Lead Poisoning

SIR,—I would like to comment on the letters from Dr. M. K. Williams (2 September, p. 586) and Dr. H. A. Waldron (30 September, p. 827).

The basis of the statement by Lane and others¹ was that lead poisoning was a clinical diagnosis. The acceptable levels of coproporphyrin and of α -aminolaevulinic acid in urine recognize that lead is affecting enzyme systems without producing symptoms. The study by Hernberg² shows at what blood level the enzyme α -aminolaevulinic acid dehydrase is affected by lead but does not affect the well-being of individuals. If one follows Dr. Waldron's reasoning to its logical conclusion the limit for lead in blood should be zero.

What is confusing about the paper by Dr. A. D. Beattie and colleagues (27 May, p. 488) is that clinical lead poisoning is diagnosed on a single symptom without any other evidence or the exclusion of other causes. In three cases the coproporphyrin in urine is within the normal range for people not exposed to lead. Urinary α -aminolaevulinic acid levels in four cases are within internationally accepted limits of safety, and the same is true of blood lead levels. It seems strange that in looking after several thousand lead-workers for over 20 years I have not come across any symptoms due to lead where biological data was at similar levels to those found by Beattie and colleagues.

It is most important, particularly when carrying out blood lead analysis, to have interlaboratory trials. The results found in this study cause me to consider whether the biological data are comparable with those of much of the published work.—I am, etc.,

D. MALCOLM

Prestbury, Cheshire

¹ Lane, R. E., et al., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, 4, 501.

² Hernberg, S., and Nikkanen, J., *Lancet*, 1970, 1, 63.

³ Beattie, A. D., et al., *British Medical Journal*, 1972, 2, 488.

Bone Disease in Chronic Renal Failure

SIR,—The experience of Dr. M. C. Bishop and others (16 September, p. 664) with respect of histological bone disease and chronic renal failure is a valuable contribution on this subject and represents another variation within the spectrum of renal osteodystrophy. However, though a control series has not been included and the criteria of normality have not been laid down yet, in half of the patients the bones were recognized as abnormal at the start of dialysis. By contrast, in the Hammersmith series¹ all the patients at the start of dialysis were shown to have abnormal quantities of osteoid or resorption or both, and further work since then has confirmed this finding when compared to an adequate control series matched for age and sex.²

The methodology of quantitation is not detailed, and though by implication it is only trabecular bone that has been analysed it must be said that the inadvertent inclusion of the transitional zone³ in the analysis could affect the result. Furthermore, defining osteitis fibrosa as "profuse" quantities of fibrous tissue extending from resorption cavities into the marrow space is imprecise in a histoquantitative setting. If

histological studies are to provide evidence for a regional variation in renal osteodystrophy within the United Kingdom then a plea can be made for the standardization of techniques used in different centres.

The Oxford group's finding that the bones of the three patients who had both kidneys removed were histologically indistinguishable from the bones of those patients whose kidneys had not been removed is not unexpected. Fraser and Kodicek⁴ have shown that the conversion of the vitamin D metabolite 25-hydroxycholecalciferol to the active metabolite 1,25-dihydroxycholecalciferol requires renal enzymes. In end-stage renal failure, when the nephrons have ceased to function, it is not unreasonable to suppose that the enzyme systems are also functionless so the removal or otherwise of such kidneys can hardly be expected to alter the situation materially from the point of view of renal osteodystrophy. Indeed, at nephrectomy carried out on dialysis patients one frequently finds nothing more than a shrunken reniform mass at the top of the ureter which quite clearly is functionless.

Evidence in support of the work of Fraser and Kodicek is now emerging and this is based on the sequential histoquantitative analysis of bone biopsies from successfully transplanted patients. Preliminary studies from Hammersmith Hospital, which will be reported in full later, indicate that irrespective of the changes while on dialysis regression of the pretransplant renal osteodystrophy has been most marked in those patients with better-than-average renal function, and this histological improvement has continued provided that renal function has not deteriorated.⁵ Conversely, the histological bone changes are less striking in the bones of those patients whose graft function is either fair or poor. Though after successful transplantation the bones in most patients are seen to heal in varying degrees from the point of view of conventional renal osteodystrophy, there are a few patients whose bones may be adversely affected by the drugs—namely, steroids—which are required to keep the graft from rejecting.

The importance of good tissue compatibility is reflected in the bone findings of those patients who have received a graft from a live, related donor. In two such patients, histological improvement is still taking place after five years—I am, etc.,

RAYMOND N. P. CARROLL

Manchester Royal Infirmary,
Manchester

¹ Carroll, R. N. P., Tha Aung, Williams, E. D., and Shackman, R., *Proceedings of the European Dialysis and Transplant Association*, 1969, 6, 276.

² Doyle, F. H., Tha Aung, Carroll, R. N. P., Williams, E. D., and Shackman, R., *British Medical Bulletin*, 1972, 28, 225.

³ Williams, E. D., *Proceedings of the Royal Society of Medicine*, 1972, 65, 539.

⁴ Fraser, D. R., Kodicek, E., *Nature*, 1970, 228, 764.

