but the effect on glucose metabolism of severe intoxication has not been reported. In rodents glucose appears to enhance the toxic effects of fenfluramine, which suggests that it is unlikely to have a therapeutic role in severe intoxication in humans.

Although appetite suppressants cost the NHS over £2m in 1973, their efficacy in the long term remains somewhat dubious. Their dangers, however, even when individually wrapped, continue to be demonstrated.

HAMISH SIMPSON
IAN MCKINLAY

Royal Hospital for Sick Children,
Edinburgh

1 Gold, R G, *et al*, *Lancet*, 1969, 2, 1306.

2 Fleisher, M R, and Campbell, D B, *Lancet*, 1969, 2, 1306.

3 Stapley, M L, quoted by Campbell, D B, and Moore, B W R, *Lancet*, 1969, 2, 1307.

4 Veltri, J C, and Temple, A R, *Journal of Pediatrics*, 1975, 87, 119.

5 Kündig, H, *South African Medical Journal*, 1971, 45, suppl, p 11.

6 Darmady, J M, *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 1974, 49, 328.

7 Turtle, J T, Burgess, J A, and Bauckhan, S, *South African Medical Journal*, 1971, 45, suppl, p 22.

Family planning prospects

SIR,—In your recent leading article (18 October, p 124) on family planning prospects you write that it would be a tragic irony if the well-intentioned State takeover of family planning clinics led to their decline rather than their expansion. Surely the reverse is true, and one can only hope that as more doctors become trained and proficient in family planning it would become once again an integral part of a general practitioner's duties, with consequent decline of the clinics. The tragic irony is that the FPA flourished only because the training of a generation of general practitioners left them unable to deal with this problem.

CHRISTOPHER TRANMER

Buckhurst Hill, Essex

SIR,—In your leading article Family Planning Prospects (18 October, p 124), you state correctly that the "FPA seems content to let the newly formed Institute of Psychosexual Medicine provide the training and specialised knowledge." There are, however, many doctors within and outside the FPA who feel that the aims of the institute are too limited in this very wide field of medical practice. Because of this the Society for the Study of Human Sexual Behaviour has been formed with particular attention to training and promotion of epidemiological studies.

As secretary of the society I would be very glad to help with further information for any who might be interested. Meetings are to be in Scotland and the north of England but members from all areas will be welcome.

LORNA D NAISMITH

9 Bocclair Road,
Bearsden, Glasgow

Experience gained in vocational training in obstetrics

SIR,—Recent discussions on the future of obstetrics in general practice¹⁻⁶ have debated the role of the Diploma of Obstetrics,^{1,2} the use of obstetric beds in a consultant unit,³

and claims for complete services,¹ but the actual experience gained in a six-month obstetric post has not been discussed. This is important, since it is this practical experience which determines a doctor's suitability to carry out the various tasks demanded in obstetric care.

To fill this gap we report the method of delivery in the 319 cases that occurred while one of us (AM) as a vocational trainee was on call during a six-month obstetric post. The nature and number of cases were as follows: normal, all stages 34; artificial rupture of membranes and spontaneous vertex delivery 185; brow presentation 1; face presentation 1; shoulder presentation 1; presenting occipitoposterior 4; stillbirths 12; breech presentation 15; mid-cavity forceps 36; Keilland's forceps 9; ventouse extraction 4; twins 2; manual rotation 1; caesarean section 14.

AM was not in attendance at all the deliveries, as normal deliveries were carried out by the midwives on duty at the time. We estimate that he took an active part in 70 of the recorded deliveries. Thus it may be seen that though he applied mid-cavity forceps and carried out breech deliveries his experience of other forms of abnormal presentation was very limited. It may be postulated that the number of normal vertex presentations that he assessed before the application of mid-cavity forceps would balance this. It does not. The number is too small on which to build a lifetime of future obstetrics, with diminishing memory and experience, unless there is close and frequent involvement with a consultant unit. It should perhaps be added, however, that we believe that the experience gained was sufficient for the future practice of ante- and post-natal care.

PAUL O' FLANAGAN
vocational trainee

Derby

ALAN MEAKIN
vocational trainee

Children's Hospital,
Derby

1 Lloyd, G, *British Medical Journal*, 1975, 1, 79.

2 Bull, M J V, *British Medical Journal*, 1975, 3, 39.

3 Oldershaw, K L, and Brudenell, J M, *British Medical Journal*, 1975, 1, 139.

4 Elstein, M, *et al*, *British Medical Journal*, 1975, 3, 765.

5 Elstein, M, *et al*, *Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*, 1975, 25, 373.

6 Update, 1975, 10, 799.

Gentamicin nephrotoxicity in patients with renal allografts

SIR,—In reply to the letter from Dr J L Anderton and Dr J A Raeburn (18 October, p 165) we did not claim in our article (2 August, p 278) to have proved that gentamicin administration may cause permanent functional deterioration in renal allografts. Indeed, we stated that rejection must always be borne in mind. We did, however, provide evidence that gentamicin administration consistently causes the release of large amounts of lysosomal and other enzymes of renal tubular origin. The size of increase is greater than, and the rate of rise different from, those seen during rejection episodes. The release of enzymes is associated with changes in renal tubular cells similar to those noted in rats given gentamicin. These changes may be seen by the light microscope, as we mentioned in our article.

