Discontinuation of Evening Surgery

SIR,—Many of Dr. T. Ternent's patients (1 April, p. 51) must now be attending his surgery during working hours. Since one's doctor is in one's home area (the dentist need not be), the commuters will also be taking time out for travelling. Is this trend to be encouraged? It is also likely to have serious effects for the patient with a long illness who is trying hard to hold down a job, and can at present obtain any necessary medical supervision in his own time.—I am, etc.,

H. E. MARGARET WELCH
London N.6

Contaminated Drip Fluids

SIR,—Early in January 1972 we investigated possible sources of contamination during the manufacture of sterile fluids, since some bottles of Darrow's solution (not for intravenous administration) were found to be contaminated. We also had a personal communication from Dr. Ian Phillips concerning similar difficulties, which he has since published (J. Med. Tech., 1972, 5, 746).

The autoclave used for the production of sterile fluids was of a type designed originally for the process of canning, which involves sterilization under pressure and subsequent cooling of the hermetically sealed containers by water. We suspected that this process might be unsuitable for the type of screw-cap bottles now in general use, and that when these bottles are cooled in a spray-cooled autoclave, if there is the slightest imperfection in the seal, they may draw in some cooling water. (The cooling water is deionized, but not sterilized.) We tested this hypothesis by autoclaving some bottles inverted in a tray of red dye and also incorporating fluorescein in the cooling water in another cycle. In both experiments dye entered one bottle in each batch.

The thread of the bottle and the metal caps used for sterile fluids at present is relatively coarse. The type of closure which relies upon a rubber liner is altogether unsuitable, as the liner cannot be relied upon to form a hermetic seal with the top of the bottle. Even when the cap is firmly screwed on some fluid may enter an apparently sealed glass bottle. For this reason we no longer use spray-cooling for this type of bottle although leaving out this step prolongs the sterilizing cycle. It is clear that this type of autoclave although conforming to the specification for steam sterilizers for bottled fluids (British Standard, 1970, Part 2, 1966) is entirely incompatible with the type of bottle at present widely used.

So far we have not been able to demonstrate the contamination by cooling water of solutions autoclaved in M.R.C. bottles which are closed by means of a rubber plug and metal screw-cap.

We suggest also to re-emphasize the importance of the inspection of bottles before use.1 We have recently found visible fungal myceliae growing in commercially prepared dextrose solutions. The apparently intact bottles, on close inspection, were found to have fine cracks running through areas of mould growing in the gum on the back of the labels. The cracks were presumably due to rough handling in transit. An episode of this type was reported and discussed by Robertson.2—We are, etc.,

ANNIE C. MADDOCKS
GEORGE RAINIE
Departments of Pharmacy and Microbiology,
St. Bartholomew's Hospital,
London E.1

Acute Salicylate Poisoning

SIR,—Your leading article (29 January, p. 263) on acute salicylate poisoning does not sufficiently emphasize the importance of alkalizing the urine, particularly to the pH of 7.6-8.6 by acetazolamide or aceta zolamide and sodium bicarbonate. Morgan and Polak,1 by the latter regimen, reduced salicylate half-life in eight poisoning cases (from the normal of about 20 hours) to 6-3 hours, a considerable achievement. No toxicity or biochemical problems were encountered.

Your article states that "the use of acetazolamide in aspirin poisoning has shown that it may worsen metabolic acidemia." But of the three articles cited the first does not mention acetazolamide (or any carbonic anhydrase inhibitor) at all and the second and third state explicitly that metabolic acidosis was not increased by the combination of acetazolamide and either lactate or bicarbonate. There are in fact no data to show that even acetazolamide alone could conspicuously worsen metabolic acidosis over the relatively short time of treatment.

The data in the literature suggest strongly that in both adults and children4 aspirin poisoning can generally be handled safely and well by acetazolamide and sodium bicarbonate, in addition to the supportive treatment outlined in your article.—I am, etc.,

THOMAS H. MAREN
Department of Pharmacology and Therapeutics,
University of Florida,
U.S.A.

