What is already known on this topic

In emergency departments in the United Kingdom only 30% of patients with chest pain indicating a low to moderate risk of myocardial infarction are admitted to hospital

Some 6% of those discharged have undiagnosed myocardial damage

What this study adds

An emergency department based chest pain assessment unit using a protocol to rule out myocardial damage is sensitive enough to allow safe discharge of patients at low to moderate risk of myocardial infarction within six hours

Such units can also reduce the number of patients admitted unnecessarily

expedited thrombolysis, a time dependent intervention.

Over 80% of available patients had a gold standard test in our study. The populations served by an inner city emergency department such as the Manchester Royal Infirmary are highly mobile and diverse; many of the patients who did not return were homeless, non-local, or migrant. Although 100% follow up is desirable, this test was evaluated in a real life population, and exclusion of patients who were not local or those who were homeless would have introduced bias by affecting the spectrum of disease.

Funding: This study was supported by a grant from the North West office of the NHS Executive (RDO/21/11).

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Fothergill NJ, Hunt MT, Touquet R. Audit of patients with chest pain presenting to an accident and emergency department over a 6-month period. *Arch Emerg Med* 1993;10:155-60.
- 2 Emerson PA, Russell NJ, Wyatt J, Crichton N, Pantin CFA, Morgan AD, et al. An audit of doctors' management of patients with chest pain in the accident and emergency department. *Q J Med* 1989;70:213-20.
- 3 Tunstall-Pedoe H, Kuulasmaa K, Amouyel P, Arveiler D, Rajakangas A-M, Pajak A. Myocardial infarction and coronary deaths in the World Health Organization MONICA project. Registration procedures, event rates, and case-fatality rates in 38 populations from 21 countries in four continents. *Circulation* 1994;90:532-612.
- 4 Lee TH, Rouan GW, Weisberg M, Brand DA, Cook EF, Acampora D, et al. Sensitivity of routine clinical criteria for diagnosing myocardial infarction within 24 hours of hospitalisation. *Ann Intern Med* 1987;106:181-6.
- 5 Mair J, Artner-Dworzak E, Lechleitner P, Smidt J, Wagner I, Dienstl F, et al. Cardiac troponin T in diagnosis of acute myocardial infarction. *Clin Chem* 1991;37:845-52.
- 6 ISIS-2 (second international study of infarct survival) collaborative group. ISIS-2: a randomised comparison of streptokinase vs tissue plasminogen activator vs anistreplase and of aspirin plus heparin vs aspirin alone in 41,299 cases of suspected acute myocardial infarction. *Lancet* 1992;339:753-70.
- 7 Collinson PO, Premachandram S, Hashemi K. Prospective audit of incidence of prognostically important myocardial damage in patients discharged from emergency department. *BMJ* 2000;320:1702-5.
- 8 Farkouh ME, Smars PA, Reeder GS, Zinsmeister AR, Evans RW, Meloy TD, et al. A clinical trial of a chest pain observation unit for patients with unstable angina. *N Engl J Med* 1998;339:1882-8.
- 9 King SB III, Lembo NJ, Weintraub WS, Kosinski AS, Barnhart HX, Kutner MH, et al. A randomised controlled trial comparing coronary angioplasty with coronary bypass surgery. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:1044-50.
- 10 Lee TH, Rouan GW, Weisberg M, Brand DA, Acampora D, Stasiulewicz C, et al. Clinical characteristics and natural history of patients with acute myocardial infarction sent home from the emergency department. *Am J Cardiol* 1987;60:219-24.
- 11 Ohman EM, Armstrong PW, Christendon RH, Granger CB, Katus HA, Hamm CW, et al. Cardiac troponin T levels for risk stratification in acute myocardial infarction. *N Engl J Med* 1996;335:1333-41.
- 12 Hamm CW, Goldmann BU, Heeschen C, Kreyman G, Berger J, Meinertz T. Emergency room triage of patients with acute chest pain by means of rapid testing for cardiac troponin T or troponin I. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1648-53.
- 13 Clements FM, McCann RL, Levin RI. Continuous ST segment analysis for the detection of perioperative myocardial ischaemia. *Crit Care Med* 1988;16:710-1.
- 14 Krucoff MW, Green CE, Satter LF, Miller FC, Pallas RS, Kent KM, et al. Noninvasive detection of coronary artery patency using continuous ST-segment monitoring. *Am J Cardiol* 1986;57:916-22.

(Accepted 1 May 2001)

Targeting deprived areas within small areas in Scotland: population study

Philip McLoone

Medical Research Council Social and Public Health Sciences Unit, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8RZ
Philip McLoone
research associate
p.mcloone@msoc.mrc.gla.ac.uk

BMJ 2001;323:374-5

Geographical measures of deprivation show wide variations in the socioeconomic characteristics of populations who live in small areas. This variation has led governments over the years to target deprived areas within these small areas with the aim of improving the residents' circumstances. A large number of initiatives based on such areas, including health action zones, employment zones, and social inclusion partnerships, have recently been introduced in the United Kingdom. In Scotland, some health boards target resources towards areas at the most deprived extreme of the Carstairs deprivation scale, which ranges from -7.5 (most affluent) to 12.9 (most deprived).¹

In 1979, Townsend argued that an area based approach should not be central to improving the conditions of people in poverty.² Using Holtermann's earlier work,³ Townsend concluded that the spatial concentration of aspects of deprivation could be low.

