer, but given the full explanation of such risks the patient and her husband wished to proceed. This is analogous to the situation of a woman proceeding to natural conception, the importance of the previous thromboembolic episode in determining the risk in a future pregnancy having been explained to her and the patient then deciding whether to take this risk. In 15 women who became pregnant after previous thromboembolic phenomena, three developed thrombosis. Subcutaneous heparin has been used to prevent thromboembolism in pregnant women at risk,\textsuperscript{19} although Hirsh \textit{et al} recommended careful observation without anticoagulants in pregnant women who have had only a single episode of thromboembolism before pregnancy.\textsuperscript{20} The patient was not given anticoagulants.

Other clinical characteristics of the case include the condition of azoospermia in the recipient's husband and the failure of the wife to conceive after 22 cycles of artificial insemination with donor semen. Conception was prevented by some undiagnosed condition, which could have included some abnormality of ovulation and oocyte release or transport along the fallopian tubes or genetic abnormality of the oocyte. The pregnancy was certainly initiated by donated embryo transfer because of the husband's azoospermia, the duration of infertility, and the failure of artificial insemination. This was the second transfer of a donated embryo to the recipient, the first was not successful in initiating pregnancy. It is also of interest to note that the developing embryo is genetically foreign to the recipient, having none of the recipient's own genes. In animal experiments this does not effect the survival of pregnancy provided that embryo transfers are within the same species.

Previous reports of conceptsuses with trisomy of chromosome 9 indicate abortion occurs at 68 to 104 days (menstrual age) in women aged 27 to 42 years of age.\textsuperscript{21} This may be errors of the first or second meiotic division in either the male or females gametes. It is not known whether a higher incidence of trisomy is associated with in vitro fertilisation. In our own studies the only ectopic pregnancy resulting from in vitro fertilisation was identified as a trisomy of chromosome 21. No other chromosome abnormalities have been identified.

This was the donor's first attempt to conceive by in vitro fertilisation and embryo transfer. Several factors may have reduced the chance of pregnancy in her case. Firstly, her husband is oligospermic and his sperm concentrations are consistently below 10 \textsuperscript{10} spermatozoa/ml semen. Fertilisation rate is normally lower in such cases\textsuperscript{22} and the chance of pregnancy after embryo transfer may also be reduced. Secondly, the wife is 42 years old, which may also reduce the chance of pregnancy after embryo transfer, though we have been unable to demonstrate such an effect in our studies. Thirdly, the subserous fibromyoma could be a possible factor in her infertility.

New ethical issues, apart from those associated with in vitro fertilisation, are the use of donor material, both sperm and oocyte, and the effect, if any, on the donors who have not become pregnant. The ethics committee of the Queen Victoria Medical Centre allowed oocyte donation provided that the donor and recipient remained anonymous, thus preventing the

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE II—Detection of pregnancy after embryo transfer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>No of days after laparoscopy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Progesterone (ng/l)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HCG = Human chorionic gonadotrophin.

Discussion

This is the first successful attempt to transfer an embryo from a donor to recipient in the human, though it is a common procedure in animal breeding. Several elements in this report are important for human infertility and for the technique of in vitro fertilisation and embryo transfer. Firstly, we have shown that it is possible to transfer embryos successfully between patients who have a surge of luteinising hormone within 24 hours of each other. In animals successful embryo transfer depends on the degree of synchronisation of ovulation.\textsuperscript{11} In our study the recipient's surge of luteinising hormone began about 13 hours before the donor's and she presumably would have ovulated a similar number of hours earlier. The techniques used to determine the onset of the surge of luteinising hormone in the recipient appear to give the appropriate precision necessary to synchronise the donor and recipient.

Secondly, the failure of pregnancy in the donor who had three apparently normal embryos transferred compared with the initiation of successful pregnancy in the recipient who had a single embryo transferred may enable us to separate the relative contributions of embryo viability and uterine receptivity to the pregnancy rate of transferred embryos. Patients whose ovulatory cycles were stimulated with clomiphene citrate\textsuperscript{13} or gonadotrophins\textsuperscript{13} may have a reduced capacity for implantation because of inadequate or abnormal uterine response and a reduced length of the luteal phase. The donor in the present case had very low plasma progesterone concentrations 12 days after laparoscopy (table II). If pregnancy rate is significantly higher in spontaneously ovulating recipients, having transferred the same number of embryos, this would indicate that uterine receptivity for embryo development is reduced by the treatments used to obtain multiple oocytes for in vitro fertilisation. The contribution of embryo viability to pregnancy rate may be assessed by the relative increase in pregnancy rate and the number of fetuses developing with the number of embryos transferred. Our present data would indicate that a relatively small increase in pregnancy rate occurs with the transfer of three or four embryos compared with that with two embryos.\textsuperscript{14} Pregnancy rate increases after the transfer of twin compared with single embryos, though our results suggest this is smaller than previously reported and closer to those obtained by Edwards and Steptoe. If embryo viability has a limited contribution to increased pregnancy rate, the possible pregnancy rate that could be achieved by in vitro fertilisation may be governed by uterine receptivity.
The possibility of interaction or argument between the genetic and rearing parents. This ignores the possible need of the child to identify the genetic parents, as some adopted children have desired. Oocyte donation differs in one way from sperm donation in so far as the law tends to identify the mother as the person who is pregnant and gives birth, whereas the father is identified as the person who provides the spermatozoa.14 In the current pregnancy both sperm and egg donors were used. While this makes identification of the patients in a legal context more complex it may have one advantage. Connolly, a moral theologian, postulates that if both the oocyte and sperm are donated, any possible psychological ill effects on the marriage may be less, as neither of the infertile couple are genetic parents and both are rearing parents so that the contribution of the couple to the conception is more balanced than in artificial insemination by a donor.15

The possibility of resentment among donors who have themselves not become pregnant and who have given oocytes in the cycle in which the recipient woman became pregnant may arise if the duration of gestation of the recipient's pregnancy becomes known. This information would be suppressed. As more pregnancies occur the problem is less likely to arise, but in asking women to donate their oocytes it may be worth while pointing out the possibility that their original motivation to help someone else may increase their disappointment and lead to resentiment should their own attempt fail.
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