Regimen

Mean Time (Hours) to Reduce Plasma Salicylate Level to 1 mg/100 ml

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regimen</th>
<th>Mean Time (Hours)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forced oral</td>
<td>22±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced saline/lacteose</td>
<td>10±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced alkali</td>
<td>5±0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forced &quot;cocktail&quot;</td>
<td>6±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acetazolamide and</td>
<td>6±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bicarbonate</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

On this basis forced alkaline diuresis is the best, but the differences between the three most effective regimens are not of practical importance. The final choice of alkalizing regimen must be made with consideration of complications of acid-base and electrolyte imbalance resulting from treatment other than the rate of fall of plasma salicylate level. Despite Professor Maren's comments to the contrary rapid biochemical and acid-base changes do occur with acetazolamide and bicarbonate regimens, and at the least close biochemical monitoring is required, which is not necessary with forced "cocktail" diuresis.2

Schwartz et al.3 described a clinical study of three children treated with acetazolamide. The therapy was successful from the point of view of salicylate recovery but there were very severe biochemical changes encountered and large quantities of intravenous bicarbonate had to be administered to control the systemic acidosis which developed in the patients. As evidence of the severity of the changes two of the children had convulsions. Feuerstein et al.4 gave sodium lactate infusion before and during administration of acetazolamide, and so any conclusions which they made about acetazolamide and metabolic acidosis must be treated with reserve. Other authors raised serious objections to the clinical use of acetazolamide in salicylate poisoning. Smith5 suggested there may be an adverse synergistic action between salicylate and acetazolamide and concluded that in view of available information there was doubt about the clinical use of acetazolamide particularly in severe salicylate poisoning.—Ed., B.M.J.

Lawson, A. A. H., Quarterly Journal of Medicine, 1969, 38, 31.

Sickle-cell and Altitude

SIR,—The lengthy letter of Dr. R. L. Green and others (25 March, p. 803) failed to tell your readers how the categorical statement

in their original article [4 December 1971, p. 593]: “Haemoglobin studies later showed that she was a sickle-cell trait carrier” could now be reconciled with the statement in their introduction that the haematological investigations have not excluded a diagnosis of sickle-cell disease.” Your readers, especially those from Africa, would want to know how a Ghanaian nurse said to have needed laparotomy for intestinal infarction after the brief 45-minute flight from Kumasi to Accra was said by the authors to have the “sickle-cell trait” in one article only to be a “sickle-cell trait carrier” in another, when the diagnosis of sickle-cell disease was not excluded. Their original article with the sickle-cell trait intestinal infarction story was promptly quoted not only in The Times but also in Nature, and in the case of the former the conclusion of its Science Correspondent was such that Dr. Green and his colleagues found it necessary to write to disclaim responsibility for it.1,3

The bulk of the letter of Dr. Green and his colleagues was taken up with “fresh” evidence to prove that the true sickle-cell trait (with more HbA than S) could lead to sickling when the unpressurized aircraft. But the burden of my earlier criticism was that in their article they produced evidence which did not stand the test of scientific scrutiny, the most striking example of which is the Ghanaian nurse in whom, on the authors’ present admission, sickle-cell disease was not excluded. Your readers, Sir, are interested in scientific truth. I am, too, and very least I am entitled to expect that evidence obtained from my own country cannot be scientific if at least be true. —I am, etc,

F. I. D. KONOTYE-ARUHI

Department of Medicine and Therapeutics,
University of Ghana Medical School,
Kofo Tse Teaching Hospital,
Accra.

1 The Times, 9 December 1971.

Smoking and Vascular Disease

Sir,—Your leading article (1 April, p. 3) states unequivocally, “The medical profession is now faced with the problem which cigarette smoking has lessened,”4 without the support of any references. I would doubt if each and every professional group has been adequately sampled and reported on. Smoking and Health Now5 reports that after the publication of the 1962 report there was a sharp reduction in the number of men smoking cigarettes, a reduction maintained in social classes I, II, and III. The professional groups fall in these classes.

