CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondents are asked to be brief

Free Milk from the Sacred Cow

Elizabeth M. Davis, M.B., D.C.H.; J. Twomey, M.B., M.F.C.M. 354

Ascorbic Acid and Common Colds

L. M. Spero, PH.D., and T. W. Anderson, B.M., PH.D. 354

Oral Contraceptives and Thromboembolism

P. G. T. Bye, M.B. 354

Psychiatric Aspects of Sterilization

H. G. Sturzaker, F.R.C.S. 355

Selection for Treatment in Spina Bifida Cystica

J. Lister, F.R.C.S.GLASG. 355

Buying Added Years

A. P. Grant, F.R.C.P. 355

Detection of Hypertension in Childhood

Jennifer M. H. Loggie, M.B. 356

Biochemical "Desensitization" to Violence

L. Mosonyi, M.D. 356

Exposure to Radiation

R. Oldham, M.B. 356

Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids and Cancer

J. Mertin, M.D. 357

Anaesthetic Safety Devices

H. T. Davenport, F.R.C.P.(C), and B. M. Wright, M.B. 357

Selection of Patients for Clinical Trials

R. N. Herrington, M.B., D.P.M.; P. J. Tyrer, M.R.C.P. 357

Influenza Vaccination

J. D. Avison, M.B. 358

Diabetic Pregnancy

M. I. Drury, F.R.C.P.I. 358

Crohn's Disease and Intestinal Cancer

M. Patricia Prior, B.Sc. 358

Mobile Coronary Care Provided by Ambulance Personnel

W. S. Parker, M.B., F.F.C.M. 359

Non-accidental Injury to Children

A. G. Gibson, M.B. 358

Palmerston on Cholera

G. Don, LL.D. 359

Mode of Action of Polyene Antibiotics

B. N. Kliger, PH.D., and S. M. Hammond, B.Sc. 359

Loud Knocking on the Back Door

O. Dearlove, B.A. 360

Lavatory Doors

S. Mackenzie, M.F.C.M. 360

Surgery on Day Patients

R. T. Burkitt, F.R.C.S. 360

The AP Syndrome

J. C. Kelsey, F.R.C.PATH. 360

British Society for Population Studies

E. Grebenik, M.Sc. 360

Medical Officers' Prospects

Surgeon Commander D. S. Wright, M.B. 360

Free Milk from the Sacred Cow

SIR,—It was surprising to read in your leading article (27 October, p. 183) the suggestion that the school medical service is in no position to judge which children would benefit from dietary supplements. On the contrary, the school doctor is in constant communication with teachers, school councilors, and health visitors who become well aware of the children sent to school without proper breakfast. The doctor is experienced in distinguishing between underfed underfed children and those who are slim and fit. Many of these children are underfed adolescents in the lower forms of secondary schools where there is no statutory provision for milk supplements. Provision of a morning milk drink to children of this age group, where there is medical need, could be of great benefit.—I am, etc.,

E. M. DAVIS

Ashted, Surrey

service than that which you postulate.—I am, etc.,

J. TWOMEY

Borough Health Department,
Halesowen, Worcs

Ascorbic Acid and Common Colds

SIR,—We share the concern expressed in your leading article (11 August, p. 311) that, though many people are taking substantial quantities of ascorbic acid, little is known about the possible harmful effects of taking too much.

In the first large-scale Toronto study "side effects" were no more frequent in the 400 subjects receiving 1 g of vitamin C daily than in an equal number receiving placebo.¹ This apparent lack of toxicity is also seen in the preliminary results of a more recent study in which approximately 300 subjects received 2 g of vitamin C daily. However, we would caution that not only were both studies of relatively short duration (approximately 12 weeks), but the vitamin C was mainly in the form of the sodium and calcium salts rather than the free acid. Furthermore, while the group averages are reassuring, they would be unlikely to reveal the existence of the occasional individual who might be unable to tolerate regular doses of this magnitude.²

We also doubt whether a regular daily intake of 1 g or more will prove to be of any great clinical value, since the body appears to make a rapid adaptation to this increased load. In a study involving 25 healthy individuals on a daily dose of 1 or 2 g of ascorbate for 12 weeks resting blood levels of ascorbic acid rose initially, but returned to pretreatment levels in about 10 days. Following cessation of treatment abnormally low resting levels developed, but once again there was rapid adaptation, and pre-

treatment levels were restored in 10-14 days. However, these findings do not rule out the possibility that the occasional individual may be unable to revert to normal quickly enough, particularly if the treatment period were extended over a period of a year or more, and the consequences of this "rebound" reduction in blood levels should be investigated more thoroughly. The possibility that such individuals could develop frank scurvy should not be discounted.³

