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Summary
A total of 12 chronically anxious psychiatric outpatients were treated with racemic propranolol (Inderal), diazepam (Valium), and placebo for one week each, using a balanced cross-over experimental design. Six patients had predominantly somatic anxiety, complaining mostly of bodily symptoms, and six had mainly psychic anxiety, complaining primarily of psychological symptoms. Clinical ratings of anxiety were made by patient and psychiatrist after each treatment. Though diazepam was in general more effective than propranolol or placebo in relieving anxiety, propranolol was more effective than placebo in patients with somatic anxiety but not in those with psychic anxiety. We suggest that propranolol should be reserved for patients whose anxiety symptoms are mainly somatic.
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Introduction
Granville-Grossman and Turner (1966) were the first to suggest that beta-adrenoceptor blockade might be effective in the treatment of pathological anxiety. Some more recent reports support this view (Wheatley, 1969; Conway, 1971; Bonn et al., 1972; Mcmillin, 1973). Nevertheless, neurotic anxiety is not commonly treated with beta-blocking drugs and there are no clear indications for their use. Granville-Grossman and Turner (1966) found that only autonomically mediated symptoms were significantly improved by propranolol; anxiety, tension, and irritability were not. In view of the known peripheral effects of beta-adrenoceptor blockade these findings were not unexpected, but to what extent a reduction in autonomic symptoms creates clinical improvement is debatable (Ramsay et al., 1973). Anxious patients vary greatly in their emphasis on the somatic or the psychological aspects of their anxiety. We took this into account in planning the present investigation of the effects of propranolol compared with those of diazepam and placebo in anxious patients.

Patients and Methods
Outpatients attending the Maudsley Hospital with a primary diagnosis of chronic anxiety state (continuous symptoms for at least four months) were considered for the trial. Patients with cardiac disease and asthma were excluded. Out of 15 patients selected one group suffered mainly from somatic anxiety, placing most emphasis on bodily symptoms, and another group...
suffered mainly from psychic anxiety, dismissing their somatic symptoms as secondary features. All had had previous psychiatric outpatient treatment and one had been an inpatient. Each patient was given three lots of white capsules of identical appearance, containing respectively racemic propranolol (40 mg), diazepam (2 mg), and placebo, to be taken for one week each over a period of three weeks. The dosage regimen was flexible and a range of three to nine capsules daily in three divided doses was allowed. Order effects of treatment were allowed for by using a Latin square experimental design. A period of one week's treatment with each drug was thought to be enough to show clinical response since their pharmacological effects are rapid in onset. Both propranolol (Shand et al., 1970) and diazepam (Van der Kleijn, 1971) have short half-lives and are rapidly excreted, so contamination of clinical response by persistence of the previously prescribed drug was unlikely. The mean daily dose taken was calculated from the number of unused capsules returned after each treatment.

The degree of anxiety was rated in each patient before the trial and after each treatment by a modification of the Hamilton rating scale (Hamilton, 1959) in which 100-mm linear scales were used instead of categorical ones (Lader and Marks, 1971). After all clinical ratings were completed a number of physiological measurements were recorded: the results of these will be published elsewhere. When each patient had completed all three treatments he was asked to rank them in order of efficacy. If the improvement from any of the treatments was ranked as substantial it was continued, though the drug concerned was not known by the investigator until the code was broken when the last patient had completed the trial.

Results

Three out of the 15 patients who entered the trial did not complete treatment—one because his symptoms worsened, and the other two because they continued previous medication despite instructions to the contrary. Six patients in each of the two groups completed treatment. All but four showed a clear preference for diazepam (table). When the results for the somatic and psychic anxiety groups are presented together propranolol appears to be no better than placebo, but when the results for the two groups are presented separately a differential response is shown. Propranolol was preferred to placebo in the somatic group but not in the psychic one.

### Patients' Treatment Preference

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>Case No.</th>
<th>Rank Order (With Correction for Tied Ranks)</th>
<th>Diazepam</th>
<th>Propranolol</th>
<th>Placebo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Somatic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Kendall's coefficient of concordance (w) (Siegel, 1956) = 0.51; P<0.01.

Statistical testing of the Hamilton ratings was carried out by a split-plot analysis of variance. Significant F ratios for drug and drug times group interaction were subjected to Tukey's test (Winer, 1962) to find the critical difference between individual drug means. The mean Hamilton rating of anxiety was significantly lower in both groups for diazepam (F = 10.2; D.F. 2, 16; P < 0.01) compared with the other agents. Again there was a differential response to propranolol in the two groups of patients; propranolol was almost as effective in the somatically-anxious patients as was diazepam, but substantially less so in the psychically anxious ones (fig.) (F = 5.0; D.F. 2, 16; P < 0.05). Order effects were insignificant. In some studies with anxious patients an initial improvement was shown whatever the treatment (Roberts and Hamilton, 1958; Granville-Grossman and Turner, 1966).