In rats similar changes precede those of frank tubular necrosis, although at higher gentamicin dosage. Although, as we stated, the functional changes we observed during gentamicin therapy may have been due to rejection, in the light of the other evidence of nephrotoxicity (enzymes and histology) we feel that their frequency justifies our conclusion—namely, that gentamicin should be used with caution. We did not imply that gentamicin, which we too have found useful, should not be used.

J M WELLWOOD

St Bartholomew's Hospital,
London EC1

A E THOMPSON
J R TIGHE

St Thomas's Hospital,
London SE1

Cot deaths in Sweden

SIR,—Contrast in conditions of work, play, and habitation between England and Sweden are enormous, and it is extremely difficult to draw any conclusions from comparisons between the two countries. Drs P O Petersson and G von Sydow drew attention (23 August, p 490) to the rarity of cot deaths in Sweden. As a surgeon in Sweden who has previously worked two years as a general practitioner in England I would comment that it is not unusual to see housing conditions in industrial estates in the Midlands and North of England which are almost unbelievable by Swedish standards. Cots made for one can accommodate two or even three children up to 5 years of age and beds made for two may be the abode of five and six individuals, of which the latest member of the family is usually included. Unemployment is relatively high. The bedrooms are often damp and cold, with the only heat from a gas fire. Contraception and abortion are not as readily accepted in many areas of England as in Sweden, but nevertheless a further mouth to feed is often a catastrophe.

Sweden has no equivalent of the English GP. The GP called to see a newborn baby found dead one morning may himself not only have delivered that baby but even its parents and grandparents. His prime concern therefore is to help the family rather than assist the Registrar General, which can lead to a dubious diagnosis on the death certificate to avoid endless and tiring police inquiries.

Thus the differences certainly depend on the different social and economic patterns of the two countries, of which child health care and follow-up is only a part.

DAVID FREEDMAN

Jakobsbergs Sjukhus,
Stockholm, Sweden

Cryotherapy for piles

SIR,—I was somewhat dismayed to see that Mr R E B Tagart (18 October, p 165) has condemned the treatment of piles by cryotherapy as a result of his limited experience with a liquid nitrogen probe. As far as one could gather from his letter, he had treated an undisclosed number of external piles by this method and only 10 patients with internal piles.

While I agree with him that the liquid nitrogen machine is cumbersome, a satisfactory alternative exists in the nitrous oxide cryoprobe, which is cheaper, very

light, and easy to use. I have now treated 200 patients by cryotherapy and over the past two years have operated on only four patients, all of whom presented with acute prolapsed piles. All these have been outpatients, and no sedation or anaesthesia has been given.

My method now is first to deal with all the internal piles using the nitrous oxide cryoprobe through a Clifton proctoscope, and then any skin tags and external plexus lesions are dealt with using the liquid nitrogen probe. The patients go home half an hour after treatment and are reviewed again after about six weeks. Any residual skin tags are then excised under local anaesthesia, again as an outpatient. Discharge is a problem only when external piles are dealt with.

About 15% of patients have complained of pain and oedema after treatment, and these have been off work for about four days or so. The remainder have had very little postoperative discomfort and have been delighted with the treatment. Only two patients have been admitted, one with a secondary haemorrhage and the other with gross oedema and pain. All the patients have been symptomatically improved after the first treatment, although about 10% have needed a further freeze to cure their prolapse completely. The follow-up period ranges now up to two years, and there is no real evidence of recurrence.

This method of treatment is quick, safe, and acceptable to both patient and surgeon alike, while the total cost of £1000 for the two cryoprobes represents at today's prices only five patients being in hospital for one week as a result of their having had a formal haemorrhoidectomy.

H D KAUFMAN

Selly Oak Hospital,
Birmingham

Patients or criminals?

SIR,—The Butler Committee report¹ raises issues that society cannot any longer avoid. Military violence produces fewer outlets for aggressive tendencies (the nuclear deterrent is too effective) but social violence is increasing. Urban guerrillas, hidden bombs, hijacked aeroplanes, kidnappings of innocent people, and incest and rape are becoming almost commonplace. The traits of character which society would encourage in war time have now to be contained within society itself, and unless the community is to be damaged the harmful elements in these characteristics must be recognised.

Attempts to deal with character disorders have been hampered by the policy of closing psychiatric hospital beds, which policy has been followed by every minister of health since Mr Enoch Powell. This has left no alternative but imprisonment, and yet some forms of character disorder can be successfully treated. These inadequate personalities are not helped by the soul-destroying conditioning processes of prison or secure units. The mere fact of incarceration does not increase the patient's ability to cope with the complex world, and so on release he too often relapses. These patients need competent therapy in general psychiatric hospitals whose staff are trained to help them in their social and interpersonal relationships. They need to be diagnosed long before

they become chronic recidivists. The staff require a special training.

