Strength of evidence for perioperative use of statins to reduce cardiovascular risk: systematic review of controlled studies

Anmol S Kapoor, Hussein Kanji, Jeanette Buckingham, P J Devereaux, Finlay A McAlister

Abstract

Objective To determine the strength of evidence underlying recommendations for use of statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events.

Design Systematic review of studies with concurrent control groups.

Data sources Four electronic databases, the references of identified studies, international experts on perioperative medicine, and the authors of the primary studies.

Review methods Two reviewers independently extracted data from studies that reported acute coronary syndromes or mortality in patients receiving or not receiving statins during the perioperative period.

Main outcome measure Random effects summary odds ratios for death or acute coronary syndrome during the perioperative period.

Results 18 studies—two randomised trials ($n = 177$), 15 cohort studies ($n = 799\ 632$), and one case-control study ($n = 480$)—assessed whether statins provide perioperative cardiovascular protection; 12 studies enrolled patients undergoing non-cardiac vascular surgery, four enrolled patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery, and two enrolled patients undergoing various surgical procedures. In the randomised trials the summary odds ratio for death or acute coronary syndrome during the perioperative period with statin use was 0.26 (95% confidence interval 0.07 to 0.99) and the summary odds ratio in the cohort studies was 0.70 (0.57 to 0.87). Although the pooled cohort data provided a statistically significant result, statins were not randomly allocated, results in retrospective studies were larger (odds ratio 0.65, 0.50 to 0.84) than those in the prospective cohorts (0.91, 0.65 to 1.27), and dose, duration, and safety of statin use was not reported.

Conclusion The evidence base for routine administration of statins to reduce perioperative cardiovascular risk is inadequate.

Introduction

Each year about one million patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery worldwide and at least 10% of all patients undergoing cardiac surgery experience a cardiovascular complication.^{1 2} Some authors have endorsed the use of statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events,^{3 4} and a recent pharmacoeconomic study stated that routine perioperative use of statins was “the most cost-effective use of statin therapy yet described.”⁵

Large randomised trials have shown that statins decrease morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular events in patients with, or at high risk of, coronary artery disease.⁶ Although several

of the non-lipid lowering pleiotropic effects of statins (principally plaque stabilisation and anti-inflammatory modulation) are hypothesised to help prevent perioperative myocardial infarctions, the pathophysiology of perioperative myocardial infarction is incompletely understood.^{1 7}

We carried out a systematic review to determine the strength of evidence for using statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events.

Methods

We included studies if they contained data on acute coronary syndrome or mortality in adults who were or were not treated with statins during the perioperative period. We excluded studies that did not include a control group drawn from the same population, were published in abstract form only, or evaluated patients first treated with statins in the postoperative period.

Study identification and selection

On 27 September 2005 a medical librarian (JB) searched Medline (1966-2005), Embase (1988-2005), the Cochrane Library, and Biosis Previews (1969-2005) using relevant subject headings, chemical registry names, and the text words “statins” or “hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors” and “perioperative care”, “preoperative care”, “postoperative care” or “intra-operative care”, “surgery”, “surgical”, and “operative/surgical operations” (complete search terms available from JB). The search was updated on 6 February 2006. A cited reference search was also carried out in Web of Science to identify other eligible papers, reference lists of primary studies were reviewed, and experts (including primary study authors) were contacted to identify any other studies.

Data extraction and quality assessment

ASK, HK, and FAM independently screened citations, abstracted data, and assessed methodological quality.^{8 9} If data needed clarification we contacted the original investigators. As we were interested in perioperative outcomes we extracted data for 30 days after surgery (these were available for all but one of the studies after contact with the authors of the primary study—data for the final study were reported at 60 days).

