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Summary and conclusions
Long-term catheter management is best conducted by specially trained community staff, provided there is close liaison with the urologist. Patients, hospital staff, and the primary health care team all benefit. The scheme is cost-effective, more convenient for patients and relatives, and reduces the need for emergency calls to the general practitioner and hospitals. We advocate development of similar schemes in other districts.

Introduction
Long-term urethral catheterisation is a necessity in some patients and a convenience in others. It is always to be regarded as second best.

Intermittent self-catheterisation has been advocated, especially in America. It is suitable for some women who can deal with the problem of residual urine by catheterisation once or twice daily. Clearly most men, and in particular the elderly, cannot use this technique. Long-term catheter drainage may be indicated in the management of chronic retention and incontinence when patients are either grossly unfit or before surgery. With improved anaesthetic and surgical techniques, fewer patients need catheters, but there is still an appreciable work load. Some patients can be weaned from catheter drainage after several months; others will become fit enough for prostatectomy; but a few will need catheterisation always.

Happily, the old days of Malecot or De Pezzer suprapubic catheters have passed with all their problems. It was impossible to prevent leakage around the catheter and bladder capacity was reduced, the bladder becoming a fibrosed thimble. Modern urethral catheters mean easier management. With suitable care, bladder capacity can be maintained, thereby increasing the chance of subsequent normal micturition.

Long-term urethral catheter drainage
After a one-day symposium on incontinence held in the Walton Conference Centre, Southern General Hospital, it was thought that long-term urethral catheter management could be improved. A major step forward has been the closer liaison with the community nursing service, culminating in the appointment in the south-western district, Glasgow, of a nurse specialising in urology. Community nurses specially trained in catheter management have already worked in Reading. Replacement of hospital-based catheter-change clinics with primary care domiciliary management, however, has not been introduced on a wide scale.

Hospital-based catheter-change clinics
The only means of follow-up previously available to patients with catheters was the hospital catheter-change clinic in the urology ward. Patients attended this clinic every two months either for a review or for a change of catheter. Many of them were elderly and of impaired mobility (six out of seven needed an ambulance). Long delays resulted from this heavy demand, patients often arriving for the clinic at midday and not reaching home until evening. Various district nurses looked after them between visits to the clinic, as their relatives were unavailable or not well enough themselves to help.

Catheter blockage was a common and major problem, as is still the case in patients outside the south-western district. General practitioners generally dislike handling catheters and sent the patients to hospital, which resulted in the urology ward having to cope with emergency referrals every day—a major problem in this busy department.

Primary care domiciliary management
All our patients on long-term catheter drainage who live in the south-western district, Glasgow, are now followed up at home after discharge from the ward. The district charge nurse visits the urology wards at least three times a week to see new patients before discharge and attends the weekly urology staff meetings, which provide an interchange of ideas and information among all grades of hospital, community, and primary care staff. The general practitioners are fully informed and are advised to contact the staff when necessary.

When difficulties arise patients are advised to contact the district charge nurse, which avoids the need for referral to hospital and results in a reduced work load for the urology department and the ambulance service as well as being easier for the patient and relatives.

Another advantage that trial without catheter can be performed at home, which again saves a hospital admission. Six patients have been successfully weaned from catheter drainage in this way in the past nine months. As the home-based service expands more patients will have this opportunity.

Details of catheter management
The emphasis now is on preventing complications, and Table 1 shows the treatment regimens for two categories of patients. We agree with the expressed view that large urethral catheters are used too often. We prefer sizes Ch 16-18. Although Silastic-type catheters are said to minimise encrustation by virtue of a smoother surface, we found no difference between these and less expensive catheters. Six months has been suggested as the optimum time for a Silastic catheter to be left in situ. After this time the catheter is usually removed for cleaning.

Table 1—Management schedules for two categories of patients

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Management schedule for two categories of patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>1</strong></td>
<td>No grit deposits in urine. Tube clear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Positive urine culture but no systemic upset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occasional discomfort from catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bypassing uncommon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generally no difficulty in removing catheter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong></td>
<td>Grit deposits in urine. Corroding in tube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Recurring urinary tract infection causing systemic upset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penile pain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bypassing common</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Occasionally difficulty in removing catheter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Catheter check every two weeks or less
Catheter changes between eight and 12 weeks

**Category 2**
Catheter changes every two weeks or less
Catheter changes between eight and 12 weeks

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Management</th>
<th>Bladder lavage two to three times a week, by relatives when possible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cather changes each month or sooner if needed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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ciable infection. The authors stated that in elderly incontinent geriatric patients needing catheterisation “infection” of this type is probably not serious in view of the limited life expectancy of the group. This concern is largely unnecessary being based on bacteriology reports rather than clinical findings. Patients with long-term catheters will have positive urine cultures. Antibiotics are unnecessary and will result in antibiotic-resistant organisms developing.