This paper examines whether this observation still applies 22 years later.

Methods and results

The number of unemployed people was extracted from the 1991 census small area statistics tables for the 1001 postcode sectors of Scotland. For each postcode sector, the number of households with a gross annual income below £10 000 (low income households) was taken from estimates of income in 1997.⁴ The sectors were ranked from the most deprived to the least deprived using the Carstairs deprivation scale.¹ For each sector in turn, starting with the most deprived sector, I calculated cumulative totals for the number of unemployed people, expressing them as a percentage of the total unemployed people in Scotland (n=249 074), and the number of low income households, expressing them as

Cumulative proportion of unemployed individuals and households with gross annual incomes <£10 000 in Scotland that would be captured for each postcode sector if Carstairs scores were used as a basis for targeting

a percentage of all low income households ($n=704\,066$). Cumulative proportions for the total number of sectors and the total population contained within those sectors were also calculated.

On the basis of these results, if 20% of the most deprived sectors in Scotland (1 205 833/4 998 202 (24%) of the population) were targeted, 41% of unemployed people and 34% of low income households would be “captured” (figure). By targeting 254 postcode sectors (1 501 569 (30%) of the population), resources could be directed to 48% of unemployed people and 40% of low income households. If 55% of the postcode sectors are targeted (62% of the population), 80% of unemployed people and 74% of low income households are captured, but even then, 20% and 26%, respectively, are excluded. Modest improvements in capture rates (2-6%) were achieved when the analysis was repeated using census enumeration districts (data not shown).

Comment

This analysis reaffirms Townsend’s argument that the selective targeting of resources on an area basis would miss more deprived people than it would include. On the basis of Carstairs scores, more than 60% of the population in Scotland would need to be targeted to include 74% of low income households. The poor sensitivity of an area based approach means that the group of people to whom resources are directed includes people who are not poor. There are higher concentrations of poverty in some areas; however, the current increase in area based initiatives ignores the wide spatial distribution of deprived people. Only a small proportion of government spending is directed towards area initiatives, but their high profile implies that deprivation is a problem only within certain areas. However, deprived areas can include people who are not deprived and vice versa. Debate continues about whether the health experience of poor people in deprived areas is worse than that encountered by other poor people.⁵ Targeting deprived areas may have merits, but a greater emphasis on national strategies is the key to dealing with poverty and improving the health of the population.

I thank David Morrison and Anne Ellaway who commented on an earlier draft of the paper.

Contributors: PM initiated the study, formulated the research question, analysed and interpreted the results, wrote the paper, and is guarantor.

Funding: MRC Social and Public Health Sciences Unit is funded by MRC and Chief Scientist Office of Scottish Executive Health Department.

Competing interests: None declared.

- 1 Carstairs V, Morris R. *Deprivation and health in Scotland*. Aberdeen: Aberdeen University Press, 1992.
- 2 Townsend P. *Poverty in the United Kingdom: a survey of household resources and standards of living*. Harmondsworth: Penguin, 1979.
- 3 Holtermann S. Areas of urban deprivation in Great Britain: an analysis of the 1971 census data. In: *Social Trends 6*. London: Office for National Statistics, 1975:33-47.
- 4 CACI Information Services. *PayCheck report. CACI’s income model*. London: CACI, 1997.
- 5 Sloggett A, Joshi H. Higher mortality in deprived areas: community or personal disadvantage? *BMJ* 1994;309:1470-4.

(Accepted 30 April 2001)

Risk of macular degeneration in users of statins: cross sectional study

Nigel F Hall, Catharine R Gale, Holly Syddall, David I W Phillips, Christopher N Martyn

Atherosclerosis and abnormalities of lipid metabolism are associated with an increased risk of age related macular degeneration—the Western world’s main cause of blindness.¹ Statins (hydroxymethyl glutaryl coenzyme A reductase inhibitors) modify patients’ lipid profile and lower their risk of coronary heart disease.² They also prevent stroke and, possibly, Alzheimer’s disease. We report here an association between statin use and lower risk of age related macular degeneration.

Participants, methods, and results

We wrote to 660 men and women aged 66-75 who had been traced by the Office for National Statistics using information from their birth record at the Jessop Hospital for Women, Sheffield. Of these, 412 (62%) agreed to take part and were interviewed at home. We recorded their use of drugs, including statins, currently and in the previous five years, and their history of cardiovascular disease. The participants were invited to

Correspondence to:
C N Martyn
cnm@mrc.soton.ac.uk

continued over

BMJ 2001;323:375-6