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Summary
The clinical use of uncoated charcoal haemoperfusion systems, despite their efficacy, has hitherto been prevented by the occurrence of a number of adverse effects including charcoal embolism and marked thrombocytopenia. Charcoal coated with a synthetic hydrogel overcomes many of the disadvantages associated with the use of uncoated material in that there is a much reduced thrombocytopenia and no evidence of charcoal embolism. Six patients, severely poisoned as a result of overdoses of either a barbiturate or glutethimide, were haemoperfused using such a system. Four made complete recoveries, and the two patients who died had both suffered cardiorespiratory arrests before perfusion. In contrast to haemodialysis, charcoal haemoperfusion is simple to initiate, less expensive in terms of manpower and equipment, and gives superior clearance data for all barbiturates and glutethimide. We believe that this technique may have a significant role to play in the management of the severely poisoned patient.

Introduction
The overall mortality from acute poisoning among the 100 000 patients admitted each year to hospitals in England and Wales is now less than 1% (Goulding, 1974), and most of the patients recover physically without any special treatment. The remainder are treated supportively along the lines first advocated by Clemmesen and Nilsson (1961). While there can be no substitute for such good medical and nursing care there is, nevertheless, a small group of very severely poisoned patients for whom some active means of removing the drug would be advantageous in addition to the basic supportive measures. Efficient methods to remove central nervous system depressant drugs might not only reduce the length of coma, and as a result the number and severity of the complications occurring in such patients, but could also reduce the mortality (Vale, 1974).

Three methods are currently available for this purpose—forced diuresis, peritoneal dialysis, and haemodialysis. Forced alkaline diuresis is indicated only for phenobarbitone, barbitone, and salicylate poisoning; forced acid diuresis is indicated only in severe acute amphetamine and quinine poisoning; and peritoneal dialysis seems to have an established place only in the management of lithium overdose. Haemodialysis, moreover, has not so far proved convincing in the care of patients with overdoses of the short- and medium-acting barbiturates and the non-barbiturate hypnotics, which are responsible for many of the serious and fatal poisonings in this country.

A further method has recently become available for clinical use. Haemoperfusion, the passage of blood through various adsorbent materials, including charcoal, combines efficacy with a relative simplicity, but its use has hitherto been restricted because of complications including embolism, marked thrombocytopenia, leucopenia, fibrinogen loss, and pyrexial reactions. Many of these side effects have now been overcome by coating the charcoal (Chang et al., 1973). In view of the encouraging results of Andrade et al. (1971), Willson et al. (1973, and our own in-vitro and in-vivo work in dogs (Widdop et al., 1975), we have investigated the ability of a specially developed acrylic hydrogel coated charcoal haemoperfusion system (Smith and Nephew Research Ltd) to remove the drug from six severely poisoned patients.

Haemoperfusion Technique
The haemoperfusion circuit is shown diagrammatically in fig. 1. The charcoal columns are specially designed and pre-sterilized by Smith and Nephew Research and are not reusable. Each column contains about 300 g of 5- to 10-mesh coconut shell charcoal (Sutcliffe and Speakman, Wigan, code no. 610). The charcoal is thoroughly washed by fluidized bed processes to remove particulate matter and soluble impurities that may be leached by blood. After drying the charcoal is coated with an...
acrylic hydrogel to a thickness of 5 μm (2% by weight) and packed into a double conically-shaped column with 600 μm meshes at each end. The effluent discharge from the column satisfies the *British Pharmacopoeia* specification for particulate matter in infusion fluids. The different appearances under the electron microscope of coated and uncoated charcoal are shown in fig. 2.

Specially manufactured and shortened haemodialysis lines (Avon Medicals Ltd) connect the arteriovenous shunt to the haemoperfusion column. Before use two litres of sodium chloride (0.9% w/v) is run through the column and the circuit is then left primed with heparinized saline containing heparin 1 U/ml. A Watson-Marlow roller pump is included in the arterial line to produce an upward flow through the column of about 200 ml/min.

The following heparinization regimen was used: a bolus of 5000 units heparin was injected into the arterial line on beginning haemoperfusion; heparinization was continued by adjusting the rate of the heparin infusion (1000 U/ml, 0.9% w/v sodium chloride) so that a plasma heparin concentration of 2.5–5 U/ml plasma was achieved. Alternatively, the Lee-White clotting time was maintained within the range of 30–60 minutes. A rate of infusion of one drop in five seconds was usually the maximum required and was controlled by the use of a Watson-Marlow roller pump; at the end of perfusion the blood in the extra-corporal circuit (about 450 ml) was returned to the patient by washing through the column with 5% dextrose.

