trimester low levels were found. The Table compares the maximum binding capacity (S) for insulin in 20 pregnant patients in the

Maximum Binding Capacity (U/L)	Pregnant Patients (Third Trimester)	Non-pregnant Women (Aged under 40)
>2.5 ..	0	3
0.25-2.5 ..	1	8
<0.25 ..	19	11

third trimester with that in 22 non-pregnant patients of similar age and duration of insulin treatment. The effect is significant at $P < 0.0003$ (χ^2 test).

This finding suggests that in human pregnancy the production of humoral antibodies to antigens unrelated to the fetus is also depressed. A detailed description of this work is in preparation.—We are, etc.,

P. D. EXON
K. DIXON

The General Hospital,
Birmingham

¹ Woodrow, J. C., Elson, C. J., and Donohoe, W. T. A., *Nature*, 1971, 233, 62.

Respiratory Distress Syndrome

SIR,—In referring to the stable-foam test devised by Clements *et al.*¹ to provide a rapid semiquantitative measurement of fetal pulmonary surfactant in amniotic fluid, your leading article on respiratory distress syndrome (7 October, p. 2) noted that these workers reported good correlation between the foam test and the lecithin/sphingomyelin ratio. As this comparison was made in only 13 amniotic fluid samples your readers may be interested in similar comparisons made by us in 137 samples.

In our series the foam test was clearly positive (at 1/2 dilution) in 76 samples, in 73 of which the ratio was also in the "safe" zone (>2.0) with intermediate ratios (1.5-2.0) in the other 3 cases. A clearly positive foam test would therefore seem always to indicate adequate surface activity in the fetal lungs. On the other hand, 20 out of 45 clearly negative foam tests were also associated with safe ratios, and we understand that other centres share this experience of a high incidence of false-negative results. Ten clearly negative foam tests were associated with dangerously low ratios (<1.5) and the remaining 15 were associated with intermediate ratios. So far we have performed foam tests on only 36 amniotic fluids obtained within less than 24 hours before delivery; four such tests were clearly negative, but two of them were associated with safe ratios and all four babies were free from respiratory difficulty.

The foam test should therefore be regarded as a possible simple screening method, and either the lecithin/sphingomyelin ratio or the lecithin concentration should be determined when it is not clearly positive. When measured on thin-layer chromatograms (rather than by densitometry) the ratio test is relatively simple and does not require expensive equipment, a batch of fluids can easily be tested simultaneously, and samples can be posted in to a central laboratory (whereas the more com-

plex estimation of lecithin concentration must be made on either fresh or immediately deep-frozen samples).

A further point to be clarified concerns our reported findings in pregnancies complicated by diabetes or severe rhesus incompatibility.² Serial tests showed that the expected terminal rise in the lecithin/sphingomyelin ratio fails to occur in a proportion of patients in both these categories, but normal trends were observed in the remainder (and this continues to be our experience).—We are, etc.,

C. R. WHITFIELD
W. B. SPOULE
E. M. GREENE

Belfast City Hospital

¹ Clements, J. A., *et al.*, *New England Journal of Medicine*, 1972, 286, 1077.

² Whitfield, C. R., Chan, W. H., Sproule, W. B., and Stewart, A. D., *British Medical Journal*, 1972, 2, 85.

Gas Gangrene and Hyperbaric Oxygen

SIR,—Your leading article on gas gangrene and Hyperbaric Oxygen (23 September p. 715) is welcome in supporting and publicizing the great advance in the management of this rare and terrifying disease.

No one who has seen a patient toxic with gas gangrene improve with one or two hyperbaric oxygen exposures¹⁻³ can doubt its value. With the cessation of alpha-toxin production the general condition improves so that the surgeon may wait for elective surgery for the excision of sloughs rather than perform emergency and mutilating surgery, sacrificing tissue unnecessarily in a toxic and deteriorating patient. It is beyond doubt that the mortality rate has been notably reduced and that hyperbaric oxygen is the most effective treatment for gas gangrene at present available.

The advantages of a large chamber with continuous nursing may seem attractive but it is not essential. In our small unit at Heatherwood Hospital we have managed 30 patients with gas gangrene without significant nursing problems and a mortality of less than 10%. Indeed, the advantages may lie with the small unit not only in terms of cost, ease of administration, and lack of risks to staff from pressure but also because the large unit, if it is used realistically, may have a research programme that would compete with clinical use.

It cannot be emphasized enough that if hyperbaric oxygen is used it must be used promptly. This requires many chambers in a country as large as Britain, not just two as you suggest. Provisional arrangements to transfer the patient to the nearest unit should be made on suspicion of gas gangrene. There are already over 20 small units in Britain, and every surgeon would be wise to know where his nearest unit is.—We are, etc.,

ROY H. MAUDSLEY
G. P. ARDEN

Heatherwood Hospital,
Ascot, Berks

¹ Boerema, I., and Brummelkamp, T. H., *Nederlandsche Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde*, 1960, 104, 2549.

² Colwill, M. R., and Maudsley, R. H., *Journal of Bone and Joint Surgery*, 1968, 50B, 732.

³ Slack, W. K., Hanson, G. C., and Chew, H. E. R., *British Journal of Surgery*, 1969, 56, 505.

Uganda Asians

SIR,—I was interested to read Dr. F. C. Harris's letter (21 October, p. 178) advocating laboratory screening of Ugandan Asian refugees. I am the doctor responsible for the medical arrangements at Stradishall camp, Suffolk, and would like to make the three following points.

Firstly, refugees receive on admission a full clinical examination and an x-ray of the chest, the only exceptions to radiography being women in the first 16 weeks of pregnancy and children under 13 years of age, the latter being offered B.C.G. vaccination. Both during this screening procedure and during the refugees' subsequent stay in the camp we have found no overt signs of exotic or tropical disease, though we had expected to do so. We have also taken faeces specimens from intending food handlers, and the results so far available have shown no pathogenic organisms or parasites. In addition, the sera of intending food handlers at another camp have been examined for salmonella agglutinins, when the results suggested that the majority of the group investigated had not experienced infection with the organisms causing enteric fever.

Secondly, it is incorrect to describe the camp as a holding one, though it is true that the stay of some of the refugees is longer than expected. We are receiving small numbers daily and received a further 135 refugees on 22 October. By 23 October we had admitted 2,661 and no fewer than 1,295 had left the camp. There is thus a continual turnover.

My third point is logistic. The task of collecting faecal specimens and blood films from all the refugees is quite beyond the resources at my disposal. We are a relatively small health authority and the work at the camp has placed very great strains on our staff, despite generous help from outside doctors and nurses. Staff fatigue has indeed been one of our greatest problems.—I am, etc.,

D. G. H. PATEY
County Medical Officer of Health,
West Suffolk

Health Department,
Bury St. Edmunds

Research into Alcoholism

SIR,—Dr. Ann L. Owen (Points from Letters, 2 September, p. 594) would be interested to know that the Medical Council on Alcoholism, founded in 1967 and now with a widely-spread membership throughout the United Kingdom and an affiliation with the International Council on Alcohol and Addictions, has been supporting research projects on alcoholism for the last four years and has several further projects under consideration. The council has promoted symposia for general practitioners and hospital registrars and has a library and information service for professional and lay workers in the field. It is a charitable organization and has subcommittees which meet regularly and are concerned with research, education, and occupational health—all, of course, in the field of alcoholism.

In the last year a film has been produced for showing to general practitioners and a collection of papers written by experts on all aspects of alcoholism was published in the form of a loose-leaf folder in July. Apart