





SUGARY DRINKS AND CANCER RISK

Sugary drinks and cancer risk: more to diet than just sugar

David Benton professor, Hayley A Young associate professor

Swansea University, Swansea SA2 8PP, UK

Chazelas and colleagues report that sugar intake, including fruit juice, is associated with a higher incidence of cancer. A fundamental question is whether examining a single nutritional villain in isolation is meaningful, rather than acknowledging the need for a wide ranging, varied, and balanced diet?

Fruit juice is a valuable source of bioactive molecules with health related anti-inflammatory and anti-oxidant properties. A meta-analysis of 22 studies found that a high intake of flavonoids reduced all cause mortality (relative risk 0.74). Since 2003 the World Health Organization has recommended the consumption of fruit and vegetables to improve health—given that intact and juiced fruit have similar molecular compositions, fruit juice can be predicted to have health giving properties. The most extensive meta-analysis of the benefits of fruit and vegetable intake found that consumption of 100% fruit juice (not extrinsically sweetened) reduced all cause mortality (0.76) as well as the incidence of coronary heart disease (0.79) and ischaemic stroke (0.65).³

Chazelas and colleagues considered only sugar, but diet is too complex to consider only form of one nutrient. The Global Burden of Disease study found that disease was associated with diets high in sodium, low in fruit and vegetables, and low in whole grain, nuts, and seeds. The study notes that "a policy

focus on the sugar and fat components of diets might have a comparatively smaller effect than that of promotion of increased uptake of vegetables, fruits, whole grains, nuts, and seeds."

An unintended consequence of examining only one nutrient is that it promotes the myth of good and bad foods, when we must emphasise the range and balance of the many foods that will make up a healthy diet.

Competing interests: None declared.

- Chazelas E, Srour B, Desmetz E, etal. Sugary drink consumption and risk of cancer: results from NutriNet-Santé prospective cohort. BMJ 2019;366:l2408.
 10.1136/bmi J2408.31292122
- 2 Grosso G, Micek A, Godos J, etal . Dietary flavonoid and lignan intake and mortality in prospective cohort studies: systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis. Am J Epidemiol 2017;185:1304-16. 10.1093/aje/kww207. 28472215
- Aune D, Giovannucci E, Boffetta P, etal. Fruit and vegetable intake and the risk of cardiovascular disease, total cancer and all-cause mortality-a systematic review and dose-response meta-analysis of prospective studies. Int J Epidemiol 2017;46:1029-56. 10.1093/ije/dyw319. 28338764
- 4 GBD 2015 Risk Factors Collaborators. Global, regional, and national comparative risk assessment of 79 behavioural, environmental and occupational, and metabolic risks or clusters of risks, 1990-2015: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2015. *Lancet* 2016;388:1659-724. 10.1016/S0140-6736(16)31679-8. 27733284

Published by the BMJ Publishing Group Limited. For permission to use (where not already granted under a licence) please go to http://group.bmj.com/group/rights-licensing/permissions

Subscribe: http://www.bmj.com/subscribe