

- Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London W2 1PG, UK
- Division of Infection and Immunity, School of Medicine, Cardiff University, Cardiff, UK

Correspondence to: D Gill dipender.gill@imperial.ac.uk

Cite this as: *BMJ* 2020;371:m4288 http://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.m4288

Published: 11 November 2020

Testing for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2

Not as simple as ABC

Dipender Gill, ¹ Mark J Ponsford²

The UK government has adopted testing for antibody responses to severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2) proteins as a strategy for estimating the proportion of the population that has been infected, to better understand the spread of the virus. The UK Rapid Test Consortium's "AbC-19 Rapid Test" (AbC-19) detects the presence of IgG antibody against the trimeric SARS-CoV-2 spike protein in a finger prick device resembling a pregnancy test, allowing for rapid and scalable deployment in the community. Despite ongoing concerns, the UK Government has committed to purchasing 1 million AbC-19 devices.

In the linked study commissioned by the UK government's Department of Health and Social Care (doi:10.1136/bmj.m4262),⁴ Mulchandani and colleagues evaluate the accuracy of the AbC-19 assay and find that it may be considerably lower than previously suggested by an as yet unpublished study reported by Robertson and colleagues.⁴⁵

Using blood samples from people with a previous positive polymerase chain reaction (PCR) test for SARS-CoV-2 to represent "known positives," and pre-pandemic blood samples to represent "known negatives," Mulchandani and colleagues estimated that the AbC-19 assay has a sensitivity of 92.5% (95% confidence interval 88.8% to 95.1%) and a specificity of 97.9% (97.2% to 98.4%).4 They calculate that in a population with a 10% prevalence of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection, only 83.0% (78.3% to 86.8%) of positive results would be correct, and 17% would be incorrect.4 This contrasts with the findings of Robertson and colleagues, who reported a sensitivity of 97.7% (95.7% to 99.3%) and a specificity of 100% (95% confidence interval reported as 100% to 100%).5

The discrepancy between the two studies is explained by critical differences in ascertaining known positives and known negatives. Robertson and colleagues chose as known positives people who had already tested positive for antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 proteins in three other assays and chose as known negatives people who tested negative in the same three assays. Such a relatively extreme choice of reference standards likely overestimated the accuracy of the AbC assay, owing to a well known phenomenon called spectrum bias. 67

Mulchandani and colleagues acknowledge that their choice of known positives (PCR positive cases) may also have overestimated the accuracy of the AbC-19 test, because it tends to be the most severe cases of coronavirus 2019 (covid-19) that undergo PCR testing, and these patients generate stronger antibody responses. A 8 In an alternative analysis—using as known positives unselected key workers with no PCR confirmation of infection but a positive antibody test

with the Roche Elecsys anti-nucleoprotein assay—they estimated an even lower sensitivity (84.7%, 80.6% to 88.1%) of the AbC-19 assay.⁵

Finally, interpretation of the AbC-19 device was limited in Mulchandani and colleagues' study by the weak strength of some signals, leading to discordance between three trained laboratory staff for 3.9% of assays. Such uncertainty would likely increase if the test was used in a community setting.

These findings add to mounting evidence that SARS-CoV-2 seroprevalence studies are limited in their ability to correctly identify people who have and have not been infected. The risk of false positives is particularly concerning. If antibody responses are used as an indicator of immunity, for example, test results may influence both individual and government decisions about permissible risk of exposure, and false positives may therefore do considerable societal harm. ¹⁰

Although neutralising antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 infection may persist for months after infection, ^{11 12} they can vary considerably between individuals, ¹³ and the prevalence of detectable antibodies in populations decreases over time. ¹⁴ Further work is urgently needed to clarify the relation between circulating antibody concentrations and immunity to SARS-Cov-2. As antibody tests are increasingly available in community pharmacies, ¹⁵ a clear message must be communicated to the public that positive results from these assays do not provide evidence of immunity.

The study by Mulchandani and colleagues identifies notable limitations of the UK government's antibody test of choice and provides good evidence that its specificity in a "real life" setting is highly unlikely to be 100%. Apart from limited surveillance to estimate the proportion of a population that has been infected, 9 widespread use of this assay in any other role could risk considerable harm.

