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Abstract
For a combined total of three years meetings have been held regularly on two neonatal units for parents with infants requiring neonatal intensive care. These meetings give parents an opportunity to meet each other and members of staff in an informal atmosphere in the hope that mutual support will be gained. Initial assessment shows that the parents gain confidence in their ability to look after such small babies. The staff have gained a greater insight into the parents’ anxieties and problems and changes have been made in the procedures on these units. If new insight is to result in changes that benefit the parents their views must be made known and taken into account when policy decisions are made.

Introduction
Parents whose infants require special care usually have little knowledge of the problems of prematurity or the confusing equipment required for treatment. In an alien environment, where doctors, nurses, and machines take over the care of their infant, parents feel not only acutely anxious but also superfluous. Understandably many find it difficult to relate to their baby, which may be a major factor in the increased incidence of child abuse and non-organic failure to thrive among infants discharged from neonatal units. Parents with babies in a neonatal unit share many common experiences. Can they give each other support? We report on parents’ meetings in two neonatal units. We think that these meetings are useful, and we would encourage other units to consider a similar venture.

Aims of the meetings
Weekly parents’ meetings were set up to:
1. Give parents more opportunity to get to know each other and, by sharing common experiences, decrease anxiety and increase confidence.
2. Enable parents to teach staff about their experiences and suggest improvements.
3. Discuss practical problems and worries.
4. Identify parents needing more individual help.

Structure of the meetings
Both neonatal units are busy regional referral centres. Of the total number (688) of admissions at City Hospital, 35% were from a peripheral hospital, as were 45% of the 251 babies admitted to St George’s Hospital in 1981.

Meetings began at St George’s in October 1980, as a result of a conversation with two parents who thought that more contact with other parents would have been helpful during their baby’s three-month stay in the unit. In Nottingham meetings began on a two-month trial basis in March 1981 and were then evaluated. Meetings have continued in both units ever since.

The meetings are held on weekday evenings in informal sitting.
rooms with tea and coffee provided. All parents are given written and verbal invitations, and the meetings are publicised on posters. Discussion develops from whatever points parents raise; there are no set topics. Detailed consideration of individual management problems is avoided, and meetings are not intended to be a "therapy group" in the psychiatric sense.

At each centre six professionals run the meetings. They include neonatal sisters, junior doctors, social workers, and a community midwife. Two or three attend each week and keep a written record. They also meet separately to discuss the running of the group and specific issues raised by the parents. Consultants do not attend the meetings but have given their support throughout.

Results

On average, five parents attend but this varied from none to 12. In both centres about half of the parents whose baby was in the unit for more than two weeks came to at least one meeting. Some came every week during their infant's stay.

Initial assessment of the group in Nottingham by a confidential written questionnaire showed that parents who attended the meetings found them supportive and thought that they should continue in the same format. This was confirmed by a similar questionnaire to a sample of parents at St George's. We specifically asked whether the meetings provoked anxiety; no parent found that they did.

COMMON SUBJECTS DISCUSSED IN THE MEETINGS

Parents found the meetings a useful forum to discuss a wide range of subjects such as the following.

Antenatal problems

Many women had had problems with pregnancies and spent long days on the antenatal ward with little to occupy them except their anxieties about the outcome of their pregnancy. Those who had visited the neonatal unit and talked to paediatric staff found this reassuring. Others had not had the opportunity to do so.

Some women had had normal pregnancies until they went into premature labour unexpectedly. They described their bewilderment at being rushed into hospital and their disorientation during long hours in the labour ward attached to drips and monitors: "I did not know if it was day or night." "My head was throbbing from the effect of the salbutamol drip and I could not recognise people coming in and out of the room." Some women said that they were not told enough about what was happening to them or had been given conflicting views. Both parents often felt physically and mentally unprepared for the birth: "I feel that I have had a major operation (caesarean section) but not that I have had a baby." "I should have had another two months to get used to being a father."