As an additional safety measure a monitor—for example F.M, Type C, Dylade Co, Runcorn—may be included in the circuit to alarm and stop the blood pump if the bubble trap level drops precipitately or if there is a sudden decrease of pressure in the arterial line fistula.

**Patients**

To be included in this preliminary study patients had to have (a) severe clinical intoxication—for example, deep coma, hypotension, hypothermia, or hypoventilation; (b) progressive clinical deterioration despite good supportive management; (c) prolonged coma with complications such as pneumonia and underlying chronic respiratory disease; and (d) very high plasma drug levels.

Of the six patients two had taken overdoses of phenobarbitone, two of butobarbitone, one of pentobarbitone, and one of glutethimide. All patients were treated with full supportive measures to maintain respiration and blood pressure and were also haemoperfused.

**Case 1.**—This 56-year-old woman was found unconscious at home by her husband. It later transpired that the previous day she had obtained a prescription for butobarbitone from her general practitioner and had bought a bottle of sherry. She consumed. On admission to hospital she was deeply unconscious (grade 4), hypotensive (blood pressure 40/7 mm Hg), hypothermic (31°C), and required mechanical ventilation. Resuscitative measures were begun while arrangements were made to transfer the patient to Guy's Hospital for haemoperfusion. The preperfusion butobarbitone level was 320 μmol/l. (6.8 mg/100 ml). One hour after beginning charcoal perfusion the patient's condition had improved sufficiently for her to be taken off the ventilator. At the end of perfusion, three and a half hours later, she was clinically normal except that the level of consciousness was grade 1. A drug clearance of 120 ml/min was achieved and this gave a calculated removal of 1007 mg of butobarbitone during the four and a half hours of perfusion compared with 87 mg in the urine of a 24-hour period. The platelet counts before and at the end of perfusion were 185 x 10^9/l. (185 000/mm³) and 139 x 10^9/l. (139 000/mm³) respectively.

**Case 2.**—A nurse of 23 years was found unconscious at home by her husband. An empty bottle of phenobarbitone was found beside her. On admission to hospital she had evidence of pneumonia and was deeply unconscious (grade 4), hypotensive (90/60 mm Hg), hypothermic (26°C), and required ventilation. A forced alkaline diuresis was begun and 22 hours after the overdose had been taken the patient was transferred to Guy's Hospital for haemoperfusion. Toxicological analysis had indicated that the level
it was required contusions over his forehead, and had a left-sided pneumonia. The patient was intubated, a Mary Catterall (M.C.) mask was placed over the end of the endotracheal tube (oxygen flow 15 l/min initially), and a forced alkaline diuresis was begun while arrangements were made to transfer the patient to Guy’s Hospital. The phenobarbitone level was then found to be 621 μmol/l (1440 mg/100 ml). Haemoperfusion was continued for seven hours, by which time the patient’s level of consciousness had improved to grade 2. A drug clearance of 90 ml/min was achieved, with a calculated removal of 3734 mg phenobarbitone during perfusion, while 296 mg was excreted in the urine over the first two days in hospital. The platelet counts before and at the end of perfusion were 124 × 10^9/L (124 000/mm^3) and 103 × 10^9/L (103 000/mm^3) respectively.

Case 4.—A man of 31 years was found unconscious with minor contusions over the forehead. Because of the evidence of trauma he was initially treated in hospital as a head injury. A few hours later his level of consciousness deteriorated (grade 4) and he required ventilation. Pneumonia was detected both clinically and radiologically. Toxicological analysis showed a glutethimide level of 360 μmol/l (7.8 mg/100 ml) and the presence of methadone. (It was later learnt that the patient was a methadone addict.) Four hours after beginning haemoperfusion it was possible to take the patient off the ventilator and two hours later when perfusion was discontinued the patient’s level of consciousness had improved to grade 2. A drug clearance of 125 ml/min was achieved, with a calculated removal of 1220 mg glutethimide by haemoperfusion whereas only 71 mg appeared in the urine over the first two days after admission. The platelet counts before and at the end of perfusion were 107 × 10^9/L (107 000/mm^3) 64 × 10^9/L (64 000/mm^3) respectively.

