cases of acute urinary infection presenting in general practice. The percentages of coliproteus-coliform organisms, treated as a group, resistant to five drugs over the four years are detailed below to the nearest 0.5%.

Year	Sulphon- amide Resistant	cvcline	Nitro- furantoin Resistant	cillin	Nalidixic Acid Resistant
1967 1966 1965 1964	42 % 27 %	33·5 % 30·5 % 26·5 % 42 %	3·5% 5·5% 8% 2%	5·5% 4% 3%	2% 1.5% —

It will be noticed that 61.5% of such organisms are now resistant to sulphonamides. The rise since 1964 has been truly dramatic. The percentage of tetracycline resistant strains has remained remarkably steady at about 33%, varying mainly with the proportion of Proteus spp. in the sample, as about 90% of such are resistant. Nitrofurantoin, ampicillin, and nalidixic acid all show remarkably low percentages of resistant organisms with much less variation over the years studied.

Finally, it seems rather hard of your contributor to refer to nalidixic acid as promising new drug whose range of usefulness is being investigated." It has been available for about five years and I understand about 150 papers have been published referring to it.—I am, etc.,

M. H. ROBERTSON.

St. Margaret's Hospital, Epping, Essex.

REFERENCE

¹ Robertson, M. H., Brit. J. clin. Pract., 1968, 22, 63.

SIR,-I was interested to read Dr. David Brooks's comments (21 September, p. 745) on your article on the management of urinary tract infection (7 September, p: 600). wonder how many doctors do in fact rely completely on sensitivity tests, especially to sulphonamides; and is the disc test the best? Clinically, there is no doubt that sulphonamides are effective in the majority of cases of urinary infection, and I am sure most practitioners will agree with your expert with his advice that they are the first line of attack.

In patients with acute symptoms the microscope is a remarkably reliable guide to whether urinary infection is present or if one is dealing with the urethral syndrome. But I doubt whether Dr. Brooks's criterion for pyuria is accurate enough for trial purposes. Five cells per high power field in urine unspun and using a 1/6 objective lens would give an approximate count of 200 W.B.C./ cu.mm., and this is considerably in excess of the more usually accepted baseline of 50 cells in females and 10 in men. Withholding treatment in cases with acute symptoms and significant microscopical findings misses the opportunity of a trial with sulphonamides for those few days while awaiting bacteriological results. This trial is worth bacteriological results. more than a sensitivity test, and the majority of patients will benefit. Using transport medium, it takes several days to get bacteriological results. This method is as accurate as the specimens will permit, but cannot always differentiate between contamination and significant bacteriuria. have found a 90% accuracy from over 500 paired cultures.

I find it important to take note of how the patient subjectively responds to therapy and if she says she is feeling better, and there is a significant drop in the cell count, and a suggested drop in the semiquantitative bacterial count, then I will go on with the same drug even though bacteriologically the urine is not yet absolutely clear. My experience suggests to me this is a correct attitude provided the follow up is thorough. Sensitivity tests are of great use in the follow up, but even then I am sure they do not provide the complete answer. Incidentally, your article recommends that urines should be centrifuged for pus cells. Is this really necessary, or even desirable, as cells may be lost this way ?'-I am, etc.,

Swanage, Dorset. S. H. PURSER.

REFERENCES

- Dunn, P. M., Hine, L. C., and MacGregor, M. B., Brit. med. 7., 1964, 1, 1081.
 Stansfeld, J. M., Arch. Dis. Childh., 1962, 37,
- Pryles, C. V., in Current Pediatric Therapy, ed. by S. S. Gellio and B. M. Kagan, 1964. London.
 Gadeholt, H., Brit. med. J., 1964, 1, 1547.

"V.D." as a Diagnosis

SIR,--Your leading article on "V.D." as a diagnosis (14 September, p. 630) states that it is important not to treat until a diagnosis has been confirmed. This assertion, as far as gonorrhoea is concerned, calls for some qualification, for it is a matter of opinion.

