

produces a stigma which, if it arises in childhood, must be dealt with by the family. The approach adopted and its course depend on the nature of the stigma. For example, social development might be delayed or even impaired by mental retardation, altering the possible ways for the family to react. Again, when the handicap is particularly severe, the individual might not be expected to achieve independence from his family, who therefore have greater difficulty reaching the courtesy stigma stage. Parental reactions might be expected to be quite different if the stigma becomes apparent initially some time after birth.

No specific mention has been made of the management of stigma. In principle, this should entail minimising its effects, such as spread and the discomfort it causes. An important preliminary step, however, is its recognition. Because of the universality of stigma, this requires no specialist knowledge or training. The attendants of handicapped children and of their families need to be sensitive to the existence of stigma and particularly to recognise that they, like others and despite their training, are susceptible to its effects. Failure to do so undoubtedly contributes to the often awkward nature of the interactions between stigmatised families and professionals. Through their own responses to a stigmatised infant, professionals have the opportunity of showing how they would present the child to others, a problem that its parents see as immediately relevant. Reassurance here is likely to promote a better relationship between the family and its attendants.

The fundamental problem underlying all this is the extent to which the needs of the handicapped will continue to be distorted by the perceptions of handicap adopted by society. Stigmatisation must therefore eventually be tackled as a problem of society rather than of the stigmatised.

Many colleagues and friends contributed ideas, material, and criticism. For their detailed comments and criticism of the manuscript, particular thanks go to John Corbett, Chris Dare, Lotte Mason, and Justin Schlicht. Responsibility for the opinions expressed remains entirely my own.

References

- Ablon J. Stigmatized health conditions. *Soc Sci Med* 1981;**15B**:5-9.
- Goffman E. *Stigma: notes on the management of spoiled identity*. Eaglewood Cliffs, New Jersey: Prentice-Hall, 1963.
- Goffman E. *Asylums*. New York: Anchor Books, 1961.
- Freidson E. *Profession of medicine: a study of the sociology of applied knowledge*. New York: Dodd, Mead, 1979.
- Davis F. Deviance disavowal: the management of strained interaction by the visibly handicapped. *Social Problems* 1961;**9**:120-32.
- Fisher S. *Body experience in fantasy and behavior*. New York: Appleton-Century-Crofts, 1970.
- Yamamoto K. To be different. In: Stubbins J, ed. *Social and psychological aspects of disability*. Baltimore: University Park Press, 1977:197-205.
- Shearer A. *Disability: whose handicap?* Oxford: Basil Blackwell, 1981.
- Cross MJ. The psychology of physical disability—helpful or harmful? *Bulletin of the British Psychological Society* 1981;**34**:456-8.
- Anthony WA. Societal rehabilitation: changing society's attitudes towards the physically and mentally handicapped. In: Stubbins J, ed. *Social and psychological aspects of disability*. Baltimore: University Park Press, 1977:269-78.
- Wright BA. *Physical disability—a psychological approach*. New York: Harper and Row, 1960.
- Carr J. The effect of the severely subnormal on their families. In: Clarke AM, Clarke ADB, eds. *Mental deficiency: the changing outlook*. London: Methuen, 1974:807-39.
- Taylor DC. Counselling the parents of handicapped children. *Br Med J* 1982;**284**:1027-8.
- Selbit AJ, Stark MB. Mourning and the birth of a defective child. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 1961;**16**:523-37.
- Robine GL, Dwyer TB, Huntington DR. Maternal attachment and pregnancy. *Psychosomatics* 1972;**13**:202-10.
- Wenner NE, Cohen MD, Weigert BV, Richards RJ, Okamoto S, Feering JM. Emotional problems in pregnancy. *Psychiatry* 1969;**32**:389-410.
- Klaus MH, Jerauld R, Kreger NC, McAlpine W, Steffa M, Kennell JH. Maternal attachment; importance of the first post-partum days. *N Engl J Med* 1972;**286**:460-3.
- Roskies E. *Abnormality and normality: the mothering of thalidomide children*. Ithaca: Cornell University Press, 1972.
- Lussier A. The analysis of a boy with a congenital deformity. *Psychoanalytic Study of the Child* 1960;**15**:430-53.
- Fox AM. Psychological problems of physically handicapped children. *Br J Hosp Med* 1977;**17**:479-90.
- Hewett S. *The family and the handicapped child: a study of cerebral palsied children in their homes*. London: George Allen and Unwin, 1970.
- Olshansky S. Chronic sorrow: a response to having a mentally defective child. *Social Casework* 1962;**43**:190-3.
- Centers L, Centers R. Body cathexes of parents of normal and malformed children for progeny and self. *Journal of Consulting Psychology* 1963;**27**:319-23.
- Gath A. The impact of an abnormal child on the parents. *Br J Psychiatry* 1977;**130**:405-10.
- Blakeslee B. *The limb deficient child*. Los Angeles: University of California Press, 1963.
- Franklin AW. Physically handicapped babies: some thalidomide lessons. *Lancet* 1963;**ii**:959-62.
- Bertenthal BI, Fischer KW. Development of self recognition in the infant. *Developmental Psychology* 1978;**14**:44-50.
- Schilder P. *The image and appearance of the human body*. London: Kegan Paul, Trench, Trubner and Co, 1935.
- Sypniewski BL. The child with terminal transverse partial hemimelia: a review of the literature on prosthetic management. *Artificial Limbs* 1972;**16**:20-50.
- Richardson SA. Some social psychological consequences of handicapping. *Pediatrics* 1963;**35**:291-7.
- Thomas JB. *The self in education*. London: National Foundation for Educational Research, 1980.
- Burns RB. *The self concept*. London: Longmans, 1979.
- Katz P, Zigler E. Self image disparity: a developmental approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1967;**5**:186-95.
- Staffieri JR. A study of social stereotype of body image in children. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 1967;**7**:101-4.
- Lerner PM, Karabenick SA, Meisels M. Effects of age and sex on the development of personal space schemata towards body build. *J Genet Psychol* 1975;**127**:91-101.
- Simmel M. Developmental aspects of the body scheme. *Child Development* 1966;**37**:83-95.
- Sensky T. A consumer's guide to "bionic arms." *Br Med J* 1980;**281**:126-7.
- Gingras G, Mongeau M, Moreault P, Dupuis M, Hebert B, Corriveau C. Congenital anomalies of the limbs II: psychological and educational aspects. *Can Med Assoc J* 1964;**91**:115-9.
- Blaxter M. *The meaning of disability: a sociological study of impairment*. London: Heinemann, 1976.
- Tait F. Disabled or handicapped: what's the difference? *Health Trends* 1981;**13**:109-11.

(Accepted 30 June 1982)

Correction

Scandinavian and Dutch lessons in childhood road traffic accident prevention

Errors occurred in two of the figures in this article (28 August-4 September, pp 621-6). In fig 8 the key read "% of total due to RTAs": this should have read "% of total due to accidents." Several errors occurred in fig 9 and the corrected version appears below.