Competing interests: The BMJ has judged that there are no disqualifying financial ties to commercial companies. The authors declare the following other interests: DG is employed part-time by Novo Nordisk, outside of and unrelated to the submitted work.

Provenance and peer review: Commissioned; not peer reviewed.

We thank Clare Dallimore and Kris Cawood for reading and commenting on a draft of this work.

- 1 UK Government. Coronavirus (COVID-19): antibody testing. 2020. https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/coronavirus-covid-19-antibody-tests/coronavirus-covid-19-antibody-tests.
- Andersson M, Low N, French N, etal. Rapid roll out of SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing-a concern. *BMJ* 2020;369:m2420. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m2420 pmid: 32580928
- 3 Abingdon Health. UK Government confirms order for 1M COVID-19 rapid antibody tests. 2020. https://www.abingdonhealth.com/uk-governmentconfirms-order-1m-covid-19-rapid-antibody-tests/.

- Mulchandani R, Jones H, Taylor-Phillips S, etalEDSAB-HOME and COMPARE Investigators. Accuracy of UK Rapid Test Consortium (UK-RTC) "AbC-19 Rapid Test" for detection of previous SARS-CoV-2 infection in key workers: test accuracy study. BMJ 2020;371:m4262.
- Robertson LJ, Moore JS, Blighe K, et al. SARS-CoV-2 antibody testing in a UK population: detectable IgG for up to 20 weeks post infection.2020. https://www.medrxiv.org/content/10.1101/2020.09.29.20201509v1.
- 6 Usher-Smith JA, Sharp SJ, Griffin SJ. The spectrum effect in tests for risk prediction, screening, and diagnosis. BMJ 2016;353:i3139. doi: 10.1136/bmj.i3139 pmid: 27334281
- 7 Ransohoff DF, Feinstein AR. Problems of spectrum and bias in evaluating the efficacy of diagnostic tests. N Engl J Med 1978;299:926-30. doi: 10.1056/NEJM197810262991705 pmid: 692598
- 8 Seow J, Graham C, Merrick B, etal. Longitudinal observation and decline of neutralizing antibody responses in the three months following SARS-CoV-2 infection in humans. *Nat Microbiol* 2020. doi: 10.1038/s41564-020-00813-8 pmid: 33106674
- 9 Burgess S, Ponsford MJ, Gill D. Are we underestimating seroprevalence of SARS-CoV-2?BMJ 2020;370:m3364. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m3364 pmid: 32883673
- Kumleben N, Bhopal R, Czypionka T, etal. Test, test, test for COVID-19 antibodies: the importance of sensitivity, specificity and predictive powers. *Public Health* 2020;185:88-90. doi: 10.1016/j.puhe.2020.06.006 pmid: 32590234
- Wajnberg A, Amanat F, Firpo A, etal. Robust neutralizing antibodies to SARS-CoV-2 infection persist for months. Science 2020;eabd7728. doi: 10.1126/science.abd7728 pmid: 33115920
- Gudbjartsson DF, Norddahl GL, Melsted P, etal. Humoral Immune Response to SARS-CoV-2 in Iceland. N Engl J Med 2020;383:1724-34. doi: 10.1056/NEJMoa2026116 pmid: 32871063
- Long QX, Liu BZ, Deng HJ, etal. Antibody responses to SARS-CoV-2 in patients with COVID-19. Nat Med 2020;26:845-8. doi: 10.1038/s41591-020-0897-1 pmid: 32350462
- Mahase E. Covid-19: Antibody prevalence in England fell from 6.0% to 4.4% over three months, study finds. BMJ 2020;371:m4163. doi: 10.1136/bmj.m4163 pmid: 33115730
- Robinson J. Hundreds of UK pharmacies are now offering rapid point-of-care COVID-19 antibody testing. 2020. https://www.pharmaceutical-journal.com/news-and-analysis/news/hundreds-ofuk-pharmacies-are-now-offering-rapid-point-of-care-covid-19-antibody-testing/20208182.article?.

This article is made freely available for use in accordance with BMJ's website terms and conditions for the duration of the covid-19 pandemic or until otherwise determined by BMJ. You may use, download and print the article for any lawful, non-commercial purpose (including text and data mining) provided that all copyright notices and trade marks are retained.