First postnatal days

Most mothers had had only a brief glimpse of their baby before it was whisked away to the neonatal unit. Fathers, who usually first saw the baby in the unit, spoke of difficulties in telling all that they had been told about their baby's condition and treatment to mothers on the postnatal ward. It was often several hours or even days before the father or partner saw the baby. Some said that they did not like to look at the baby and that they were nervous at the prospect of looking after their baby at home. They were worried about feeding, keeping the baby warm, infections, vaccinations, and in particular how much their general practitioner and health visitor would know about premature infants. In Nottingham the community midwife attending the meetings provided valuable continuity between the hospital and the community. At St George's a few parents attended meetings by invitation after they had taken their babies home and were able to give good practical advice and reassurance.

Discussion

Self-help groups have increased in recent years and are now found in several areas of paediatrics. Groups for parents of
Clinical curiosity: Sleep phobia after awareness during general anaesthesia: treatment by induced wakefulness

A mother suffered from recurrent nightmares, and difficulty in going to sleep, after experiencing awareness during general anaesthesia for caesarean section. Bronchospsasm had occurred immediately after induction and endotracheal intubation. The patient remembered waking after she had gone to sleep and hearing the anaesthetist’s utterances about her blue colour. She felt the pain of the incision. After waking, the pain was not, but she could still feel the sternum working. She thought she had “had it” and could not move to tell anyone. Thereafter she feared going to sleep. Lying on her back produced a sinking feeling and a sense of panic. When she did sleep, she was likely to wake up from a nightmare in which she could not breathe. Hypnosis improved this condition, but her symptoms continued to cause disability.

Six years later the patient needed a minor operation and was referred for advice. At interview, recalling her experience and its effects, patients find it hard to explain. For her forthcoming minor operation she was offered the opportunity of undergoing a general anaesthetic, with a muscle relaxant, during which she would deliberately be rendered wakeful. She would be able to communicate only with her right hand. Her right forearm would be isolated from the muscle relaxant by a pneumatic tourniquet. This time she would not be a blue colour, and she would be holding the hand of an anesthetist who was reassuring her. She accepted these proposed anaesthetic manoeuvres in the hope that her sleep disturbances and nightmares might be eliminated. During the anaesthetic the patient became wakeful when inspiring halothane 0.5% and when the nitrous oxide had been reduced to 20%. Her right-hand movements came under her control, and she was able to signal that she was physically comfortable. After further reassurance and suggestion the anaesthetic was deepened and the operation began. Shortly after recovery from the anaesthetic the patient was wretched in smiles and stated that she had had a lovely sleep, with no memory of being awake at any time during the anaesthetic or of dreaming. That evening and the next day she felt better. Her happy postoperative state contrasted appreciably with her preoperative state. Four months later she had had no recurrence of her nightmares, her husband had told her that her personality was back to normal, and she could lie on her back without a sinking feeling and a sense of sheer panic. Occasionally there was still a slight sense of panic on retiring, but she would just read a book and then go happily off to sleep.

Reliving the trauma was akin to abrasion. The confrontation with the dreaded situation under circumstances in which the anxiety was removed can also be seen as flooding. It was essential to lighten the anaesthesia sufficiently to allow the patient to orientate, exert self-control, and communicate clearly. Without clear communication combined with good rapport and trust there could have been additional trauma and a further deterioration in her condition—M E Tunstall, consultant anaesthetist, and I M Lowit, consultant psychiatrist, Aberdeen.

Conclusion

These two neonatal units have many differences but our experiences of holding meetings for parents over the past 18 months has been so similar that a joint report is possible. In recent years progress in neonatal intensive care has been rapid. As more expertise and technology is brought to bear on the physiological needs of very small infants it behoves us to consider the needs of the parents whose babies we care for. Parent meetings must never replace individual communication between parents and staff but can provide useful additional support for parents at a very stressful time. We suggest that they could be run with benefit to both parents and staff in other neonatal units.
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