Yale School of Medicine, New Haven, CT 06510, USA

thomas.gill@yale.edu (or @mrdisabili

Cite this as: BMJ 2022;377:o1084 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.o1084

Published: 11 May 2022

Preserving community mobility in vulnerable older people

Fresh evidence confirms the benefits of structured physical activity

Thomas M Gill, Humana Foundation professor of geriatric medicine

Preserving independent mobility is central to maintaining a good quality of life, including retention of many activities, such as walking to a bus stop or around a neighborhood, that older adults need to stay fully engaged in their communities. Loss of mobility in community living people is associated with multiple adverse outcomes, including worsening disability and morbidity, increases in healthcare utilization and costs, admission to residential care, and death.2-6

The linked study by Bernabei and colleagues (doi:10.1136/bmj-2021-068788) provides additional evidence that a structured moderate intensity physical activity programme can preserve mobility, defined as the ability to independently walk 400 m in less than 15 minutes, in community living older adults (≥70 years). This evidence comes from a well designed and rigorously executed randomized controlled trial (SPRINTT, the Sarcopenia and Physical fRailty IN older people: multi-componenT Treatment strategies) that was conducted at 16 clinical sites across 11 European countries. The authors found that the multicomponent intervention, which included personalized nutritional counseling in addition to aerobic (walking), strength, flexibility, and balance exercises, reduced the occurrence of mobility disability over the course of three years by 22% among community living older people with a condition that the authors call "physical frailty and sarcopenia." These findings are consistent with those from an earlier US based multicenter trial, the LIFE Study, 8 that evaluated physical activity as the sole intervention among sedentary older people with functional limitations.

Physical frailty and sarcopenia was operationalised as the co-occurrence of functional limitations, defined as a short physical performance battery (SPPB) score of 3 to 9 (as in the LIFE Study) and low appendicular lean mass, assessed by dual energy x ray absorptiometry (DEXA). Although this definition is rigorous and appropriate for an efficacy trial, its clinical utility is uncertain for several reasons. First, the SPPB, which includes a short distance walk, five chair stands, and a set of balance maneuvers, requires considerable staff training and up to 15 minutes to safely and effectively complete. Second, DEXA scans are not readily available in many clinical settings, and they add expense and radiation exposure. Third, operationalizing sarcopenia on the basis of muscle mass, rather than muscle strength, has lost favor based on mounting evidence from epidemiologic studies and clinical trials.9

The SPRINTT trial was not designed to determine whether nutritional counseling added any benefit to structured physical activity, which had previously

been shown to be effective in the LIFE Study. 8 The reduction in mobility disability in SPRINTT was generally comparable to that in the LIFE Study, suggesting that nutritional counseling may offer little additional benefit. This is important since the nutritional component of the SPRINTT intervention adds costs and complexity. Previous research, dating back to the seminal trial by Fiatarone and colleagues published in 1994, has shown that the value of physical activity is much greater than that of nutrition for improving functional outcomes in vulnerable older people.10

The SPRINTT intervention was not effective in reducing mobility disability in older participants with relatively mild functional limitations, as denoted by an SPPB score of 8 or 9—a finding that is generally consistent with that in the LIFE Study. 8 Among participants with SPPB scores less than 8, the rates of mobility disability in the control groups were comparable between SPRINTT (51.5%, mean follow-up 2.2 years) and LIFE (46.8%, mean follow-up 2.6 years), suggesting that the additional low appendicular lean mass requirement in SPRINTT did not add much prognostic information. Whether a muscle strength requirement would add useful prognostic information is uncertain.

Translating findings from even the best designed efficacy trials to clinical practice can be challenging for several reasons, including eligibility criteria that are difficult to implement and interventions that are overly complex and expensive. Collectively, the findings from the SPRINTT and LIFE trials provide compelling evidence that mobility in the community can be preserved among vulnerable older people through structured physical activity, with walking as the primary modality.