The absence of such a non-specific response in our patients can be explained by the chronicity of their complaints.

The means of the numbers of capsules taken daily were placebo, 3±1; diazepam, 48 (9±6 mg); propranolol, 30 (120 mg).

### FOLLOW-UP

The patients were followed up for a least six months after completing the trial. At six months two patients were still taking propranolol in small doses, five were taking diazepam (or chlordiazepoxide), and two were taking both. The nature of their symptoms did not alter appreciably.

Discussion

The results indicate that diazepam is generally more effective than propranolol (and placebo) in the treatment of morbid anxiety. Though the patients were a highly-selected sample whose anxiety was more persistent than usual there is no reason to believe that it was qualitatively different from milder forms. Nevertheless, propranolol does have important clinical effects in somatically anxious patients. Its efficacy in this group is comparable to diazepam and its use is preferable because it rarely produces sedation, is very safe, and not prone to abuse. It must be emphasized that the patients who responded relatively well to propranolol did not necessarily have more somatic symptoms than those in the psychic anxiety group, but they complained of them primarily and tended to deny the psychological aspects of their condition. Since somatic symptoms become more severe as anxiety increases it was important to ensure that the difference between the groups was not based on the severity of the conditions. A one-way analysis of variance on the patients' scores before treatment showed no significant difference between the two groups, so this possibility was unlikely.

It is interesting that most patients with psychic anxiety preferred placebo to propranolol. Lader and Tyrer (1972) found
that propranolol in a single dose of 120 mg caused normal people to feel significantly more troubled than when on placebo and that these feelings occurred in the absence of sedation. Perhaps alteration of bodily sensations may be perceived as unpleasant by those who do not complain of somatic anxiety. The therapeutic indications for propranolol in pathological anxiety seem to be confined to patients who do not complain of anxiety even though their somatic symptoms are clearly due to anxiety. Symptoms caused by tachycardia and tremor, both of which are significantly lessened by beta-adrenoceptor blockade in anxious patients (Turner et al., 1965; Marsden et al., 1968; Tyrer and Lader, 1973), are especially likely to be helped. In such patients the removal of the symptom is enough to bring considerable clinical relief. This supports the view that bodily symptoms may be more fundamental in morbld emotion than is usually thought (Tyrer, 1973), and is consistent with the long-standing clinical impression that patients with somatic complaints are best treated by somatic therapy (Misch, 1935).

The results of our study are also consistent with previous reports of the effectiveness of beta-receptor blockade in other conditions. It could be argued that the primary complaint in "hyperdynamic beta-adrenergic circulatory state" (Frohlich et al., 1966), "nervous heart complaint" (Nordenfelt et al., 1968), "hyperventilation syndrome" (Suzman, 1968), and "vasoregulatory asthenia" (Holmgren et al., 1957) is that of anxiety presenting in the guise of somatic malfunction. In all these conditions beta-receptor blockade is beneficial (Marsden, 1971). If the spectrum of somatic anxiety is extended to include such functional disorders the type of patient suitable for treatment with beta-blocking agents is better defined. It remains to be seen whether there are differences in response between individual beta-blocking agents but from our knowledge of their clinical effects in other conditions this seems unlikely, provided they are given in equipotent dosage (Thadani et al., 1973). The clinical presentation of anxiety is so varied and the pharmacological differences between the beta-blocking drugs so small by comparison that it is the selection of the right patient for treatment rather than choice of drug which is likely to be critical for success.
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Summary
A prospective trial of continuous chelation therapy in children with homozygous thalassaemia on a high transfusion regimen was started in April 1966. The effect of treatment on iron concentration in the liver and on hepatic histology was examined in 49 biopsy specimens obtained from nine chelator-treated patients and nine control patients between April 1966 and April 1973. Chelation therapy was associated with a significant reduction in liver iron concentration, and by the end of the trial the values for the two groups showed no overlap. A similar difference was seen in the amount of hepatic fibrosis, which showed little or no change in the chelator-treated patients but was progressive in the controls.

No major clinical differences were seen, probably because both groups included several older children who were heavily loaded with iron at the start of the trial. Among the younger patients, however, puberty was delayed in four of five controls but in only one of four chelator-treated children. Prepubertal growth rate was significantly greater in the chelator-treated patients than in the controls.