Prophylactically schools should take careful note of a child who is not making reasonable progress or is causing repeated disturbance and not forming useful relationships. Forensic psychiatrists are aware that there is a marked difference between character disorders and neurotic adolescent reactions. It is, however, indisputable that some forms of character disorder are incurable—for instance, when coupled with severe brain damage, severe schizophrenia, or severe subnormality. The national security hospitals provide the best answer to the problems posed by such cases, but what answers are to be provided by the regional security hospitals proposed in the Butler report? The committee has thrown an excellent spotlight on one of the major medical and social problems of today, but little useful or radical treatment is recommended. No prototype programme for therapy in these regional units has been suggested. But without a scheduled therapeutic and progressive programme designed to help those patients able to benefit from it the units will be as ineffective as so many addiction units were some years ago.

JOHN HARDING PRICE

Lincoln

¹ Report of the Committee on Mentally Abnormal Offenders, chairman Lord Butler, Cmnd 6244. London, HMSO, 1975.

Conisation and the minipill

SIR,—The inquiry of Dr Leiman (13 September, p 651) on the contraceptive failure rate in women using the progestogen-only minipill who have undergone cone biopsy of the cervix raises several interesting points.

Sperm transport through the genital tract depends upon the cervical mucus. The removal of the mucus-secreting cells should therefore contribute positively to the efficacy of the low-dose progestogens rather than impair their effectiveness. The progestogen-only contraceptive pills on the market at present all consist of 19-norsteroids, which seem to have a broader action than the earlier progestogen-only preparations, which contained 17-acetoxypregestogens and whose action was primarily on the cervical mucus. We have recently demonstrated¹ that the mode of action of the 19-norsteroid norethisterone given as a low-dose progestogen preparation affects not only cervical mucus but also in some women inhibits ovulation and in others disturbs ovarian steroidogenesis. It has also been shown that the subinterval pregnancy rate of this type of hormonal contraception increases with the duration of its use.² Therefore it is more likely that the failures to which Dr Leiman refers are related to the progressively decreasing effectiveness of these preparations rather than an effect of the conisation per se. Furthermore, there is such a limited use for the progestogen-only pills that it is highly unlikely that sufficient data are available in women who have undergone conisation of the cervix to enable Dr Leiman's query to be answered categorically.

MAX ELSTEIN

Department of Human Reproduction and Obstetrics,
University of Southampton

¹ Elstein, M, et al, *British Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*. In press.

² Howard, G, et al, *Lancet*, 1969, 2, 24.

Breast-feeding and maternal nutrition

SIR,—The letter from Dr M A Crawford and Miss Barbara Hall (26 July, p 232) concerning the original article by Dr P L Sousa (1 March, p 512) is very much to the point. The essential question is really what makes for successful lactation in terms of volume actually reaching the child's mouth and, a different matter, the composition of the milk.

Successful breast-feeding is mainly a "confidence trick," especially mediated by enhancement or inhibition of the key psychosomatic let-down or milk ejection reflex. The volume of milk produced in the alveoli is mainly related to the amount of sucking stimulation (and hence prolactin secretion) and, to a lesser extent, to the mother's nutritional status. The composition of breast milk is modified by various influences, certainly the mother's nutrition and diet and possibly even genetic factors.

The pharmacology of milk production and ejection is built-in to the human female endocrinological system. However, the use of metoclopramide may perhaps be of value for indirect reasons. Firstly, it may help in initiating the flow of prolactin and thus possibly be an external pharmacological booster for a few days for what would anyhow result from normal inherent reflex mechanisms. Also, in our Western medical philosophy, the respectability of any procedure increases immediately when a chemical therapeutic approach or some similar dramatic and approved method is instituted, with consequent enthusiasm by the physicians and, by contagion, the mother. In fact, Western medicine is basically "linear," with little tolerance or understanding for the "curved" methods that are biologically inherent. Another example of a similar type is the great difficulty that has occurred in accepting the child-spacing effect of lactation amenorrhoea. Western preference is always for positive, clearcut, technological interventions.

Recently we have been trying to review the situation concerning the volume and composition of human milk in both poorly and well-nourished communities.¹ We really know rather little—for example, most work on well-fed women was undertaken almost a quarter of a century ago. In fact, infant feeding can be truly understood only as a dyadic phenomenon, the key factors being the mother's nutritional stores and current diet in both pregnancy and lactation. That this is so in poorer parts of the world is increasingly clear. However, women in industrialised countries may in fact need more nutritional attention in pregnancy and lactation than has been appreciated in recent years. Certainly, this is an area of critical significance and importance, and in need of modern reappraisal.

D B JELLIFFE
E F P JELLIFFE

Division of Population, Family, and
International Health,
School of Public Health,
University of California,
Los Angeles, California

¹ Jelliffe, D B, Jelliffe, E F P, and Yamalachili, I, *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*. In press.