lymphocytes. The restoration of fetal haemoglobin levels to normal strongly suggests, however, that considerable clones of normal erythrocyte precursors exist. MLR performed between donor and recipient 18 weeks after the graft showed no significant transformation, but the recipient's lymphocyte function was still considerably depressed at that time (see table). Although engraftment has probably occurred, there have been reports of haemopoietic reconstitution in aplastic anaemia after immunosuppression without engraftment.

Immunosuppression was not started until the patient was effectively decontaminated. The Vickers-Trexler isolator and ready provision of blood products from leukapheresis and the blood transfusion service were essential prerequisites to the procedure. We hoped that the use of frozen stored red cells would diminish the risk of HLA sensitisation from multiple transfusions. As a precaution against graft rejection, the extended precarrierazine, ATG, and cyclophosphamide suppressive regimen was used because it may permit marrow engraftment in sensitised individuals where cyclophosphamide alone fails. The gastrointestinal and bladder complications due to this regimen were considerable, however, and contributed to the severe cachexia that occurred after the graft.

Impaired resistance to *Candida* 21 weeks after the graft was a cellular defect. This may have been a consequence of the varicella infection. Staphylococcal killing, assessed one week later, was normal, but this difference might have reflected inadequate programming of the granulocytes by relatively incompetent T lymphocytes. The nitroblue tetrazolium test gave a normal result 23 weeks after the graft.

Bone marrow grafting should certainly be considered in Fanconi's anaemia when patients show deterioration and are resistant to medical treatment.

We should like to thank Sister J Meyers and her nurses, Westminster Children's Hospital; Dr I M Anderson for help and encouragement; Lt-Col J G Winick and W O I Bushrod of the Army Blood Supply Depot, Alderney, for supplying frozen red cells; Dr J Kersey for supplying ATG; Miss M O'Riordan, MRC cyto-genes Unit, Edinburgh, for performing the chromosome studies; and Mr P C Trexler and his assistants at the Royal Veterinary College for help in running the isolator. We are grateful to the Andrew Bostic Fund, which paid most of the isolator costs and to the Anthony Nolan Fund, which completely supports the tissue typing laboratory. Without the joint assistance of these funds, this work would have been impossible. Miss Susan English typed the manuscript.

ADDENDUM—Since this report was written another two-way mixed lymphocyte reaction between the patient and the donor has been performed (11 months after the graft). There was no evidence that the patient had become sensitised to the donor. The T-lymphocyte function of the recipient has remained normal, as have his haematological values.
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**Why hypertensive patients vary in their response to oral debrisoquine**

J H SILAS, M S LENNARD, G T TUCKER, A J SMITH, S L MALCOLM, T R MARTEN

*British Medical Journal*, 1977, 1, 422-425

**Summary**

The relation between dose, systemic availability, and response to oral debrisoquine was studied in 13 hypertensive patients receiving no other treatment. In 11 who received the same daily dose (40 mg) the fall in mean standing systolic blood pressure varied between 0·3 and 44·4 mm Hg. There was a ninefold difference in the daily urinary excretion and pre-dose plasma concentration of unchanged drug but an inverse correlation between daily urinary excretion of debrisoquine and its 4-hydroxy metabolite (r = -0·96), suggesting that a low recovery of debrisoquine occurs because of extensive metabolism.

There was a significant correlation between the fall in standing systolic blood pressure and the mean daily urinary excretion (r = -0·82) and pre-dose plasma concentration (r = -0·82) of unchanged debrisoquine. In contrast, there was a significant inverse relation between the urinary recovery of the metabolite and the fall in blood pressure (r = -0·82).

The availability of debrisoquine is the major determinant of response to this drug. In the absence of side effects a poor response may be an indication to increase the daily dose rather than add another hypotensive agent.

**Introduction**

Variation in response to antihypertensive agents may be due to differences in their availability and concentration at the site of action, in their uptake into this site, in receptor “sensitivity,” or
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in mechanism(s) responsible for the rise in blood pressure. The last has received much attention recently, partly because of a greater understanding of the different factors that may cause hypertension. For example, a response to drugs that act on the sympathetic nervous system may be related to the pretreatment plasma renin activity to the plasma catecholamine concentration of the patient, although there are conflicting views.

The daily dose of debrisoquine by mouth needed to control the blood pressure of 120 patients attending the Sheffield Hypertension Clinic ranges from 10 to 360 mg. As most of these patients presented with moderate or severe hypertension, differences in pretreatment blood pressure cannot explain the wide range of dose required. A correlation between the fall in blood pressure in healthy volunteers and the amount of unchanged debrisoquine recovered in their urine was shown recently. We have examined the relation between the fall in blood pressure and the plasma concentration and urinary excretion of debrisoquine and 4-hydroxydebrisoquine (a principal metabolite) in a group of patients with benign hypertension. These patients differed in salt intake, plasma renin activity, and urinary catecholamine excretion and there was no demonstrable primary cause of their hypertension.