In the present state of uncertainty we believe that the regular intake of more than 100-200 mg of vitamin C daily should be discouraged, and that gram-doses—if used at all—should be restricted to the short-term treatment of acute episodes of illness. Even here it must be recognized that the ratio of benefit to hazard is unknown, but at least there is some evidence that large doses may be necessary to maintain tissue levels during acute infections,^{4,5} and the risks of such short-term therapy are probably minimal.—We are, etc.,

LAWRENCE M. SPERO

Department of Pharmacology

TERENCE W. ANDERSON

Department of Epidemiology and Biometrics,
University of Toronto,
Toronto

¹ Anderson, T. W., Reid, D. B. W., and Beaton, G. H., *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 1972, **107**, 503.

² Briggs, M. H., Garcia-Webb, P., and Davies, P., *Lancet*, 1973, **2**, 201.

³ Rhead, W. J., and Schrauzer, G. N., *Nutrition Reviews*, 1971, **29**, 262.

⁴ Hume, R., and Weyers, E., *Scottish Medical Journal*, 1973, **18**, 3.

⁵ Wilson, C. W. M., and Loh, H. S., *Lancet*, 1973, **1**, 638.

Oral Contraceptives and Thromboembolism

SIR,—The time is ripe for a new, clear exposition of the statistical correlations between oral contraceptives and thromboembolism.

It has become customary to quote the

original roughly estimated factors of increased risks^{1,2} as though they were precise quantities and to ignore the wide limits of confidence within which the true factors would have been expected to lie. An equally important source of error is the failure to realize that Inman, Vessey, and Doll¹ based their calculations on data derived from a period during which about 53% of the oral contraceptives sold in the United Kingdom contained more than 50 µg of oestrogen.³ The factor of risk was judged to be dose-related¹ and the Committee on Safety of Drugs predicted a drop of 50% in the mortality rate if only oral contraceptives containing 50 µg of oestrogen were used.⁵ During the first six months of 1973 about 97% of combined oral contraceptives sold contained no more than 50 µg of oestrogen. Thus the conditions exist for the fulfilment of the C.S.D.'s prediction. Moreover, the appearance in the last few months of a combined pill containing only 30 µg of oestrogen, which formulation Sir Richard Doll has predicted will approximately halve the incidence of thromboembolism once again,⁶ has further reduced the relevance of the original estimates of risk.

Another change that has taken place, almost unnoticed, but which on the basis of the original reports might be expected to have an effect of similar magnitude, is the substantial replacement of oral contraceptives containing mestranol by those containing ethinyl oestradiol. Inman reported (a direct comparison of equal dosage being impossible at the time) that at twice the dose mestranol appeared to carry three times the thromboembolic death risk of ethinyl oestradiol.⁴ In 1965-9 53% of combined oral contraceptives sold in the United Kingdom contained mestranol, whereas in 1973 84% of pills sold have contained ethinyl oestradiol.

A reminder also seems needed of the impossibility of proving the attribution of any individual thrombosis to an oral contraceptive. This intrinsic limitation of epidemiological research often tends to be forgotten.

Doctors are at present obliged to attempt the reassurance of patients while armed only with estimates that are imprecise, obsolete, and much misunderstood. It would be of great benefit if the original workers were to review the data that have accumulated during the past four years and to produce a report that will not only revise the estimates of the various thromboembolic risks in terms of the oral contraceptives used at present, but also make clear the limitations of those estimates. —I am, etc.,

PATRICK BYE
Senior Medical Adviser,
Pharmaceutical Division,
Schering Chemicals Ltd.

Burgess Hill, Sussex

¹ Inman, W. H. W. and Vessey, M. P., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, 2, 193.

² Vessey, M. P. and Doll, R., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, 2, 199.

³ Inman, W. H. W., Vessey, M. P., Westerholm, B. and Englund, A., *British Medical Journal*, 1970, 2, 203.

⁴ Inman, W. H. W., *British Medical Bulletin*, 1970, 26, 248.

⁵ Committee on Safety of Drugs, *British Medical Journal*, 1970, 2, 231.

⁶ *General Practitioner*, 13th April, 1973. P. 4.

Psychiatric Aspects of Sterilization

SIR,—The "post-vasectomy syndrome" described by Drs. B. R. S. Nakra and R. Gaiand

(20 October, p. 168) is a stark reminder of the importance of proper selection of married couples before the man is subjected to vasectomy.