⁵ Carroll, R. N. P., M.Ch. thesis in preparation.

Fat Atrophy due to Insulin

SIR,—Dr. J. M. Stowers (14 October, p. 98) suggests that diabetics can avoid unsightly fat atrophy by not injecting insulin too frequently into the same site. I think this is good advice, though not always successful. I have seen fat atrophy develop in areas remote from the sites where insulin has been injected.

Once local lipodystrophy has developed the best treatment is that first recommended

by Collens¹—namely, that insulin should be injected directly into the atrophic areas. More recently Watson and Calder² extended this technique by using neutral insulin (Actrapid or Rapitard), which has a pH of 7.4, in place of more commonly used insulins which are considerably more acid. Certainly in my own experience this routine can be strikingly successful in filling out lipodystrophic pits, sometimes within a few months.—I am, etc.,

ARNOLD BLOOM

London W.1

¹ Collens, W. S., Boas, L. C., Zilinsky, J. D., and Greenwald, J. J., *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1949, 241, 610.

² Watson, B. M., and Calder, J. S., *Diabetes*, 1971, 20, 628.

Middle Class Syndrome

SIR,—I have had a number of cases of this condition recently and I am very concerned about its recent increase. The syndrome consists of an individual who consults a professional colleague without due reference from his family doctor. Usually the referral is made through a mutual friend of the consultant and the patient, and from there investigations and sometimes treatment are carried out without the family doctor's prior knowledge.

On other occasions three or four separate consultations may be sought by the individual, and the family doctor is left with a series of different and conflicting advices about the same condition for which he, in the first instance, has been consulted and a line of approach, including recommended consultations, suggested. This leads to a feeling and sense of a lack of confidence and cannot possibly do the doctor-patient relationship any good at all.

The name of the syndrome (middle class syndrome) is not my personal term but that of a member of the staff of a well-known teaching hospital who rang me telling me that they were going to see one of my patients. When I objected, indicating that the patient had already been fully investigated at another teaching hospital, I was mildly told that this was yet another case of the middle class syndrome. This syndrome can, I am sure, be eliminated completely from our experience provided all members of the medical profession maintain their ethical code one with another.—I am, etc.,

D. J. R. MORGAN

Walton-on-Thames,
Surrey

Candidates for Coronaries

SIR,—Your leading article on predicting coronary artery disease (7 October, p. 3) admits the considerable limitations of the Cambridge case-control study of 100 patients¹ but states that "even when all the information was taken into account its predictive value [for coronary artery disease] was weak." You conclude by mentioning that this underlines the extent of our ignorance about the causes of occlusive arterial disease.

While not wishing to discourage a respectful humility towards absolute knowledge of causation, we would suggest that some of the near-causal factors are sufficiently well-

known from historical and prospective studies^{2,5} to warrant effective preventive action. The point is that there is no single cause and that intervention must be based on the recognition of multiple risk factors. Prediction of morbidity and mortality from coronary artery disease on this basis is far from weak⁶ and is in fact an epidemiologically-acceptable means of defining high-risk groups whose health can be improved by planned intervention.⁷ A further merit of this approach is that it encourages all concerned towards knowledgeable self-help in personal habits such as cigarette smoking, exercise, and diet.—We are, etc.,

A. L. FURST
D. A. GREAVES
V. M. HAWTHORNE
HILARY MCCARTNEY
G. T. STEWART

Department of Epidemiology and Preventive Medicine,
University of Glasgow,
Ruchill Hospital,
Glasgow

- 1 Cotton, S. G., Nixon, J. M., Carpenter, R. G., and Evans, D. W., *British Heart Journal*, 1972, 34, 458.
- 2 Keys, A., (ed.) *Coronary Heart Disease in Seven Countries*, American Heart Association Monograph No. 29, New York, American Heart Association, 1970.
- 3 Cassel, J. C., *Archives of International Medicine*, 1971, 128, 883.
- 4 Gordon, T., and Kanner, W. B., in *The Community as an Epidemiologic Laboratory: A Casebook of Community Studies*, eds. I. J. Kessler, and M. L. Levin. Baltimore, John Hopkins Press, 1970.
- 5 Hawthorne, V. M., Gillis, C. R., and McLean, D. S., *International Journal of Epidemiology*, 1972. In press.
- 6 Epstein, F. H., *Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 1968, 44, 916.
- 7 Blackburn, H., in *Trends in Epidemiology*, ed. G. T. Stewart, Springfield Ill., C. C. Thomas, 1972.

Roentgenology

SIR,—We notice that next year will see the 50th anniversary of the death of Professor Wilhelm Röntgen. Could this explain the sudden appearance (even in your pages) of the term "roentgenology" to describe the taking of x-ray films? This serves only to complicate a field already beset with terminological confusion and is at odds with the current vogue to do away with eponyms.—We are, etc.,

JOHN MEYRICK THOMAS
D. K. PRICE
Medical Students

St. Bartholomew's Hospital,
London E.C. 1

Uptake of Gallium-67 in Malignant Tumours

SIR,—We were very interested in the enthusiastic report by Mr. A. G. Nash and others (26 August, p. 508) on isotopic scanning of colonic and rectal tumours using gallium-67 citrate. We are less concerned of its usefulness as a tumour-detection agent, however.