Patients and methods

Thirteen patients with moderate or severe benign essential hypertension were studied after primary causes of their hypertension had been excluded (see table). They had received no antihypertensive treatment for at least three weeks before the study. On a normal ward diet plasma renin activity was 0.13-1.74 (mean 0.71 ± 0.49) pmoI angiotensin I ml/h (0.18-2.48 (mean 1.02 ± 0.70) ng/ml/h) and 24-hour urinary noradrenaline excretion 81.1-439.3 (mean 198.9 ± 93.1) nmol (13.7-74.2 (mean 33.6 ± 17.9) gg).

Blood pressure was measured with the patients lying (10 minutes) and standing (one minute) on seven occasions over two days at identical times of day by the same observer using a random zero sphygmomanometer (Hawkesley). Mean blood pressure was calculated from the diastolic blood pressure (phase IV) plus one-third pulse pressure.

Biochemical analyses—Urinary debrisoquine (D) and 4-hydroxydebrisoquine (HD) were estimated by gas-liquid chromatography with flame ionisation detection (coefficient of variation 4%). Plasma samples were examined for these compounds by mass fragmentography (coefficients of variation: D 5%, HD 9%). Plasma renin activity was measured at 10 am on blood samples withdrawn after an overnight fast and two hours of recumbency. Urinary noradrenaline was estimated from a single 24-hour urine collection made the second day after admission.

Protocols—Patients were admitted to an investigation ward for 48 hours before treatment began and again when they had been receiving D alone for two to three months on a 12-hourly basis and had been on the same dose for at least three weeks. For three days before re-admission dosing was carried out at exactly the same time as on the ward; food was not taken for two hours before and after medication. Compliance was assessed by tablet counts and was better than 95% in every patient. During the second admission two 24-hour urine collections were made and venous blood was taken just before and two hours after the morning dose for the estimation of D and HD. This had been shown to provide trough and peak concentrations for both compounds (unpublished data). Twelve patients were studied on a daily dose of 40 mg but four were studied on more than one dose (see table). The blood pressure data from one patient (case 6) were excluded, as they were incomplete.

Results

There was a large variation in the mean daily urinary recovery of D and HD in the 12 patients given 40 mg daily (table). The recovery of D varied from 8.6% to 80.2% of the dose (mean 33.9 ± 20.1%), that of HD varied from nil to 29.7% (mean 13.6 ± 8.0%), and it was not detectable in two patients. Total recovery varied from 27.4% to 80.2% (mean 47.5 ± 14.3%), and the median D:HD ratio was 2.69. The proportion of the dose recovered as D was inversely related to that recovered as the hydroxymetabolite (fig 1).

There was also a large variation in the mean plasma concentration of D and HD in the 12 patients receiving a daily dose of 40 mg. Plasma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case No</th>
<th>Daily dose* (mg)</th>
<th>Initial BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Fall in standing BP (mm Hg)</th>
<th>Mean 24-hr urinary recovery (mg)</th>
<th>Pre-dose plasma concentration (mg/ml)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Systolic</td>
<td>Diastolic</td>
<td>Mean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180/116</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>34.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>186/120</td>
<td>44.4</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>183/111</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>168/111</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>168/110</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>+5.0</td>
<td>6.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>168/110</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>180/132</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>22.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>221/121</td>
<td>34.4</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>173/119</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>14.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>194/135</td>
<td>36.9</td>
<td>31.4</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>188/140</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>176/114</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>16.6</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>187/140</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* 10-mg debrisoquine tablets contains 10 mg debrisoquine base but 12.8 mg hemisulphate.

† Rise in blood pressure.

‡ Excluded from blood pressure data.

D = Debrisoquine hemisulphate. HD = 4-Hydroxydebrisoquine expressed as debrisoquine hemisulphate equivalent.
concentrations of D just before the morning dose ranged from 9 to 75 (mean 42 ± 22.7) ng/ml and two hours after the dose 15-182 (mean 76 ± 53.5) ng/ml. Corresponding values for HD were 0-23 (mean 10 ± 6.5) ng/ml and 0-47 (mean 22 ± 15.4) ng/ml.

In 11 patients receiving 40 mg daily the fall in standing systolic blood pressure varied from 0-3 to 44-4 (mean 20-4 ± 14-8) mm Hg, and the pressure was significantly different from pretreatment values in nine of these (one-tailed t test). The fall in supine blood pressure was less pronounced (2-9-32-8 (mean 15-8 ± 10-3) mm Hg), and the pressure was significantly different from pretreatment values in only five. A significant positive linear correlation was found between the fall in standing systolic, diastolic, or mean blood pressure and mean daily urinary recovery of unchanged D in patients receiving the same dose (systolic blood pressure: r = +0-75; P < 0-01; n = 11). This correlation was improved by including data from all patients on a daily dose of 20-120 mg (fig 2).