Data analysis

From each study we extracted intention to treat data on death or acute coronary syndrome in the perioperative period and used RevMan 4.2 (Update Software, Oxford, United Kingdom). Owing to methodological heterogeneity among studies, we did

References w1-w18 are on bmj.com

Research

not pool all studies to create an overall summary estimate, but we carried out a meta-analysis of methodologically similar studies (for example, all randomised trials or all cohort studies) using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model to calculate odds ratios; the I^2 statistic was used to assess for heterogeneity in each outcome of interest. We did not assess publication bias owing to the heterogeneity in study methodology, because covariates that lead to heterogeneity in the relation between exposure and outcome will also lead to asymmetry in a funnel plot.¹⁰

Results

Overall, 2373 citations were identified, of which 18 studies fulfilled our eligibility criteria (fig 1),^{w1-w18} including eight studies not included in a recently published review on the potential of statins used during the perioperative period.³

Of the studies eligible for inclusion, three were reported in more than one publication. The second publication reported results for different end points, different follow-up periods, or selected subgroups.^{11-13 w4 w13 w14} Some overlap also occurred in patient populations reported in a case-control publication^{w6} and in a retrospective cohort publication.^{w13} On the advice of the author of the primary study we included the data from only the retrospective cohort publication in any pooled estimates to avoid potential double counting of some patients (D Poldermans, personal communication, 2006).

Table 1 presents key characteristics from the 18 unique included studies, reporting data from 800 289 operations in 800 106 patients. Two were randomised controlled trials^{w1 w14}, one was a case-control study^{w6}; and 15 were cohort studies, of which 12 used a retrospective design.^{w2-w5 w7-w13 w15-w18} One of these cohort studies reported data derived from a randomised trial testing ex vivo application of edifoligide to vein grafts before implantation.^{w16} All but two of the included studies^{w7 w18} evaluated outcomes with statin use in patients undergoing cardiovascular surgery (four studies of coronary artery bypass grafting surgery and 12 of non-cardiac vascular surgical procedures). Although two studies examined the effects of lipid lowering therapy rather

than statin therapy, in both^{w7 w17} the majority of patients receiving lipid lowering therapy were using statins, and event rates in statin users and non-users were identical to those of users of other lipid lowering therapy (G Landesberg, personal communication, 2006).

Qualitative data synthesis

The Jadad quality scores, out of a maximum of five, for the two randomised trials were five and two, but in one of the trials it was only clear that treatment allocation had been adequately concealed after contact with the author.^{w1}

Overall the 16 non-randomised studies were rated as being of good quality using the Downs and Black scoring system (table 2).⁸ The internal validity scores for the 16 non-randomised studies were, however, only fair to moderate, with lack of blinding of patients or providers to statin exposure being the main shortfall. Few of these studies described the duration or dose of statin therapy during the perioperative period and few reported information on compliance with treatment.

Perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome

Both of the randomised trials and 13 of the cohort studies evaluated the composite outcome of perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome. Although the summary odds ratio for the randomised trials was 0.26 (95% confidence interval 0.07 to 0.99), this was based on only 13 events in 177 patients and cannot be considered conclusive. However, pooling the data from all 13 cohort studies (1004 events in 18 463 patients) gave a summary odds ratio of 0.70 (0.57 to 0.87) with statin use (fig 2).

Although event rates in controls were similar for the cohorts of non-cardiac surgery (6.3%) and cardiac surgery studies (6.6%), heterogeneity was present in the pooled estimate derived from these 13 cohort studies, largely driven by the lower event rates in statin treated patients in four of the smallest studies, all of which reported fewer than 50 events.^{w3 w12 w13 w18} The pooled estimate from the 10 retrospective cohort studies (odds ratio 0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.50 to 0.84) suggested substantially greater benefits with statin use than that derived from the three prospective cohort studies (0.91, 0.65 to 1.27).

