work-up before initiating treatment, defines the treatment goals, and improves control. The use of a problem-orientated summary card in addition seems to achieve most of the objectives attempted in the computer-held record system. For research perhaps manual handling of notes is still necessary, but recall of information is less validated, as compared with unstructured notes.

The problem-orientated record system has the advantage that it is very inexpensive and can be used by both general practices and hospitals which have no access to computers.

Abbott's Langley, Herts

Sir,—In the discussion of their work on computer-held records of hypertensive patients Dr C J Bulpitt and his colleagues (20 March, p 677) compare their computer system with the clerical retrieval from standard notes. However, many of the advantages they have found using the computer could be achieved using a simpler approach—for example, punched feature cards.1 We have used punched feature cards in a general practice of 10,000 patients for three years2 and, should it prove necessary, they can be used as an input to a computer.3

The false logic of “a computer can solve our problems, therefore we should use a computer to solve our problems” appears to be all too common. Perhaps more attention should be paid to simple, less expensive, and more flexible information handling systems, of which punched feature cards are an outstanding example.

RONALD HARDEN
Centre for Medical Education, University of Dundee

KENNETH HARDEN
Beardsen, Glasgow

2 Harden, K A, Harden, R McG, and Reekie, D, British Medical Journal 1974, 2, 162.

Recurrent haematuria

Sir,—The paper by Dr J Michael and his colleagues from St Thomas’s Hospital on unexplained recurrent haematuria (20 March, p 686) comes as a welcome addition to the literature on this important subject. However, one or two points deserve comment.

I have never questioned the value of renal biopsy in the syndrome of recurrent or persistent haematuria, only its justification and practicability as a routine in such patients. Unexplained haematuria remains a large group in most disease indices, being second in magnitude (25 cases) in Burkholder’s group of haematuria cases1 and making up 5–10% of all patients with haematuria in the Manchester review.2 Leaving aside economic and temporal factors it seems unlikely, should this incidence be reflected nation-wide, that facilities exist for the efficient performance of renal biopsy and the accurate interpretation of the histological and immunofluorescent findings in every patient falling into this group. The pursuit of a diagnosis, especially if it prevents needless over-investigation, is to be applauded; it was Burkholder’s contention—rightly so, I feel—that invasive investigations with a recognised morbidity which do not lead to any significant change in patient treatment might be open to criticism.

Furthermore, the average age in the St Thomas’s group was 25 years—an age group in which renal biopsy might be expected to yield a high incidence of abnormalities reflecting the high incidence of so-called focal nephritis in young adults with haematuria. The average age in the Manchester review was 50 years, in which group a recurrent malignant disease is a more significant problem and in which renal biopsy might not play such a useful role.

This said, however, the results from St Thomas’s certainly complement those from other centres investigating the younger groups of recurrent haematuria and, with those of Higgins and Aber,3 seem to indicate the diagnosis, in the young male patient with this complaint, of mesangial IgA nephropathy, with its apparent independence from the haematuria/loin pain syndrome, of possible renovascular origin, occurring more commonly in the female. This may well represent a significant step forward in the clarification of this important disease complex and its management.

PATRICK H O’REILLY
Manchester Royal Infirmary, Manchester


Propranolol and the nephrotic syndrome

Sir,—In a recent report (12 April 1975, p 68) my colleagues and I described a patient who had developed the nephrotic syndrome in association with practolol therapy. In the same patient propranolol was substituted for practolol in a dosage of 40 mg daily for 10 months and 160 mg daily for another six months. Vague circumscribed purpuriform skin lesions on the arms and a proteinuria of 2 g/24 h were then detected. No urinary protein had been detected on routine examination during the previous six weeks. The propranolol was stopped, but four weeks later the patient developed a severe normotensive nephrotic syndrome with a proteinuria of 21 g/24 h, urinary casts, no excess of urinary red or white cells, a creatinine clearance of 70 ml/min, and a serum albumin concentration of 26 g/l. Tests for antibacterial factor in the serum were negative.

Treatment with prednisolone, initially 60 mg daily, was instituted six weeks after stopping the propranolol therapy because of persistent severe proteinuria. After three weeks the urine contained only a trace of protein and the serum albumin level was 35 g/l. Two weeks after the urinary protein was quantitatively normal and the creatinine clearance was 82 ml/min. The rash had also resolved.

It was assumed that the propranolol had induced a minimal-change glomerulonephritis, as the practolol had done. This diagnosis was not confirmed by a second renal biopsy, although it was supported by the excellent and swift improvement in the nephrotic syndrome. Although practolol and propranolol are different chemically, they both act as beta-blockers and, in this man’s case, they both appear to be capable of inducing a nephrotic syndrome.

M J FARR
Department of Nephrology, Royal Infirmary (Sutton), Hull

Bottle-feeding and tummy-ache in infants

Sir,—Newborn babies commonly have tummy-ache. The infant cries incessantly, will not be comforted, flexes his legs, and continually seeks the breast, although his sucking is frequently interrupted by spasms of distress. The syndrome is conventionally ascribed (without evidence) to “wind,” and since it is maximal at around one week of age—shortly after discharge from hospital—tends not to come to the notice of the doctor. The mother and her advisers frequently interpret the signs as resulting from under-feeding and hunger, and this is a major factor in the customary decision to abandon breast-feeding.

We have hospitalised a number of babies who receive feeds of cow’s milk for the first 1–3 nights of life, even if they are subsequently to be breast-fed. Cow’s milk is antigenic and evokes an antibody response in normal bottle-fed neonates.4 A local immunological response in the gut would be associated with hyperplasia of the mesenteric lymph nodes and Peyer’s patches, with inflammation and hyperperistalsis leading perhaps to exceptionally severe cases of intussusception. The timing of the syndrome is just right for primary immune response.

Total avoidance of cow’s milk in neonatal life has been advocated as a means of preventing5 intussusception. I suggest that it would also avoid “wind” and greatly increase the likelihood of successful breast-feeding.

DAVID FREED
Immunology Laboratories, Department of Bacteriology and Virology, University of Manchester

3 Sootchil, J F, et al, British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology, Oxford meeting, 15 December, 1975.

Amebic meningoencephalitis in Britain

Sir,—Dr J L Griffin (17 January, p 153) has suggested that in our case of amebic meningoencephalitis1 an acanthamoeba (which was not isolated) must have been the primary pathogen, the Naegleria gruberi which was isolated from the cerebrospinal fluid (CSF)9 being a secondary invader.

We agree that in the CSF the amoeba which were seen resembled acanthamoeba more than naegleriae, although naegleriae can move slowly and produce spiky pseudopods.2 Dr Griffin suggests that culture for amanthoeba from the CSF should have been done immediately. In fact, although not mentioned in our papers, this was done in this laboratory but no amebae were isolated. Immediately after collection the first specimen of CSF from case 1 in which amoebae were seen (two weeks after collection) was inoculated on to klebsiella-agar plates and into HeLa cell cultures, both of which had previously been found suitable in this laboratory for the growth of acanthamoeba. The surface of the agar was of a dryness known to be suitable for the growth of acanthamoeba, although this degree of dryness was found subsequently to be unachievable in the growth of naegleriae. The day after collection this CSF...