Using a similar technique we have studied ⁶⁷Ga uptake in vivo in 83 malignant tumours and found that the isotope concentrated preferentially in 48 (58%). In the series the tumour to background ratio varied from 62:1 to 1:1. Like Nash and his colleagues we found that gallium concentrated best in rapidly growing, poorly differentiated tumours. In a series of patients with advanced carcinomas of the breast being treated by hormonal therapy only one-third of those

patients responding to treatment concentrated the isotope in their tumours. All the patients with rapidly advancing, non-responsive neoplasms showed high concentration of the isotope in their cancers. However, during our investigation a chronic breast abscess, which was thought to be a carcinoma, and a non-malignant lung abscess in a patient with cancer elsewhere both showed high uptake of ⁶⁷Ga. Also out of 15 early primary carcinomas of the breast only one concentrated gallium, and this tumour histologically showed heavy infiltration with inflammatory cells.

We believe that though ⁶⁷Ga does appear to be concentrated preferentially in some tumours the fact that it also localizes in inflammatory tissue severely limits its use in clinical practice. Though admittedly the

SIR,—Dr. H. L. Leaming (28 October, p. 241) makes his position on the fundamental issues quite clear even if it is based on some unprovable assumptions. There is no certainty that a public inquiry would lead to the demise of the General Medical Council, nor is it possible to believe in the scare raised by the mention of a Government agency. The G.M.C. is a statutory body established and regulated by Acts of Parliament. These can be varied at will by the Government without reference to the medical profession. The Government has not done so, and is not likely to do so unless there is an irreconcilable conflict. Nevertheless, it is imprudent for doctors to entrust the protection of their professional independence to a body which is demonstrably controlled by Parliament.

The G.M.C. exists to protect the public interests. It is improper for doctors individually or collectively to seek to control it by financial means so that it can serve their interests. To adopt such an extreme view is to invite disaster in the shape of a Government takeover when the G.M.C. becomes powerful enough to be the object of political interest. The proper course is to look for a better balance between professional and lay representation and a sharing of expenses so that neither the public nor the profession have any grounds for believing that the G.M.C. is under the control of the other party.

Those of us who refuse to pay the fee on principle believe that a public inquiry is the best way of arriving at an acceptable and workable reform of the G.M.C. We believe that there is little to fear in open public discussion of matters which are of public interest, but attempts to stifle public debate by fixing things in private are likely to lead to serious trouble. The medical profession is respected and powerful: its many and various institutions are quite capable of protecting our legitimate professional independence. There is no need to resort to the dishonourable expedient of retaining financial control over a public body.

Dr. Leaming admits that withholding the fee is likely to have the effect of making the Government set up an inquiry. If the B.M.A. continues in the view that doctors must retain control of the G.M.C. by paying for it the position of the profession at the inquiry will have been weakened. Posterity may judge those who persist-

ed in that view to have been irresponsible and foolish rather than those who opposed them.—I am, etc.,

J. R. BARKER

North Staffordshire Royal Infirmary,
Stoke-on-Trent, Staffs

J. P. LAVENDER
IAN BURN

Departments of Surgery and Radiodiagnosis,
Hammersmith Hospital and
Royal Postgraduate Medical School,
London

G.M.C. Retention Fee

ed in that view to have been irresponsible and foolish rather than those who opposed them.—I am, etc.,

FRANCIS PIGOTT

Boston, Lincs

Consultants and Registrars

SIR,—Mr. R. Strang's findings (21 October, p. 181) that there were approximately four advertised registrar posts for every consultant post advertised hardly justifies his conclusion that a registrar's chances of obtaining a consultant post are 5 to 1 against. Even if his sample was representative and if individuals held only one registrar post before reaching the consultant grade the chances would be only 4 to 1. The issue in which his letter appears carries advertisements for only three times as many registrar posts as consultant posts, and as most individuals will hold more than two registrar posts before reaching the consultant grade no disproportion need be inferred.

At present the relation between available consultant posts and the numbers in the training grades varies greatly between different specialties, so that generalizations such as Mr. Strang's, if they are correctly argued, are likely to be very misleading. The number of consultant posts required to meet regional specialist needs should surely determine the number of posts in the training grades rather than vice versa.—I am, etc.,

R. A. L. BREWIS

Royal Victoria Infirmary,
Newcastle upon Tyne

Future of the B.M.A.

SIR,—At a special general meeting of the East Kent Division of the British Medical Association on 26 October the following motion was passed by a substantial majority: "That this Division welcomes the principles embodied in the Chambers Report."

I am instructed to write on behalf of the Division in support of the North Devon Division's letter on this subject (21 October, p. 181) and endorsing the view that the Chambers Report is "a great step forward in the right direction and one which should lead to a strong and united British Medical Association."—I am, etc.,

AUDREY CAREY

Hon. Secretary, East Kent Division
Sandwich, Kent