Fig 1 Flow of studies through selection process

Table 1 Description of included studies

Study (design)	Sample size (mean age)	Study population and types of surgery	Statin use	Patients with dyslipidaemia (%)	Patients using aspirin or other antiplatelet agent, or β blockers (%)	Outcomes	Follow-up
Non-cardiac surgery:							
Boersma et al 2001 ^{w15} (prospective cohort)	1351 (NR)	Consecutive patients scheduled for elective major vascular surgery (47% aortic femoral bypass, 35% infrainguinal reconstruction)	Taking "lipid lowering agents" before operation	NR	30, 27	Cardiac death or non-fatal acute coronary syndrome detected by routine clinical care*	1 month
Poldermans et al 2003 ^{w6} (case control)	480 (70)	Patients undergoing major vascular surgery (38% repair of acute abdominal aortic aneurysm, 48% elective repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 11% revascularisation of legs) from 1991-2000	Taking statins before operation	45	26, 30	Death from any cause within 30 days of surgery	1 month
Landesberg et al 2003 ^{w17} (retrospective cohort)	502 (66)	Consecutive patients undergoing elective vascular operations (60% infrainguinal bypass, 38% repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 2% axillofemoral bypass) from 1990-9	Taking lipid lowering therapy before operation	21	NR, 15	Death from any cause	1 month and long term
Durazzo et al ^{w1} 2004 (randomised controlled trial)	100 (NR)	Patients for elective non-cardiac vascular surgery (56% aortic repair, 20% infrainguinal arterial bypass, 11% carotid endarterectomy), no recent acute coronary syndrome or stroke, recruited between 1999 and 2000	Taking statins for at least 14 days before operation	63	39, 60	Cardiac death; non-fatal acute coronary syndromes or ischaemic stroke, or both detected by routine physical examinations, electrocardiography, and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected†	10 days and 6 months
Lindenauer et al ^{w7} 2004 (retrospective cohort)	780 591 (64)	Patients who survived beyond postoperative day 2 after non-cardiac surgery (50% of surgery elective and types of surgery: 36% orthopaedic, 32% abdominal, 8% vascular) from 2000-1	Taking lipid lowering therapy in first two days after admission to hospital (91% statins)	5	11, 19	In-hospital mortality (average stay five days)	Admission to hospital
Kertai et al 2004 ^{w13} (retrospective cohort)	570 (68)	Patients undergoing elective surgery for infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm between 1991 and 2000	Taking statins before operation	NR	23, 45	All cause mortality and myocardial infarction detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected†	1 month
Abbruzzese et al 2004 ^{w10} (retrospective cohort)	189 operations, 172 patients (69)	Consecutive patients who underwent infrainguinal arterial reconstruction from 1999-2001	Taking statins before operation	NR	63, NR	All cause mortality and myocardial infarction extracted from chart review*	1 month
O'Neil-Callahan et al 2005 ^{w2} (retrospective cohort)	1163 operations, 997 patients (71)	Consecutive patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy (31%), aortic surgery (15%) or revascularisation of the legs (54%) from 1999-2000 (84% elective)	Taking statins before operation	52	52, 49	Death and acute coronary syndrome extracted from chart review*	Admission to hospital
Schouten et al 2005 ^{w8} (retrospective cohort)	981 (67)	Consecutive patients undergoing elective major vascular surgery from 1998-2004 (60% repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 40% revascularisation of the legs)	Taking statins before operation (average 40 days)	NR	NR, NR	Myopathy, death, or acute coronary syndrome detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days	7 days
Kennedy et al 2005 ^{w5} (retrospective cohort)	3283 (72)	Consecutive patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy (62% symptomatic) from 2000-1	Taking statins before operation	NR	NR, NR	Mortality, stroke, or acute coronary syndromes extracted from chart review*	Admission to hospital
McGirt et al 2005 ^{w3} (retrospective cohort)	1566 (72)	Consecutive patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy (42% symptomatic) from 1994-2004	Taking statins for at least seven days before operation	50	NR, NR	Mortality, stroke, or acute coronary syndromes extracted from chart review*	1 month
Ward et al 2005 ^{w9} (retrospective cohort)	446 (67)	Consecutive patients undergoing infrainguinal vascular bypass surgery from 1995-2001 because of atherosclerotic disease	Taking statins before operation	NR	NR, 21	Mortality, stroke, acute coronary syndromes, or major vascular complications extracted from chart review*	1 month