Some months ago I saw a young wife who was at risk from her husband, recently treated for gonorrhoea acquired extramaritally. had had negative smears and cultures regularly for three weeks at another clinic. When I saw her she had bilateral gonococcal salpingitis which need never have been allowed to develop.

When a man readily admits extramarital exposure within an acceptable incubation period and develops gonorrhoea after risking infecting his wife, it has been my practice for 15 years to treat and follow up the wife even though no gonococci have been found. I have never done this if the husband has denied extramarital intercourse, nor do I thus treat empirically the recent unmarried contact except in pressing circumstances-for example, a fiancée about to get married. Many a colleague, like Noel Coward's Malay rabbit, will "deplore this foolish habit," but my conscience is clear. Much ill health, psychological trauma, and not a few broken marriages are probably prevented by this simple prophylactic procedure. When all is said and done, thousands of asymptomatic cases are treated incidentally with antibiotics given for other reasons, to say nothing of World Health Organization efforts to stamp out the treponematoses. Nevertheless, agree that an attempt at diagnosis should always be made.

Other venereologists may go further and treat prophylactically any and every promiscuous girl attending their clinics. We may yet all come to this if V.D. figures continue to rise.—I am, etc.,

ARTHUR S. WIGFIELD.

Manor Hospital, Walsall.

SIR,-Your leading article (14 September, p. 630) was presumably addressed to those who claim no special experience of venereal diseases and who lack the diagnostic and

epidemiological facilities available to V.D. clinics. It is unfortunate, therefore, that Dr. F. J. G. Jefferiss (28 September, p. 802) has introduced this debatable question of socalled "epidemiological treatment"—that is, of giving treatment to known contacts of patients with venereal disease before the diagnosis has been confirmed.

I need not enter into the pros and cons of this procedure, but I believe that most venereologists would agree that if it is to be used at all it should be the result of careful consideration in the individual case, when the diagnosis in the consort is known for certain and the facilities for bacteriological assessment, for ensuring follow-up, and for tracing secondary contacts are the best available. In the absence of this knowledge and these facilities "epidemiological treatment" is not to be recommended; it is only too likely to open the way to indiscriminate treatment of all who have taken risks, and this is highly undesirable.

Dr. Jefferiss's hobby-horses are usually good strong runners, but it is a pity that this one had to be mounted on the present occasion. It is likely to confuse those who might have benefited from the advice given in your leader.-I am, etc.,

London W.1.

A. J. KING.

Deep Vein Thrombosis

SIR,-I was recently quoted in your columns by Mr. N. L. Browse and others (21 September, p. 717) as suggesting that the long-term results of venous thrombectomy in the early cases of iliofemoral venous thrombosis were "not very encouraging."

This statement needs clarification. In my experience complete clearance of the iliofemoral segment is achieved in about 62% of cases, and venographic follow-up over three to five years shows that in only 4% of cases is rethrombosis (not necessarily with re-occlusion) likely to occur. When clearance is partial or incomplete early rethrombosis (within 14 days) is commoner, occurring in 32% of instances. The incidence of complete reocclusion as opposed to some rethrombosis of the segment, even with incomplete clearance, is not high. Partial or incomplete clearance and subsequent reocclusion are most often related to delay in venous thrombectomy.—I am, etc.,

Royal Infirmary, Aberdeen.

G. E. MAYOR.

REFERENCE

Mavor, G. E., and Galloway, J. M. D., Brit. 7. Surg., 1967, 54, 1019.

Health Visitors

SIR,—The letters from Dr. John D. Kershaw (24 August, p. 497) and Dr. Mary E. Brennan (7 September, p. 618) are important. Distressing conditions arise because many people go to chemists, herbalists, and other places for help rather than to the N.H.S. establishment, and there are problem families and individuals who have had no help at all. Some of the areas where the greatest difficulties exist are those that have few, no, or inexperienced health visitors. Because of this there is very little domiciliary work, and the health visitor has no time to investigate the non-attenders at clinics and their reasons, or to follow up cases that should have been referred from hospitals. Because conditions are bad the health visitor staff is continually changing.