Various fluids may be used for bladder lavage, but we think cold tap water drawn from the mains tap is preferable in the home. We assessed three types of irrigating fluid for sterility, each for a period of one month. These were (1) boiled water cooled externally, (2) a 1 in 5000 solution of chlorhexidine, and (3) cold tap water. No significant bacterial growth was identified in the apparatus used in bladder lavage, and no growth was found in any of the samples of the three groups of irrigation fluid.

The irrigation syringe should be stored in a dry state to reduce the growth of bacteria or other organisms. The piston must be removed to ensure complete dryness. Re-use of the plastic disposable syringe—results in a considerable saving. This has also been commented on by Greenough et al, who recommended the re-use of plastic syringes by diabetic patients. The use of tap water avoids the need to carry relatively expensive and heavy solutions to the patient’s house.

Conclusions

We would advocate the setting up of domiciliary-based care for these patients. Not only is it easier and pleasanter for the patients and those who look after them but it is also more cost-effective.

We thank the hospital and community staff, and in particular Miss M Buchanan, divisional nursing officer, community nursing services, for their help and encouragement.
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Why does the current recruitment literature for blood donors imply that brucellosis is a contraindication but not mention a history of hepatitis? Are people who have had infectious hepatitis at any time still excluded? Patients taking drugs of any sort seem to be rejected, but surely very few drug metabolites could harm a recipient?

There are several reported examples of brucellosis being transmitted by transfusion of blood from a donor who shortly afterwards developed the disease. Because of the chronic nature of the disease, a history of brucellosis is a contraindication to blood donation. Similarly, a history of jaundice is a contraindication to donating blood for transfusion, and hepatitis is clearly the disorder to exclude. The viral antigen is present in the UK and USA in about 1 in 1000 donor bottles, and in some parts of the world in more than 5% of bottles. Positivity may persist for a long time and a period of 19 years has been recorded. “Patients,” whether taking drugs or not, should not donate blood. This is not so much because of the remote chance that the drug may produce an untoward effect on the recipient, but rather that any change in the patient’s clinical state may then be ascribed by the patient to the blood donation. Even small amounts of drugs such as penicillin, however, if introduced into the circulation of a recipient who has become sensitised, may produce an important reaction.


PROBLEMS OF LONG-TERM CATHETERISATION

Three main problems arise with long-term catheterisation—by-passing, catheter blockage, and symptomatic infection. Most patients are largely free from these problems when managed in accordance with the regimen for category 1 (table I). We emphasise the need for adequate fluid intake since concentrated urine can make bypassing worse. It acts as an irritant, is supersaturated, and results in grit formation. Concentrated urine is dark, and this sign warns the patient that he should drink more. Patients are also given general advice on personal hygiene; the presence of a catheter is not a barrier to having a bath or showering. A few patients develop grit deposits and subsequent catheter blockage despite regular bladder washouts two or three times a week and monthly catheter changes as indicated (category 2, table I). We find that a plain radiograph of the bladder area is useful in these patients to check that there is no bladder-stone formation.

At a routine visit the catheter is irrigated initially with about 40 ml of fluid. Any resistance to flow and the rate of return of flow is noted. This is the only method by which the internal lumen of the catheter can be assessed when continuous drainage is used. We prefer intermittent drainage as the flow of urine can be observed when the spigot is removed. These routine catheter checks are usually performed at home once a fortnight until the patient is stabilised. Many patients then manage routine catheter care with the help of relatives, and fewer visits are necessary. Some have recurring problems, however, which require regular attention.

Irritation of the bladder may cause uninhibited contractions and bypassing may result. An excessively large volume in the catheter balloon stimulates the bladder and is a common precipitating factor. The 30–40 ml mark on many catheters may mislead attendants into assuming this to be the recommended volume.

Patients with neurological disease, such as multiple sclerosis, postcerebrovascular accidents, and paraplegia including spinal injuries, are particularly subject to bypassing as a result of uninhibited contractions. Propantheline and similar agents have been much used in the management of uninhibited bladder contractions. Propantheline 15 mg daily increasing to 45 mg four times daily if necessary reduces bladder activity provided there are no significant side effects.

Continuous drainage is to be avoided for daytime use unless bypassing remains a problem. Release every two to three hours is ideal, giving convenience and independence. This also prevents erosion of the bladder mucosa by the tip of the catheter. Continuous catheter drainage will result in shrinking bladder capacity and reduce the possibility of possible weaning from catheter drainage in future. Continuous drainage is preferred for overnight use since the spigot may fall out in a restless patient. Leg bags can cause irritation of the skin, which may be avoided by covering the bag with fabric.

SYMPTOMATIC INFECTION

Positive urine cultures are almost the rule in patients with long-term catheters. It is important to realise that bacteriuria and significant infection are not synonymous. Short courses of an appropriate antibiotic are indicated only if there is evidence of systemic infection. A recent report compared three regimens and their effect on preventing infection in a group of mainly female geriatric patients. Bladder washouts with noxythiolin resulted in 6% of urine specimens being negative and 9%, were negative when continuous doses of oral hexamine hippurate were used. Week-long courses of antibiotics resulted in only 24% of urine samples being clear of organisms. In this series all episodes of bacteriuria were regarded as representing appre-