Research

Study (design)	Sample size (mean age)	Study population and types of surgery	Statin use	Patients with dyslipidaemia (%)	Patients using aspirin or other antiplatelet agent, or β blockers (%)	Outcomes	Follow-up
Amar et al 2005 ^{w18} (prospective cohort)	131 (71)	Patients aged 60 years or older undergoing elective major thoracic surgery from 2002-3 (89% lobectomy, 7% oesophagectomy, 4% pneumonectomy)	Taking statins before operation	NR	NR, 22	Death within 30 days, atrial fibrillation, stroke extracted from hospital and physician records	1 month
Conte et al 2005 ^{w16} (prospective cohort in setting of randomised controlled trial)	1404 (69)	Patients undergoing infrainguinal revascularisation for critical limb ischaemia and enrolled in a randomised trial testing ex vivo application of edifoligide to vein grafts before implantation	Taking statins before operation	55	50, 49	Mortality, acute coronary syndromes, and stroke collected prospectively within trial setting	1 month
Cardiac surgery:							
Christenson 1999 ^{w14} (randomised controlled trial)	77 (63)	Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (87% elective) between 1997 and 1998	Taking statins for four weeks before operation	62	NR, NR	Acute coronary syndrome detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected†	7 days and 12 months
Dotani et al 2000 ^{w12} (retrospective cohort)	323 (63)	Consecutive elective patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery in 1997	Taking statins before operation	50	NR, NR	Cardiac death, acute coronary syndromes, stroke, arrhythmia, or heart failure extracted from chart review*	2 months and 12 months
Pan et al 2004 ^{w11} (retrospective cohort)	1663 (63)	Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (81% elective) between 2000 and 2001	Taking statins before operation	66	51, 52	All cause mortality, acute coronary syndrome, stroke, arrhythmias, or renal dysfunction extracted from chart review*	1 month
Ali and Buth 2005 ^{w4} (retrospective cohort)	5469 (NR)	Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery or valve surgery, or both (51% elective) from 1998-2003	Taking statins before operation	NR	NR, 81	Mortality, intra-aortic balloon pump use, or acute coronary syndrome (extracted from discharge records)*	7 days

NR=not reported.

*Thus clinically apparent cases only.

†That is, no routine surveillance.

The data we report in figure 2 are derived from the crude event rates reported in each study. Those studies that examined for differences between statin users and non-users reported that statin users were more likely to be male and to have coronary artery disease or other cardiovascular comorbidities.^{w2 w3 w5 w7 w10 w13} As a result, those studies that adjusted for covariates found that the associations between statin use and outcomes were preserved after adjustment.^{w2 w13}

Perioperative death and safety

Although neither of the randomised trials were powered for mortality (only one death occurred in the perioperative period in the 177 patients randomised), the pooled odds ratios from the cohort studies were 0.49 (0.38 to 0.64) for cardiac surgery studies and 0.69 (0.65 to 0.72) for non-cardiac surgery studies (fig 3). Again, those studies that adjusted for covariates found that the associations between statin use and outcomes were still present

Table 2 Methodological quality assessments of non-randomised studies. Values in brackets are maximum scores achievable