To enhance clinical feasibility, slow gait speed (<0.8 m/s) rather than the complete SPPB could be used to identify older people who are at high risk of losing independent mobility.¹¹ Ideally, these individuals could then be referred to structured physical activity programmes in the community. In the US, many Medicare plans offer SilverSneakers, a free health and fitness programme where older people can exercise at a fitness center, such as a gym or community center, or at home, or both by accessing on-demand how-to videos, classes, and workouts.12 The cost effectiveness of the LIFE structured physical activity programme was found to be comparable to that of many commonly recommended medical treatments. 13 Confirming these findings in SPRINTT would further strengthen the case for developing, implementing, and supporting community based physical activity programmes to preserve independent mobility among vulnerable older people.

EDITORIALS

Competing interests: The BMJ has judged that there are no disqualifying financial ties to commercial companies.

The author declares the following other interests: I was a co-investigator and the site principal investigator at Yale for the LIFE Study.

Further details of The BMJ policy on financial interests is here: https://www.bmj.com/sites/default/files/attachments/resources/2016/03/16-current-bmj-education-coi-form.pdf.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not externally peer reviewed.

- Shumway-Cook A, Patla A, Stewart A, Ferrucci L, Ciol MA, Guralnik JM. Environmental components of mobility disability in community-living older persons. J Am Geriatr Soc 2003;51:393-8. doi: 10.1046/j.1532-5415.2003.51114.x. pmid: 12588584
- Newman AB, Simonsick EM, Naydeck BL, etal. Association of long-distance corridor walk performance with mortality, cardiovascular disease, mobility limitation, and disability. JAMA 2006;295:2018-26. doi: 10.1001/jama.295.17.2018. pmid: 16670410
- Orti MC, Guralnik JM, Salive ME, Sorkin JD. Serum albumin level and physical disability as predictors of mortality in older persons. *JAMA* 1994;272:1036-42. doi: 10.1001/jama.1994.03520130074036. pmid: 8089886
- Fried LP, Guralnik JM. Disability in older adults: evidence regarding significance, etiology, and risk. J Am Geriatr Soc 1997;45:92-100. doi: 10.1111/j.1532-5415.1997.tb00986.x. pmid: 8994496
- 5 lezzoni Ll. When walking fails: mobility problems of adults with chronic conditions. University of California Press, 2003.
- Hardy SE, Kang Y, Studenski SA, Degenholtz HB. Ability to walk 1/4 mile predicts subsequent disability, mortality, and health care costs. J Gen Intern Med 2011;26:130-5. doi: 10.1007/s11606-010-1543-2. pmid: 20972641
- Bernabei R, Landi F, Calvani R, etalSPRINTT consortium. Multicomponent intervention to prevent mobility disability in frail older adults: randomised controlled trial (SPRINTT project). BMJ 2022;377:e068788.
- Pahor M, Guralnik JM, Ambrosius WT, etall.IFE study investigators. Effect of structured physical activity on prevention of major mobility disability in older adults: the LIFE study randomized clinical trial. JAMA 2014;311:2387-96. doi: 10.1001/jama.2014.5616. pmid: 24866862
- Bhasin S, Travison TG, Manini TM, etal. Sarcopenia Definition: The Position Statements of the Sarcopenia Definition and Outcomes Consortium. J Am Geriatr Soc 2020;68:1410-8. doi: 10.1111/jgs.16372. pmid: 32150289
- Fiatarone MA, O'Neill EF, Ryan ND, etal. Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people. N Engl J Med 1994;330:1769-75. doi: 10.1056/NEJM199406233302501. pmid: 8190152
- Guralnik JM, Ferrucci L, Pieper CF, etal. Lower extremity function and subsequent disability: consistency across studies, predictive models, and value of gait speed alone compared with the short physical performance battery. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2000;55:M221-31. doi: 10.1093/gerona/55.4.M221. pmid: 10811152
- National Institute on Aging. Exercise and Physical Activity for Healthy Aging: Get Fit for Life. NIH Publication No 20-AG-81352020.
- Groessl EJ, Kaplan RM, Castro Sweet CM, etall.IFE Study Group. Cost-effectiveness of the LIFE Physical Activity Intervention for Older Adults at Increased Risk for Mobility Disability. J Gerontol A Biol Sci Med Sci 2016;71:656-62. doi: 10.1093/gerona/glw001. pmid: 26888433