Case 5.—A 56-year-old medical practitioner who had been depressed for some months took a large number of phenobarbitone capsules and alcohol. One hour after the ingestion of this overdose and before admission to hospital the patient was found unconscious and shortly afterwards suffered a cardiorespiratory arrest but was successfully resuscitated by one of his partners. On admission he was deeply comatose (grade 4), hypotensive (33 mm Hg), hypothermic (33.5 °C), hypotensive (65/7 mm Hg), and required ventilation. Toxicological analysis indicated a pentobarbitone level of 249 μmol/l (5.6 mg/100 ml) and an ethanol level of 37.9 mmol/l (175 mg/100 ml). As the patient’s condition was unchanged 24 hours after admission during the active resuscitative and supportive measures the patient was transferred to Guy’s Hospital for haemoperfusion though it was suspected that irreversible cerebral damage secondary to the arrest had already occurred. The patient was perfused for eight hours but unfortunately for the last hour of perfusion the flow rate reduced because of a greatly impaired venous return and a drug clearance of 50 ml/min was, however, achieved despite the patient’s general deterioration during the time of perfusion. Subsequently, he suffered another cardiac arrest after the development of partial and then complete heart block and could not be resuscitated. During the period of perfusion 1728 mg of pentobarbitone was removed. Platelet counts before and at the end of perfusion were 84 × 10^9/L (84 000/mm^3) and 38 × 10^9/L (38 000/mm^3) respectively. Necropsy indicated that the patient had bled from an uncontrolled source of a certain degree of pressure and there was evidence of cerebral anoxia due to account for death.

Case 6.—A 62-year-old widower was admitted to hospital having been found unconscious at home by his daughter. On admission he was deeply comatose (grade 4), hypertensive (60/40 mm Hg), and required ventilation. Subsequently he developed a pyrexia (39.5 °C) which was thought to be due to a hypostatic pneumonia. Despite intensive therapy and supportive measures the patient suffered a cardiopulmonary arrest (asystole) but was resuscitated. Initial toxicological analysis indicated a butobarbitone level of 188 μmol/l (4 mg/100 ml) and the presence of small amounts of dihydrocodeine and diazepam. Two days after the overdose had been taken it was decided to transfer the patient to Guy’s Hospital for haemoperfusion to increase the elimination of barbiturate so that it might be known with certainty that his poor general condition was directly due to the toxic effects of the drug. Haemoperfusion was begun, but though supportive measures were continued the patient’s condition still deteriorated and he died within 12 hours of transfer. Despite the poor flow rate (50-75 ml/min) a mean drug clearance of 50 ml/min was achieved over the four hours of perfusion, and 375 mg of butobarbitone were calculated to have been removed in this way. Platelet counts before and at the end of perfusion were 55 × 10^9/L (55 000/mm^3) and 32 × 10^9/L (32 000/mm^3) respectively. At necropsy there were signs of cerebral anoxic damage.

Biochemical and Haematological Tests
Blood was taken both before and after and sometimes during perfusion for estimation of electrolytes, urea, calcium, phosphate, alkaline phosphate, glucose, proteins, bilirubin, cholesterol, aspartate and alanine aminotransferases, urate, creatinine, fatty acids, fat soluble vitamins, blood picture, coagulation profile, and drug levels. In addition, samples were taken during perfusion for estimation of drug levels (pre- and post-column), platelet, leucocyte, and heparin levels. The biochemical and haematological estimations were carried out by routine techniques: heparin concentrations were determined by a modification of the method of Pitney (1968) and drug levels were measured by a specific gas liquid chromatographic technique (Flanagan and Withers, 1972).

Results

CLINICAL RESPONSE
Four of the six patients made complete recoveries, including one doctor and one nurse who both returned to work. Haemoperfusion was continued for between four and a half and eight hours, except in case 6 in which perfusion had to be discontinued prematurely because flow through the column could not be maintained as a result of profound arterial hypotension. Not only were the drug clearances impressive but also the often rapid improvement in the level of consciousness was particularly striking. This sometimes occurred even though the plasma drug level was still fairly high, and it might be explained in terms of compartment shift. Three of the four patients who recovered required ventilation initially, but it was possible to discontinue this 40 minutes (case 2), one hour (case 1), and four hours (case 4) after beginning perfusion. One patient who died could be taken off the ventilator despite considerable reduction in their plasma drug levels. This presumably reflected the irreversible anoxic damage as a result of the cardiorespiratory arrest suffered by both of them.