Study (design)	Downs and Black quality score (maximum number) ^a					
	Reporting (11)	External validity (3)	Internal validity: bias (7)	Internal validity: confounding (6)	Power (2)	Overall (29)
Boersma et al 2001 ^{w15} (prospective cohort)	10	3	4	4	2	23
Poldermans et al 2003 ^{w6} (case-control)	10	2	4	4	2	22
Landesberg et al 2003 ^{w17} (retrospective cohort)	7	3	4	4	1	19
Lindenauer et al 2004 ^{w7} (retrospective cohort)	10	2	4	4	2	22
Kertai et al 2004 ^{w13} (retrospective cohort)	9	3	4	3	2	21
Abbruzzese et al 2004 ^{w10} (retrospective cohort)	9	3	4	3	2	22
O'Neil-Callahan et al 2005 ^{w2} (retrospective cohort)	8	3	5	4	2	21
Schouten et al 2005 ^{w8} (retrospective cohort)	9	3	4	3	2	21
Kennedy et al 2005 ^{w5} (retrospective cohort)	10	3	3	4	2	22
McGirt et al 2005 ^{w3} (retrospective cohort)	11	3	4	4	2	24
Ward et al 2005 ^{w9} (retrospective cohort)	9	3	4	4	2	22
Amar et al 2005 ^{w18} (prospective cohort)	6	2	3	3	1	15
Conte et al 2005 ^{w16} (prospective cohort)	8	1	3	4	1	17
Dotani et al 2000 ^{w12} (retrospective cohort)	9	3	4	3	2	22
Pan et al 2004 ^{w11} (retrospective cohort)	8	3	3	4	2	20
Ali and Buth 2005 ^{w4} (retrospective cohort)	10	3	3	4	2	22

even after adjustment.^{w7 w11} Further, a case-control study with rigorous matching of controls to cases reported an odds ratio for mortality with statin use of 0.22 (0.10 to 0.47) and consistency of effect across all tested subgroups.^{w6}

Only one of the studies reported liver dysfunction rates in statin users (one case of elevated aminotransferase levels in 50

patients)^{w1} and the only study that explicitly examined risk of rhabdomyolysis in surgical patients who did or did not take statins reported no increased risk with statin use; however, the frequency of increased creatinine phosphokinase levels to greater than 10 times normal in both arms of that study (8% v

Fig 2 Perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome event rates in cohort studies

Fig 3 Perioperative death rates in cohort studies

Research

10%)¹⁸ was substantially higher than the rates reported in the medical trials of statins.⁶

Implications for trial design

Assuming that the event rates in the statin studies we analysed are representative of what can be expected in patients undergoing vascular surgery, if the composite of perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome was the primary outcome then 3000 patients per arm would be required for a placebo controlled randomised trial to establish whether statins reduced this outcome by 25%; if the primary outcome was perioperative death then over 7000 patients would be required per arm for a placebo controlled randomised trial to establish whether statins reduced that outcome.

Data on the effect of perioperative statins on liver and muscle function currently are limited in the literature. It is not inconceivable, however, that perioperative statins may create a higher risk for these events than statins used in routine medical practice because patients requiring vascular surgery tend to be older and often have comorbidities that would have excluded them from statin trials. Thus it is important that any randomised trials evaluating perioperative statin use include assessments for these safety outcomes.

Discussion

Literature suggests that the use of statins during the perioperative period in patients undergoing high risk surgery may confer substantial benefits. Statin users exhibit perioperative rates of death or acute coronary syndromes that are 30% to 42% lower than those observed in patients who are not taking statins at the time of surgery. It is important to emphasise that these findings are largely based on observational cohort studies and that the two randomised trials published on this topic are too small (even when pooled) to provide conclusive evidence on the effect of statins in the perioperative period.

The perioperative benefits of statins reported in the literature are greater than the benefits reported for long term statin use in patients with coronary disease⁶ or after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery¹⁴; the magnitude of risk reduction seen in these perioperative studies even exceeds the benefits seen with statin use in the immediate period after a myocardial infarction.^{15 16} Although some authors have speculated that the non-lipid lowering pleiotropic effects of statins may be particularly beneficial during the postoperative period in patients in whom levels of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines are at their highest,¹⁷ an equally appealing pathophysiological rationale was cited by experts who endorsed hormone replacement therapy in the 1990s (on the basis of stronger observational evidence than that currently available for perioperative statins).¹⁸ Even with adjustment for covariates and prescription propensity, the use of statins in an observational dataset may just be a surrogate for unmeasured confounders that improve prognosis: for example, the use of other therapies proved to be efficacious in cardiovascular risk reduction such as aspirin, β blockers, and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors may be more common in statin users. Our study summarises the current literature on this topic but should not be taken as an endorsement for the widespread use of statins perioperatively. Rather, our study should be used to inform the design of a randomised trial of statins in the perioperative period.