Your article goes on to point out that Pozner and Billimoria6 (in a small selected sample) found that “fasting plasma turbidity, cholesterol in supernatant plasma and pro-β lipoproteins were significantly increased in heavy smokers,” but you failed to make the most important point that in male heavy smokers one can account for about 60% of the mean of these significant levels. In a sample of 2,483 middle-aged males Howell7 failed to find significant correlation between smoking and haemoglobin, E.S.R., serum cholesterol, pro-β lipoprotein, and uric acid levels. The one striking finding, namely, a significantly increased white blood cell count in heavy smokers—has now been confirmed by Corre, Lellouch, and Schwartz.8 In some small way this may provide confirmation that the sample used by Howell was not atypical for males of that age group as the average smoking history extending over 20 years.—I am, etc,

R. W. HOWELL
Tilbury, Berks

1 Royal College of Physicians, Smoking and Health (Nov., 1962).

Recurrent Urinary Infections in a Girl

Sir,—I read with interest the warm flow of correspondence between Drs. Andrew Smith and Hugh Jackson (12 February, p. 428), and the latter’s observation about the difficulties in arriving at a correct diagnosis of urinary tract infection, in the early age-group cited, was the practical difficulty of proving conclusively that bacteria were multiplying within the urinary tract itself. In sympathy with the above writers, to be sure, are the experiences of many; in the past that false positive cultures on voided urines from neonates, infants, and children may exceed 60%, even when collections are achieved by the bag or mid-stream technique done under trained supervision.9 No mention, however, of the established technique of obtaining urine by suprapubic bladder aspiration was preferred by Dr. Jackson or Dr. Smith; nor did there once appear in their stream of thinking a single drop of support for this widely used, simple, effective, and economical technique.10,11 Hopefully, this exclusion will not retard the rapidly growing appreciation for suprapubic aspiration, which eliminates both the necessity for frequent voided urine collections and also the contamination of the bacterial content of these specimens, with the delays attendant in making a definitive diagnosis. Their omission was all the more astonishing, since suprapubic aspiration relieves general practitioners of the urgency for specimen culture, the aspirated specimens can be safely posted to the laboratory, and the chief problem noted by Dr. Smith was that of contamination, has been eliminated.12

To direct the question of “proven bacteriuria” back into mainstream thinking, in my own experience that the first such episode in neonates, infants, or children is to both an intravenous pyelogram and a micturating cystouretrogram being performed, precisely because up to 50% of such cultures, whether positive or not, have been shown to have vesicoureteric reflux.13,14 In his letters, Dr. Jackson made insufficient mention of the degree of reflux present in his patient, but presumably because the pelviocalyceal systems have become distended with contrast medium the reflux could be termed “gross” by the criteria of Rolleston et al.—the only degree of reflux apparently associated with progressive renal damage (occasionally, it is true, regardless of whether there is coexistent infection).

Dr. Smith’s experience with ampicillin provides additional minimal evidence for the need for the prompt and effective treatment of urinary tract infections, prior to availability of sensitivities of the given pathogens. The place of ampicillin as the drug of general convenience should be seriously questioned, as was strongly suggested by the large multicentre study in the United Kingdom where the sensitivities of over 23,000 different urinary tract pathogens were evaluated: in both inpatient (particularly) and outpatient isolates ampicillin dribbled in a mediocre fourth behind the “big three”—nitrofurantoin, trimethoprim-sulphamethoxazole combination, and nalidixic acid.15

Finally, nitrofurantoin is undoubtedly a useful agent in the prevention of recurrent urinary tract infections, but in a 2-year-old girl a dose of 25 mg t.d.s. would seem excessive, particularly when it has been shown by Professor de Wardener’s group9 that a single nightly 50 mg dose was very effective in preventing recurrent urinary tract infections in adult women in a drug used by Dr. Smith and Dr. Jackson could reach toxic levels in the serum if the child had coexisting renal functional impairment (as might be expected in his patient) and unsuspected; moreover, if the child’s glomerular filtration rate is less than about 60 ml/min/1.73 m², the drug may not be attaining adequate antibacterial concentrations in the urine.—I am, etc.

ROSS R. BAILEY

Renal Unit, Victoria Hospital for Women, Department of Medicine, University of Western Ontario, London.