A good health visitor (they are better than "public health nurses," because they have some midwifery and are better able to deal with family situations) is a truly wonderful person. She is able to sense the situation before the baby gets battered. She can advise about the control of cockroaches one day, on granny's enema on another, and on the behaviour of the teenage daughter on yet another. The fact is her approach through practical, physical factors makes her acceptable. She is not associated with any particular detrimental situation. She can provide a routine, continuing source of supervision and support, and can call in experts in special fields as needed. Not all health visitors are angels. Some have been preconditioned by hospital training where nurses are too authoritarian to their patients and to their juniors, and too subservient to their seniors. Others take up the work mainly because of regular hours and living at home. In the present tragic state of health-visitor shortage some who are unsuitable or who need more postgraduate experience and supervision are employed for lack of better personnel.

The value of domiciliary work has been proved repeatedly and in many countries. The doctors who have been trained almost entirely in institutions have very little concept of how much they have to learn and to give when the domiciliary and family conditions are appreciated. The present increase and popularity of social workers is of course bound to happen in a country where statistics show a great improvement in health and longevity. Many of the existing problems are now those of behaviour and responsibility, some of them created by permissiveness and the Welfare State.

The training of assistantes sociales in France has much to recommend it. The fact that they all receive a basic year or two in hospital is a good starting-point, though I must admit that some of the subsequent training and use of these workers is wasteful. The present situation, both in the rich and in the poor countries, calls for great expansion and improvement in the health-nurse and home-nurse services. This is the policy that would lead to the greatest improvement in health-physical, mental, and social-and economies in medical manpower and hospital facilities. The situation calls for a more realistic approach by doctors, politicians, and administrators.-I am, etc.,

London S.E.24. CICELY D. WILLIAMS.

Malabsorption and Surgery

SIR,—In his helpful and interesting contribution Mr. C. Wastell (14 September, p. 661) omitted to mention other water-soluble vitamins of the B group. These are normally absorbed in the duodenum and upper jejunum, which may be chronically inflamed in association with peptic ulcer, for which total gastrectomy or extensive resection of the stomach may be undertaken. I have seen pellagra following such operations in several patients, in some of whom it may be necessary to give the vitamin supplements

parenterally, and in this respect these patients resemble the chronic alcoholic, in whom oral administration of full vitamin supplement fails to prevent pellagra. It is of interest, too, that in Britain pellagra tends to be more florid in exceptionally sunny summers such as 1949 and 1959.—I am, etc.,

E. J. MOYNAHAN.

Department of Dermatology, Guy's Hospital, London S.E.1.

Plasma Volume in Macroglobulinaemia

SIR,—We were interested to read the discussion of a case of macroglobulinaemia in the clinicopathological conference (27 July, p. 237).

There may be some relevance in observations we made on the following case of a man whose illness we followed for four years prior to his death in 1964 at the age of 46 years. The clinical pattern approximated most closely to the group of macroglobulinaemia associated with a cold agglutination syndrome. Cold haemolysins had been first noted in 1950. The level of plasma globulin rose from 3.0 g. to over 7.5 g./100 ml. between 1960 and 1964. Electrophoresis revealed a compact band in the gammaglobulin area, and immunoelectrophoresis showed a very large increase of $\gamma M(\beta_2 M)$ macroglobulin (Dr. J. Kohn). An unusual feature of the terminal 18 months of his illness was intractable headache, which led us to measure his blood volume. In August 1964 the following results were obtained:

Plasma Volume

Coomassie Blue Method¹ 6.8 l. = 90 ml./kg. body weight. Method 6.7 l.

Red Cell Volume

(By calculation from venous P.C.V.) 2.0 l. = 26.5 ml./kg. body weight.