As with any systematic review, our study has limitations beyond the paucity of evidence for statins from randomised trials. For example, none of the studies reported doses, few reported the duration of therapy before surgery, and none pro-

vided details on patient compliance with treatment. One of the key criteria for establishing causation between an exposure and an outcome is to show a dose-response relation; we were unable to do this given the status of the current literature. Furthermore, few studies reported the type of statins used, so that it was not possible to examine for differences between agents. Also, data were limited on cholesterol levels before and after surgery in virtually all of these studies; it was therefore not possible to examine statin effects according to baseline lipid levels. We cannot establish to what extent the apparent benefits seen with statins in these observational studies were inflated owing to the inclusion of patients withdrawn from their statin before surgery in the group not using statins (a potential confounder since acute statin withdrawal may cause cardiac events).^{19 20} Finally, although our review included data from over 800 000 patients, these studies provided little information on the safety of perioperative statin use.

In conclusion, although our systematic review suggests substantial benefits from perioperative statin use, this is largely based on observational data, and evidence from the randomised trials is not conclusive even when pooled. We believe it is reasonable to advocate that statins should be started preoperatively in eligible patients who would warrant statin therapy for medical reasons independent of the proposed operation—for example, patients with coronary disease, patients with multiple cardiac risk factors, or patients with elevated low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. However, until evidence from randomised trials has accumulated sufficient numbers of perioperative events to provide a definitive answer, we believe it is premature to advocate the routine use of statins in the perioperative period for patients without established coronary disease.

We thank J Kennedy, D Poldermans, MS Conte, G Landesberg, and D Amar for providing additional unpublished information about their studies.

Contributors: ASK and HK contributed equally to the study. ASK, HK, and FAM conceived and designed the study. JB carried out the literature search. ASK, HK, and FAM reviewed the literature search and extracted all study data. FAM wrote the first draft of the paper and all authors contributed to subsequent drafts. FAM is guarantor.

Funding: This project was unfunded; FAM is supported by a health scholar award from the Alberta Heritage Foundation for Medical Research, a new investigator award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, and the Merck Frosst/Aventis chair in patient health management at the University of Alberta. PJD is supported by a new investigator award from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: Not required.

- 1 Devereaux PJ, Goldman L, Cook DJ, Gilbert K, Leslie K, Guyatt GH. Perioperative cardiac events in patients undergoing noncardiac surgery: a review of the magnitude of

What is already known on this topic

Several authors advocate using statins to reduce perioperative cardiovascular risk

The evidence for such use is unclear

What this study adds

Perioperative statins are associated with lower rates of acute coronary syndromes and mortality

These findings are based on 16 observational studies and only two small randomised trials

Evidence is insufficient to advocate the routine use of statins for perioperative cardiovascular risk reduction