Except for the modest reduction in platelet count in each patient and the bleed in one patient (case 5) no complications were seen in the six perfused patients. In particular, there was no evidence of hypotension or drop in the central venous pressure when beginning perfusion or evidence of charcoal embolism.

The two patients who died were perfused for essentially
diagnostic rather than therapeutic reasons. It was thought that if no improvement ensued after drug removal the patients were likely to have suffered irreversible cerebral damage secondary to anoxia. A decision could then be made as to whether to turn off the ventilator in hours rather than days. In fact the patient in case 5 suffered a further cardiorespiratory arrest after a short period of complete heart block and could not be resuscitated. No improvement in his condition was noted, however, despite the fact that the plasma phenobarbitone level had by then been reduced to 89 μmol/l (2 mg/100 ml). The patient in case 6 was moribund on arrival; he was hypothermic, had an unrecordable blood pressure, was in acute renal failure, required ventilation, and manifested a variety of cardiac arrhythmias. Despite a reduction of the plasma butobarbitone level to 9.0 μmol/l (1.9 mg/100 ml) the patient showed no signs of recovery.

In the light of our initial results we would not consider it ethically justifiable to place very severely poisoned patients in a non-haemoperfused control group. Nevertheless, we were able to observe a comparable patient who was energetically treated by conventional means. The similarity between this patient (case 7) and the patient in case 2 on admission was striking (table I) yet the outcome was so different. The plasma drug concentration profiles are shown in fig. 3. The non-haemoperfused patient died four days after taking the overdose from the “shock-lung” syndrome.

In each of the four patients who recovered there was a fall in the platelet count, usually within 30 minutes of beginning haemoperfusion, which was followed by a spontaneous rise over the next two to three days to levels similar to those found initially. Two of the four patients who had postperfusion platelet counts greater than those found initially. The two patients who died (cases 5 and 6) both had initial platelet counts below 100 × 10⁸/l (100 000/mm³) with a fall of 54% and 41% respectively in their platelet levels whereas the mean reduction in platelet levels between beginning haemoperfusion and discontinuing the procedure for the group as a whole was 38% (range 16-54%). The highest percentage reduction occurred in the patient in case 5, who had bled from a failed subclavian vein cannulation. Except in this patient there was no evidence of bleeding or mucosal haemorrhages during or after haemoperfusion.

Within one hour of beginning haemoperfusion in case 4 a second column had to be introduced into the circuit because of clotting due to the use of a new heparinization schedule which proved inadequate. There was no further fall in platelet count after this, which confirmed our experience with animals that there is little platelet deposition on coated charcoal. The bubble trap is probably responsible for much of the platelet loss and we are currently investigating this with radiopaque platelet labelling.

A slight fall in leucocyte levels was found on beginning haemoperfusion but this was usually followed by a moderate rebound so that by the end of perfusion the leucocyte level was higher than the preperfusion level.

**BIOCHEMICAL CHANGES**

Though many biochemical values were investigated during haemoperfusion there was no change in the arterial concentration of any of these with the exception that in case 5 there was a fall in the patient’s plasma urate and cholesterol levels to 1.5 mmol/l (2.5 mg/100 ml) and 2.1 mmol/l (80 mg/100 ml) respectively. We found no fall in the plasma glucose level on beginning perfusion, in contrast to the findings reported by Gazzard et al. (1974). The fact that some of the patients were receiving glucose intravenously, however, may have partly masked this effect in our patients.

**Discussion**

The adsorbent action of charcoal has been known for many years. Andersen (1948), for example, showed its ability to adsorb barbiturates, and some would advocate its routine use as an oral antidote for ingested toxic substances (Holt and Holz, 1963; Corby et al., 1970). Yatidis (1964 a, b; Yatidis et al., 1965) was the first to describe the use of charcoal haemoperfusion for the removal of creatinine, urate, phenols, guanides, salicylates, barbiturates, and glutethimide. Duna and Koluf (1965) observed a similar reduction in urate and creatinine levels, and Hagstam et al. (1966) and De Myttencare et al. (1967) found satisfactory clearance rates for phenobarbtone and glutethimide. Unfortunately, the use of uncoated charcoal was complicated by a number of adverse effects such as charcoal embolism, marked thrombocytopenia and leucopenia, fibrinogen loss, and pyrogen reactions.