(Ranges of plasma and red cell volume for normal adult males are 43.6±5.79 and 30.1±5.74 ml. per kg. body weight respectively.² Our patient weighed 75 kg.)

At this time his haemoglobin level was 5.7 g./100 ml. and the packed cell volume 22%, and it is evident that increased plasma volume was mainly responsible for these marked reductions. These findings indicated that the total amount of circulating globulin was over 500 g., or, using the estimate of Gabuzda, a total body pool of approximately 750 g. at the serum globulin level of 7.5 g./ 100 ml. The histological findings in the case were lymphocytoid infiltration of the marrow. Death was due to cerebral haemorrhage as a part of a generalized haemorrhagic diathesis developing one month after the blood volume studies. Post-mortem histology of the

We wish to raise the possibility of a plasmaexpanding effect of massive globulinaemia. Hobbs' based his calculations on an arbitrary plasma volume of 60 ml./kg. body weight, purposely high to make allowance for the anaemia which was common in his series. Actual measurements of the plasma volume in macroglobulinaemia appear to have been few. Our data would suggest that the use of an arbitrary plasma volume in calculations of protein pools relevant to the rate of evolution of gammopathies could be quite misleading. Furthermore, a knowledge of actual alterations in plasma volume may help to clarify certain clinical problems such as the need for transfusion or plasmapheresis, and the response to cytotoxic therapy.—We are, etc.,

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL

St. Helier Hospital, Carshalton, Surrey. CEDRIC HIRSON.

St. Thomas's Hospital, London S.E.1. IAN S. MENZIES.

REFERENCES

 Menzies, I. S., J. clin. Path., 1966, 19, 179.
 Brady, L. W., Cooper, D. Y., Colodzin M., McClenathan, J. E., King, B. R., and Williams, R., Surg. Gynec. Obstet., 1953, 97, 25.
 Gabudza, T. G., J. Lab. clin. Med., 1962, 59, 65.
 Hobbs, J. R., Brit. med. J., 1967, 3 699.

Cancer and Asbestos

SIR,-Your leading article on "Cancer and Asbestos" (24 August, p. 448) drew attention to the rise in the percentage incidence of intrathoracic malignancy in males dying with asbestosis in Great Britain from 19.7 in 1924-40 to 54.5 in 1961-3. It was also noted that the mean age at death in cases of malignancy associated with asbestosis "remained almost constant between 54.3 and 57.6 years" over the period 1924-63.1 Over this same period the number of cases, in males, dying with intrathoracic malignancy in England and Wales rose persistently and this malignancy formed an increasing proportion of deaths from all causes especially within the age groups between 50 and 64 years.2 The increase in the percentage incidence of intrathoracic malignancy in asbestotics between 1924 and 1963 might be due in some measure to the increased incidence of this cause of death in the general male population of England and Wales surviving beyond the age of 50 years, and in part to a change in the incidence of intrathoracic malignancy in the asbestotic population.

Examination of copies of the death certificates, of males dying with asbestosis, held by the Factory Department of the Ministry of Labour showed that between 1931 and 1965 (both years inclusive) there were 452 certificates relating to men who had died between the ages of 30 and 79 years. The deaths in each calendar year were divided into five-year age groups from 30-34 years to 75-79 years, and, from the appropriate data in the annual Statistical Reviews,2 the number of expected deaths from intrathoracic malignancy was calculated for each age group. It was thus possible to arrive at an estimate of the number of deaths from intrathoracic malignancy to be expected in successive time periods. The numbers of expected and observed deaths from intrathoracic malignancy associated with asbestosis for these time periods are shown in the Table.

Time Period	1931-	1941-	1951-	1961-
	40	50	60	65
Total deaths with asbestosis Intrathoracic	62	91	141	158
malignancy: Observed cases Expected cases Ratio of expected to	12	20	43	59
	1·64	5·0	14·84	17· 95
observed cases	7.3	4.0	2.9	3.3

In each time period there was an excess of observed deaths over the expected deaths.