Correspondence

Letters to the Editor should not exceed 500 words.

Paediatric Teaching for Overseas B. Maegraith, F.R.C.P., and J. D. Hay, F.R.C.P.	828	Through-knee Amputation H. R. W. Lunt, M.B., M.CH.ORTH.	831	Hyperpyrexia during Anaesthesia J. McG. Imray, M.B., D.A., and D. C. White, F.F.A. R.C.S.	833
Gonorrhoea and the I.U.C.D. N. F. Morris, F.R.C.O.G., and M. Elstein, M.R.C.O.G.	828	Systolic Murmurs in the Elderly Ariela Pomerance, M.D.	831	Eating and Corticosteroid Levels K. Montagu, M.Sc.	833
Tetracycline and Nystatin G. Holti, M.D.	829	Screening for Cervical Cancer J. Elizabeth Macgregor, M.D.	831	Damages against Doctors J. Camp, M.A.	834
Epidemic Influenza and General Hospitals J. R. May, M.D., F.C.PATH.	829	Preperitoneal Prosthetic Herniorrhaphy L. F. Tinckler, F.R.C.S.	832	Solvents for Ear Wax H. G. Morris-Jones, M.R.C.S.; F. de S. Donnan, M.B.	835
Asian Flu Vaccine J. L. Loakes, M.B.	829	Diabetes Mellitus and Prostatic Hyperplasia B. Lake, M.R.C.P.; J. B. Bourke, M.B., and J. P. Griffin, Ph.D., M.B.	832	Sir William Osler L. C. Lyon, M.B.	835
Pain in the Face J. Penman, M.R.C.P.	829	Rejection on Medical Grounds J. W. Todd, M.D.	833	Medical Assistants D. B. Caro, F.R.C.S.	835
Difficulties of Doctors in Industry W. J. Lloyd, M.B.	830	Prevention of Lumbar Puncture Headache J. de Swiet, M.D.	833	Green Paper L. M. Shirlaw, L.R.C.P.ED.	835
Frusemide and Urinary Cell Loss R. M. Lindsay, M.B., and A. L. Linton, M.R.C.P.GLASG.; L. F. Prescott, M.D.	830	Neurogenic Intermittent Claudication M. A. Falconer, F.R.C.S.	833	Recognition for Seniority J. W. Tuke, M.B.	835
				Organizing the A.R.M. R. E. W. Oliver, M.R.C.S.	835

Paediatric Teaching for Overseas

SIR,—Your leading article (23 November, p. 465) rightly commends the report on the postgraduate education of overseas students in paediatrics which has been produced by the Overseas Committee of the British Paediatric Association.¹ The report reviews our teaching of paediatrics to those who will later be grappling with the treatment and prevention of disease in children in tropical countries, and emphasizes its many deficiencies.

Among these deficiencies there has been a lack of co-ordination between tropical schools and university departments of child health, so that many overseas students have experienced difficulty during their limited stay in Britain in obtaining adequate training in both tropical medicine and paediatrics. There has also been insufficient emphasis in our teaching of tropical medicine and paediatrics on the special needs of children in tropical countries. The report emphasizes the need for this, and also for active clinical work including responsibility for patients. The absolute necessity for such clinical responsibility has also recently been recognized by the examining boards of the Royal College of Physicians of both London and Glasgow, which now regard six months as a senior house officer in paediatrics in a recognized hospital as a prerequisite to sitting the examinations for the D.C.H.

Your readers may be interested to know that it was the realization of these special needs of overseas students who seek training in both tropical medicine and paediatrics in this country that led the University of Liverpool to introduce this year a course in child health and tropical paediatrics organized by the school of tropical medicine and the department of child health, which is to include (a) four months' instruction in tropical medicine and hygiene, with particular emphasis on paediatrics and child health in the tropics, (b) two months' clinical attachment in neonatal paediatrics, (c) 12 months as a senior house officer—if necessary, super-

numerary—at Alder Hey Children's Hospital. Experience in infant welfare centres is to be included. Throughout the clinical period there is to be continuing instruction in tropical paediatrics, with special emphasis on those aspects of hospital work which are particularly relevant to practice in developing countries. It is intended that no more than six students will be admitted to the first course, and all will be required to have served as S.H.O. or the equivalent in paediatrics in a recognized hospital for at least one year. The report of the Overseas Committee of the British Paediatric Association emphasizes the particularly urgent need for good teachers in the developing countries, and our aim would be to attract such potential teachers to this course not only to help them to become proficient in the treatment and prevention of disease in children in the tropics, but also to stimulate them to undertake research projects in the field on their return home.

The report also condemns our existing diplomas as inadequate for the assessment of proficiency in the practice of paediatrics in developing countries, and it was to meet the need for a satisfactory yardstick of such proficiency that the University has established a Diploma in Tropical Child Health.