- the problem, the pathophysiology of the events and methods to estimate and communicate risk. *CMAJ* 2005;173:627-34.
- 2 Mangano DT. Cardiovascular morbidity and CABG surgery—a perspective: epidemiology, cost and potential therapeutic solution. *J Cardiovasc Surg* 1995;10:366-8.
 - 3 Biccadd BM, Sear JW, Foex P. Statin therapy: a potentially useful peri-operative intervention in patients with cardiovascular disease. *Anaesthesia* 2005;60:1106-14.
 - 4 Karthikeyan G, Bhargava B. Managing patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery: need to shift emphasis from risk stratification to risk modification. *Heart* 2006;92:17-20.
 - 5 Biccadd BM, Sear JW, Foex P. The pharmaco-economics of peri-operative statin therapy. *Anaesthesia* 2005;60:1059-63.
 - 6 Cholesterol Treatment Trialists' Collaborators. Efficacy and safety of cholesterol-lowering treatment: prospective meta-analysis of data from 90 056 participants in 14 randomised trials of statins. *Lancet* 2005;366:1267-78.
 - 7 Landesberg G. The pathophysiology of perioperative myocardial infarction: facts and perspectives. *J Cardiothorac Vasc Anesth* 2003;17:90-100.
 - 8 Downs SH, Black N. The feasibility of creating a checklist for the assessment of the methodological quality both of randomised and non-randomised studies of healthcare interventions. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1998;52:377-84.
 - 9 Jadad AR, Moore RA, Carroll D, Jenkinson C, Reynolds DJ, Gavaghan DJ, et al. Assessing the quality of reports of randomized clinical trials: Is blinding necessary? *Control Clin Trials* 1996;17:1-12.
 - 10 Egger M, Davey Smith G, Schneider M, Minder C. Bias in meta-analysis detected by a simple, graphical test. *BMJ* 1997;315:629-34.
 - 11 Christenson JT. Preoperative lipid control with simvastatin reduces the risk for graft failure already 1 year after myocardial revascularization. *Cardiovasc Surg* 2001;9:33-43.
 - 12 Kertai MD, Boersma E, Westerhout CM, van Domburg R, Klein J, Bax JJ, et al. Association between long-term statin use and mortality after successful abdominal aortic aneurysm surgery. *Am J Med* 2004;116:96-103.
 - 13 Ali IS, Buth KJ. Preoperative statin use and in-hospital outcomes following heart surgery in patients with unstable angina. *Eur J Cardiothorac Surg* 2005;27:1051-6.
 - 14 Knatterud GL, Rosenberg Y, Campeau L, Geller NL, Hunninghake DB, Forman SA, et al. Long-term effects on clinical outcomes of aggressive lowering of low-density lipoprotein cholesterol levels and low-dose anticoagulation in the post coronary artery bypass graft trial. Post CABG Investigators. *Circulation* 2000;102:157-65.
 - 15 Schwartz GG, Olsson AG, Ezekowitz MD, Ganz P, Oliver MF, Waters D, et al. Effects of atorvastatin on early recurrent ischemic events in acute coronary syndromes: the MIRACL study: a randomized controlled trial. *JAMA* 2001;285:1711-8.
 - 16 De Lemos JA, Blazing MA, Wiviott SD, Lewis EF, Fox KA, White HD, et al. Early intensive vs a delayed conservative simvastatin strategy in patients with acute coronary syndromes: phase Z of the A to Z Trial. *JAMA* 2004;292:1307-16.
 - 17 Schouten O, Poldermans D. Statins in the prevention of perioperative cardiovascular complications. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2005;18:51-5.
 - 18 Herrington DM. Hormone replacement therapy and heart disease. Replacing dogma with data. *Circulation* 2003;107:2-4.
 - 19 Spencer FA, Fonarow GC, Frederick PD, Wright RS, Every N, Goldberg RJ, et al. Early withdrawal of statin therapy in patients with non-ST-segment elevation myocardial infarction: national registry of myocardial infarction. *Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:2162-8.
 - 20 Spencer FA, Allogrone J, Goldberg RJ, Gore JM, Fox KA, Granger CB, et al. Association of statin therapy with outcomes of acute coronary syndromes: the GRACE study. *Ann Intern Med* 2004;140:857-66.

(Accepted 22 September 2006)

doi 10.1136/bmj.39006.531146.BE

Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Alberta, 8440 112 Street, Edmonton, AB, Canada T6G 2R7

Anmol S Kapoor *postgraduate trainee*

Finlay A McAlister *associate professor*

Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University of Alberta

Hussein Kanji *postgraduate trainee*

John W Scott Health Sciences Library, University of Alberta

Jeanette Buckingham *medical librarian*

Department of Medicine, Clinical Epidemiology, and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada

P J Devereaux *assistant professor*

Correspondence to: F A McAlister finlay.mcalister@ualberta.ca