The ease with which this operation can be done after some practice and the subsequent low conception rate (providing other contraceptive measures are taken until two consecutive ejaculate specimens at least three months postoperatively are negative) make this an extremely attractive form of contraception. In addition, the Simon Population Trust report on vasectomy¹ showed that 99% of vasectomized men would recommend the operation to others, and many found that marital relationships were improved following the operation.

However, these good results in Britain will not be maintained if the rise in referrals for vasectomy to surgical outpatient clinics is continued. There is not time in these busy clinics to interview both husband and wife and fully explain the consequences of the operation. A minimum time of 15 minutes is required with each couple, and if there are two to four couples to see each session (as has been my experience during the past year) either they or other outpatients are going to suffer. Admittedly there is not the same pressure to have a vasectomy in this country as, for example, in India, but a man is not infrequently referred for vasectomy unwillingly, after pressure from his wife or a well-intentioned social worker. Should he then be seen in a busy outpatient clinic he may well be put on the waiting list without his reluctance being appreciated. Subsequent vasectomy would therefore have distressing effects on both him and his marriage.

It is essential that referrals for vasectomy should be to special clinics which have been established for the purpose, particularly by the Family Planning Association. There doctors experienced in the problem have more time to assess whether a vasectomy should be recommended. The clinics are also geared to performing the operation on an outpatient basis under local anaesthesia with minimal disturbance to the patient's personal life and a great saving in hospital beds, staffing, and finance.

Thus, to prevent a rise in the "post-vasectomy syndrome" in this country and to reduce the cost to the N.H.S., I would urge doctors to refer patients for vasectomy not to surgical outpatient clinics but to the special clinics already established throughout the country.—I am, etc.,

H. G. STURZAKER

Croydon, Surrey

¹ Simon Population Trust, *Vasectomy, Follow-up of a Thousand Cases*. Cambridge, S.P.T., 1969.

Selection for Treatment in Spina Bifida Cystica

SIR,—The papers by Drs. G. K. and E. D. Smith (27 October, p. 189), Dr. Gillian Hunt and others (p. 197), and Dr. J. Lorber (p. 201) represent the genuine concern of the authors to find criteria for recognition of the child born with an open myelomeningocele who is bound to be severely handicapped however vigorously and successfully he may be treated; every surgeon who has been involved in the treatment of these children over the past 15 years has gone through his periods of heart-searching.

"Selection" means withholding active treatment from a certain percentage of children—striking some mean between complete rejection and complete acceptance for treatment. In the series of the brothers Smith this meant that 27% of the children received no active treatment, while in that of Dr. Lorber 67.5% received no active treatment. Comparison of results in these "selected" series with each other or with other results is of very doubtful value since reference to a unit may well depend on the published views of the consultant in charge. Dr. Lorber describes 37 newborn infants referred to the medical paediatric unit in the Sheffield Children's Hospital between May 1971 and 31 January 1973. Though *The Times* of 26 October assumed these to be the total myelomeningocele referrals to this hospital during that period, in fact 78 newborn children with myelomeningocele (excluding Dr. Lorber's cases) were referred to the paediatric surgical unit at the same hospital where "selection" is known to be less rigorous. This total of 115 myelomeningoceles referred to the Sheffield Children's Hospital in 21 months compares with approximately 180 cases referred in 21 months in 1969 and 1970 before the current change in the climate of opinion. It seems more than likely that in addition to "selection" we have pre-selection.—I am, etc.,

JAMES LISTER

Department of Paediatric Surgery,
Children's Hospital,
Sheffield

Buying Added Years

SIR,—It has been already pointed out in several letters that the cost of buying back an added year of pension at £1,300 approximately is completely prohibitive and the Compensation and Superannuation Committee is aware of this fact. The question should first be raised as to why senior consultants should even have to consider buying any added years after working for a quarter of a century in the N.H.S. This situation has arisen because of the special conditions which were in operation up to the year 1960 approximately. During these years a free profession was compulsorily nationalized and its terms of operation and pay were changed gradually from dependence upon private fees to a system of state remuneration. In business terms this was a takeover by a monopoly employer. General practitioners received a token and somewhat mean recognition of payment for goodwill, but in hospital practice this was not so. The following special points pertaining to hospital consultants in the clinical specialties should be borne in mind by our negotiators, the conditions being quite unique:

Before the National Health Service Act routine hospital work was mainly carried on by juniors in the clinical firm for their seniors. In return, as in other long-established professions, they received training, advice, goodwill, and influence. Senior consultants had a private practice which in time their junior colleagues hoped to inherit. In the early, lean years, juniors survived as best they could upon payments from boards, insurance examinations, and a few private patients and by courtesy of their senior colleagues. Goodwill took years to build up but special circumstances per-