It was clear that for a course of instruction to be successful it would be essential for a senior member of staff with adequate experience of paediatrics in a developing country to be specially responsible for the students and their tuition; and the appointment of a senior lecturer in child health and tropical paediatrics to undertake this responsibility was agreed by the University some months ago, provided the costs involved were met from an outside source. The holder of that post is to be granted an honorary contract by the regional hospital board, he will have clinical responsibility for patients in Alder Hey Children's Hospital, he will contribute to the teaching of paediatrics in the tropical school, he will have excellent opportunities

for research, and he will act as personal tutor to the graduate students attending the course. To enable him to keep up to date in his specialty he will be expected to spend a minimum of two months each year in the tropics. We have reason to believe that there will be adequately qualified and experienced applicants for such a post when we are in a position to advertise it.

Financial support for the appointment of such a senior lecturer and therefore the course as a whole is, however, still lacking. In the present financial stringency it seems unlikely that it will come from the Treasury, and appeals to several research foundations have so far been fruitless. We must continue to hope that the necessary funds will become available before long.

The demand for the course has already been amply confirmed by many inquiries received from overseas graduates wishing to enrol, and it would seem to be deplorable if we are to miss this opportunity of improving our contribution to the future health of children in the developing countries, not only for the sake of the children and their parents, but in the interests of future friendship and co-operation between those countries and ourselves.—We are, etc.,

BRIAN MAEGRAITH.

Liverpool School of Tropical
Medicine,
Liverpool.

JOHN D. HAY.

Department of Child Health,
University of Liverpool.

REFERENCE

- ¹ British Paediatric Association, Overseas Committee Report, 1968. London.

Gonorrhoea and the I.U.C.D.

SIR,—We would like to comment on the report of cases of gonorrhoea in association with the intrauterine contraceptive device (I.U.C.D.) by Dr. R. Statham and Dr. R. S. Morton (7 December, p. 623). We too have seen and reported five cases of severe pelvic

inflammation.¹ In two of these cases a haemolytic streptococcus was recovered as the presumptive causal organism. In one of these patients, as in the cases reported by Dr. Statham and Dr. Morton, there was a more rapid relief of the signs and symptoms of the pelvic inflammation once the device was removed than with antibiotics alone.

While it is generally agreed that the occasional case of severe pelvic infection can occur in association with an I.U.C.D.,^{2,3} it is with the lesser degrees of pelvic inflammation that there is a difference of opinion. We have recently pointed out⁴ that there is a significantly higher incidence of symptoms and signs which could be attributed to pelvic inflammation in women who were using an I.U.C.D. with a cervical appendage (11–21%) than in those using an I.U.C.D. which was totally intrauterine (2%). In our experience the pelvic inflammation responds to repeated courses of broad-spectrum antibiotic therapy occasionally reinforced with pelvic shortwave diathermy. It is only in the more severe cases that it is necessary to remove the I.U.C.D.

In order to assess the sequelae and significance of this diagnosis of pelvic inflammation, a controlled salpingographic study of 50 cases was instituted following treatment for pelvic inflammation. Within this series were seven cases of bilateral tubal occlusion and 16 cases of unilateral occlusion. Localized tubal damage appeared to be present in a further 15 cases.⁵

In view of this we would like to emphasize that the I.U.C.D.s that are currently available, most of which have a cervical appendage, should not be used in nulliparous women, or in women who wish to be sure of retaining their fertility potential.—We are, etc.,

NORMAN F. MORRIS.
MAX ELSTEIN.

Department of Obstetrics
and Gynaecology,
Charing Cross Hospital Medical School,
London W.C.2.

REFERENCES

- 1 Elstein, M., *Proc. roy. Soc. Med.*, 1967, **60**, 397.
- 2 *Wld Hlth Org. tech. Rep. Ser.*, No. 332, 1966.
- 3 Tietze, C., *Amer. J. Obstet. Gynec.*, 1966, **96**, 1043.
- 4 I.P.P.F. Medical Bulletin, 1968, **2**, No. 3, p. 3.
- 5 Elstein, M., *Int. J. Fertil.*, 1969, in press.

Tetracycline and Nystatin

SIR,—The recent report by the Clinical Trials subcommittee of the British Tuberculosis Association on a multicentre, double-blind, controlled trial comparing the side-effects of tetracycline and tetracycline plus nystatin (16 November, p. 411) concludes that their findings “failed to show any association between candida and gastrointestinal symptoms normally attributed to chemotherapy,” and that “the addition of nystatin to tetracycline cannot be justified at present on the grounds that it suppresses the upgrowth of *Candida* in the bowel and hence symptoms caused by *Candida*.” I submit that the methods used during this trial could not possibly allow the authors to arrive at any valid conclusions.

The 143 patients admitted to the trial suffered from sufficiently severe chest infections to be admitted to one of the eight trial centres. Most of them were suffering from

severe chronic bronchitis and had many previous courses of oral broadspectrum antibiotics. At least 36 were already ingesting antibiotics on admission to the trial and at least 70 had already alimentary tract symptoms before the trial was started. It seems more than likely that the vast majority of these patients had florid oral candidosis, particularly if they wore dentures. This site is a common source of recontamination of the gut even during treatment with nystatin tablets, as the latter neither act on the mouth nor are they absorbed.