To overcome some of these disadvantages, Pallotta et al. (1960), Kissack et al. (1962), Nealon et al. (1966), and Rosenbaum et al. (1962, 1968) investigated the use of anion-exchange
resins, but complications persisted. Rosenbaum et al. (1970, 1971) have successfully overcome some of these by using an uncharged resin, Amberlite XAD-2, in animals and patients. In dogs the value of XAD-2 haemoperfusion and its superiority over haemodialysis has been reported (Medd et al., 1973), but thrombocytopenia and leucopenia occurred and, also, pyrexial reactions are known to arise in man. This resin was also found later to have a limited drug saturation capacity (unpublished results).

Attempts were therefore made to eliminate the disadvantages of charcoal by coating it with cellulose acetate (Yatzidis, 1967; Rosenbaum, 1968), collodion, collodion and albumin, heparin-complexed collodion, nylon (Chang et al., 1967, 1968; Chang, 1969; Chang and Malave, 1970), glutaraldehyde cross-linked bovine albumin (Andrade et al., 1972), and polyhydroxyethyl methacrylate (polyHEMA), a synthetic hydrogel (Andrade et al., 1971).

The successful use of an acryl hydrogel coated charcoal haemoperfusion system for the removal of paracetamol in pigs (Willson et al., 1973) and a variety of drugs in dogs (Widdop et al., 1975) and in the treatment of liver failure (Gazzard et al., 1974) has been described. Charcoal coated in this way overcomes many of the disadvantages associated with uncoated material while also seriously reducing its adsorptive ability.

There was some reduction in the platelet count in each of the six patients, being greatest in the two who died, but the thrombocytopenia which we observed was of a similar order to that seen in haemodialysis (Lawson et al., 1966; Lindsay et al., 1972) and less severe than that encountered in cardiac bypass operations (Barkhan, 1967). Furthermore, in the four patients who recovered the platelet count returned to normal over the two to three days after haemoperfusion. In addition, there was no evidence of charcoal embolism.

Our results show that charcoal haemoperfusion is a most efficient method for increasing the elimination of all types of barbiturates and glutethimide. This is confirmed by a comparison of the clearance data obtained from the use of various techniques which increase drug elimination (table II). Animal work (Widdop et al., 1975) suggests that haemoperfusion may also be the best method available for promoting the excretion of methaqualone, salicylates, etchlorvynol, trichloroethanol, and meprobamate.

We advocate the use of charcoal haemoperfusion in the following cases: in patients in whom there is evidence of severe clinical intoxication; in severely poisoned patients who fail to improve despite the use of supportive measures; in patients in prolonged coma with such complications as pneumonia and underlying chronic respiratory disease; and in patients who are deeply unconscious and have suffered a cardiorespiratory arrest of brief duration and require ventilation. In this condition the rapid elimination of the drug allows a diagnosis of cerebral damage and, therefore, a decision about future management can be made in hours rather than in days.

In conclusion, we believe that charcoal haemoperfusion—a technique that is both simpler and more effective than haemodialysis—may have a significant role to play in the management of the very severely poisoned patient.

Appendix.—We have since haemoperfused a further seven patients who had taken overdoses of barbiturates, glutethimide, or methyl salicylate. All patients recovered, and equally impressive lung clearances were obtained.

We thank the physicians who referred patients to us and Mr. M. Bewick, Professor I. McColl, and Mr. T. H. Harrison, who inserted some of the shunts. We are grateful to our colleagues in the haematology department, particularly Mr. T. Thomas who monitored the heparin levels; to the nursing staff of the Guy's Hospital intensive care and renal units, particularly Sister K. Goodhart; and to the biochemists of the poisons unit who undertook the toxicological analyses. We are pleased to acknowledge the support of Smith and Nephew Research in supplying the charcoal columns.

References

Vale, J. A. In Wilful and Accidental Poisoning. In press.

---

**TABLE I—Comparison of Clearances (ml/min) of Drugs with Different Techniques of Drug Elimination**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Forced Diuresis</th>
<th>Peritoneal Dialysis</th>
<th>Haemodialysis</th>
<th>Charcoal Haemoperfusion</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short-acting barbiturate</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>120 (case 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (case 5)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>50 (case 6)†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long-acting barbiturate</td>
<td>17*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>120 (case 2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90 (case 3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glutethimide</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>125 (case 4)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*On alkaline diuresis.
†Patients had suffered a cardio-respiratory arrest before haemoperfusion.