In contrast, an inverse correlation was noted between the mean daily urinary recovery of HD and the fall in standing systolic, diastolic, or mean blood pressure but was observed only in patients receiving the same dose—that is, 40 mg daily (fig 3). This is explained by the fact that larger doses result in an increase in metabolite but a further reduction in blood pressure. A significant positive correlation between total urinary recovery (D + metabolite) and fall in standing blood pressure was observed, but this was not as great as that relating unchanged D only to the fall in blood pressure (systolic: r = +0-73; P < 0-01; n = 17).

Plasma data support the urinary findings. On the same dose of D there was a positive correlation between pre-dose plasma D concentration and the fall in standing systolic, diastolic, or mean blood pressure (systolic blood pressure: r = +0-79; P < 0-01; n = 11). The correlation between plasma D concentrations in venous samples and systolic blood pressure two hours after the dose was +0-62 (P < 0-05). These correlations were similar on inclusion of data from all patients on a daily dose of 20-120 mg (fig 4).

An inverse correlation between plasma HD concentration and the fall in standing systolic blood pressure on a 40-mg dose was noted but it reached significance only for peak plasma values (systolic blood pressure: r = −0-66; P < 0-05; n = 11).

There was no significant relation between the urinary recovery or plasma concentration of D or HD and the fall in supine blood pressure.

Discussion

A ninefold variation was observed in pre-dose plasma D concentration in compliant patients receiving the same dose. Over 75%, of the drug is absorbed18-21 but several metabolites have been identified.18-21 The inverse correlation between the recovery of the 4-hydroxy metabolite and that of the parent compound suggests that metabolism and not absorption is the major determinant of the availability of D.

Interpatient variability in response to a given dose of D by mouth was confirmed. Most of this variation can be related to the availability of the unchanged drug, which appears to be responsible for the fall in blood pressure. Extensive metabolism of D was associated with a poor response and, in comparison to the parent compound, the hypotensive effect of HD was insignificant.

By implication, the other factors influencing the response to D appear to be less important than the plasma concentration of the active agent. Our patients were heterogeneous with respect to renin activity and catecholamine output and no attempt was made to control salt intake. Plasma volume influences, standing blood pressure,14 and concomitant salt restriction or diuretic administration or both may improve the correlation between plasma D concentration and the fall in blood pressure still further. These patients, however, received no other drugs.

A mechanism that may be responsible for resistance to treatment with postganglionic sympathetic blocking agents is increased sensitivity to circulating catecholamines.21 From our data it seems unlikely that receptor sensitivity is a major determinant of the effect of D in patients controlled on a daily dose of 120 mg or less over a short period. A few of our patients, however, need much larger doses, and in these, pharmacodynamic factors may be important. Furthermore, during
Recurrent breast cancer treated with the anti-oestrogen tamoxifen: correlation between hormonal changes and clinical course
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Summary
Forty-five post-menopausal women with recurrent breast cancer were treated with the anti-oestrogen, tamoxifen, 20 mg twice daily. Clinical assessment after 12 weeks indicated that 18 (40%) showed some remission. Gonadotrophins were suppressed within two weeks to relatively constant concentrations within the post-menopausal range, responses to luteinising hormone-releasing hormone (LH-RH) did not change, and androgen concentrations remained within the normal range in all patients. Oestradiol concentrations rose steadily only in women in whom treatment failed. Serum prolactin concentrations were raised in 18 out of the 44 (41%) patients in whom they were measured; 13 of these did not respond to treatment. Treatment did not change the average prolactin concentration when this was within the normal range, but it significantly reduced prolactin concentrations in hyperprolactinaemic patients—within two weeks (P<0.01) in those who responded well and by six weeks (P<0.05) in those who showed no remission. Among patients with normal prolactin values the release of prolactin after thyrotrophin-releasing hormone was significantly greater in those with no remission than in those who responded to tamoxifen. Responses in those with hyperprolactinaemia were reduced to about half the control values, and again this change occurred faster in those who were successfully treated. Patients therefore seem to have a better chance of responding to anti-oestrogen treatment if prolactin secretion is low.

Introduction
Breast cancer is the commonest malignancy to affect European and American women, and considerable efforts have been made to understand its pathogenesis and improve treatment. Hormonal manipulation has been tried for palliating inoperable disease. The endocrine glands have been surgically ablated, and corticosteroids have been administered. Both methods may produce remissions in about a third of patients but there is no reliable way of predicting who will respond. Bulbrook, for example, derived a discriminant function but its predictive value is affected by many factors, including age, obesity, menopausal status, and drugs. Specific oestrogen receptors have been recognised in some breast tumours. Since anti-oestrogens compete for binding with oestrogen at target sites a trial of such compounds in the treatment of breast cancer is indicated. The anti-oestrogen tamoxifen (Nolvadex) has been well tolerated by patients with inoperable breast cancer and has produced some clinical improvement.

There have been few studies of the hormonal changes produced by tamoxifen in patients with breast cancer. We determined its effects on pituitary and steroid hormones in postmenopausal patients with recurrent breast cancer and...