If the aim of this trial was to establish whether the alimentary tract side-effects of oral tetracycline were related to the concomitant increase of faecal *Candida* and whether these side-effects could be prevented by giving tetracycline combined with nystatin (Mysteclin), in my opinion it is essential to clear all patients so far as possible of any excess of *Candida*, and that only after such preparation would it be fair and meaningful to commence a double-blind trial.

While rectal swabs are certainly the easiest way of sampling faecal flora, they are consistently positive for *Candida* only if the fungus is present in the gut in large numbers. For the purpose of a trial of this kind it would have been important to have repeated stool cultures, preferably daily, starting several days before commencement of the trial, and continuing for at least one week after medication has finished, as some patients develop abdominal side-effects only at that stage. It is, of course, essential to culture at the same time scrapings from the corners of the mouth, the mucous membrane over the hard palate in denture wearers, the commonest site of chronic asymptomatic candidosis, the perineum as well as vaginal swabs. It seems regrettable that no attempt was made to assess the response of patients to *Candida* by immunological techniques. There cannot be any doubt that the majority of patients on oral broadspectrum antibiotics with a demonstrable increase of *Candida* in their stools do not show any side-effects; however, those who do may have frank candidosis of the bowel mucosa or show marked allergy to the yeast on further investigation.^{1,2} Most reactions to *Candida* can be measured by a number of established immunological techniques.

The information obtained by the clinical investigators with the questionnaire on symptomatology must be of doubtful value. Apparently patients were merely asked whether they had a sore mouth without any effort being made to examine the oral cavity after dentures had been removed.

Flatulent distension, frequency, and volume of bowel motions are all measurable and should have been objectively assessed. Many patients admit to pruritus and only when told that the perianal skin looks macerated and scratched. The term “skin rash” is meaningless unless its characteristics are defined. A differently arranged, and admittedly more complex trial, may yield much-needed information on this important subject.—I am, etc.,

Department of Dermatology,
The Royal Victoria Infirmary,
Newcastle upon Tyne.

G. HOLTI.

REFERENCES

- 1 Holt, G., in *Symposium on Candida Infections*, edited by H. I. Winner and R. Hurley, 1966. Edinburgh and London.
- 2 Holt, G., *Brit. med. J.*, 1967, **1**, 155

Epidemic Influenza and General Hospitals

SIR,—May I be permitted to make two comments concerning your leading article of 14 December (p. 655)? Both concern the failure to mention *Haemophilus influenzae* in it. While it is true that in recent epidemics this organism has not posed the problems that it did in the pandemic of 1918–19, the possibility that it might again become prominent should certainly not be overlooked. Further, influenza sufferers who also have chronic bronchitis will almost certainly develop an acute haemophilus broncho-bronchiolitis, which in such circumstances could be as dangerous as staphylococcal pneumonia.

For these reasons I suggest that routine chemotherapy should always include an antibiotic active against *H. influenzae*. Your suggested regimen of benzylpenicillin and cloxacillin would have no effect upon this organism, and in my opinion ampicillin 250 mg. intramuscularly six-hourly should be substituted for the benzylpenicillin.—I am, etc.,

J. ROBERT MAY.

Institute of Diseases of the Chest,
London S.W.3.

Asian Flu Vaccine

SIR,—I have not yet been able to obtain any Asian flu vaccine for any of my chest or heart patients. I hear that the staff of a local television rental business have been vaccinated.—I am, etc.,

J. L. LOAKES.

Bournemouth, Hants.

Pain in the Face

SIR,—Working in a mysterious way against themselves, Messrs. P. R. R. Clarke and J. Hankinson (2 November, p. 328) have taken Olivecrona's¹ operative mortality of 2 in 445, or 0.45%, and have doubled it. As if to restore the balance, they have also awarded Rowbotham's² “250 cases of trigeminal root section with no mortality” instead of the 132 which he claimed. Frazier³ reported 156 consecutive non-fatal cases of this operation, Horrax and Poppen⁴ 176, and Cushing⁵ 312. As an inspiration, series such as these are valuable; statistically, each of them is worth no more than its opposite, the series of the same length with most deaths. The belief that they constitute information about the death rate might be termed the consecutivist fallacy. But why depend on finite numbers at all, when you can quote Leriche's⁶ “*Il n'est plus question pour elle [that is, for trigeminal rhizotomy] de mortalité opératoire.*”

To come nearer to earth, what has been the death rate in any consecutive series of at least 500 subtemporal trigeminal rhizotomies in all hands at a good surgical centre? This, being the rate for all patients operated on at such a centre, deserves to be called the true death rate. The answer was 1.9% in one series⁷ of 1,106 cases, and 1.6% in another⁸ of 553.

The recurrence rate after complete rhizotomy is probably about one-quarter of that after partial rhizotomy. Any alleged series