Extent of underdiagnosis of familial hypercholesterolaemia in routine practice: prospective registry study

H A W Neil, T Hammond, R Huxley, D R Matthews, S E Humphries

Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, Radcliffe Infirmary, Oxford OX2 6HE

H A W Neil
honorary consultant physician

D R Matthews
consultant physician

Division of Public Health and Primary Health Care, Institute of Health Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford OX3 7LF

T Hammond
research assistant
R Huxley
research officer

Centre for Cardiovascular Genetics, Rayne Institute, Royal Free and University College London Medical School, London WC1E 6JJ
S E Humphries
professor of cardiovascular genetics

Correspondence to:
H A W Neil
andrew.neil@dphpc.ox.ac.uk

BMJ 2000;321:148

In heterozygous familial hypercholesterolaemia the cumulative risk of a fatal or non-fatal coronary event by the age of 60 without effective treatment is at least 50% in men and about 30% in women.^{1 2} The prognosis has improved substantially with widespread use of statins for lipid lowering.³ The estimated occurrence of the condition is about 1 in 500.⁴ We aimed to determine the prevalence of diagnosed familial hypercholesterolaemia and to estimate the proportion of expected cases that are identified in routine clinical practice.

Subjects, methods, and results

Diagnosed patients resident in Oxfordshire were identified from the Simon Broome register of familial hyperlipidaemia,⁴ the Oxford lipid clinic computerised diagnostic register, and general practice records.

The Simon Broome register categorises familial hypercholesterolaemia as definite or possible. Definite familial hypercholesterolaemia is defined as total cholesterol concentration >7.5 mmol/l in adults or >6.7 mmol/l in children under 16 years, or low density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration >4.9 mmol/l in adults or >4.0 mmol/l in children, plus tendon xanthomas in the patient or a first or second degree relative. A possible diagnosis of familial hypercholesterolaemia requires cholesterol above these values, plus a family history of either a myocardial infarction before age 50 in a second degree relative or before age 60 in a first degree relative or a raised total cholesterol concentration >7.5 mmol/l in a first or second degree relative.

The list of registered patients was cross checked against the lipid clinic computerised diagnostic register. Clinic patients found to meet the Simon Broome diagnostic criteria were registered and flagged by the NHS central registry to confirm that they were alive and resident in Oxfordshire on 30 June 1999. General practitioners were asked to notify us of the age and sex of any other affected patients (56 of 115 practices responded). The resident population was obtained from the Oxfordshire Health Authority's population estimate for 1998.

Since 1980, 315 patients had been registered with the Simon Broome register by the Oxford lipid clinic; by 30 June 1999, 25 had died and 175 were still living in Oxfordshire. Another 167 lipid clinic patients met the diagnostic criteria, but only 138 lived in Oxfordshire; 21 other patients received care exclusively in general practice. On the basis of self reported relationships, 38 families with a total of 88 affected members were identified.

The overall prevalence was 0.54/1000 (95% confidence interval 0.48 to 0.60) and was highest in men aged 50-59 years and in women aged 60-69 years (table). Underdiagnosis was greatest among children and young adults: only two children under 10 years and 12 aged 10-19 years had been identified.

Comment

About a quarter of the cases of familial hypercholesterolaemia predicted were diagnosed routinely; most remained undiagnosed until middle age. The main implication of underdiagnosis is that patients are denied early treatment to reduce the risk of coronary events.

Case ascertainment should be more effective, earlier, and cost effective.⁵ Population based screening has not been adopted in Britain and at best would identify one case of familial hypercholesterolaemia for every 500 people screened, but it would also identify many people with polygenic hypercholesterolaemia, which is a weak predictor of coronary risk in the absence of other risk factors. The most appropriate strategies may include testing in primary care patients with early onset coronary artery disease and the children of those who die young, and family tracking using a specialist nurse to trace and test relatives of probands attending hospital lipid clinics. Systematic screening of all 16 year olds may be equally cost effective.⁵ The acceptability, efficacy, and cost effectiveness of these strategies must be evaluated in the United Kingdom.

Contributors: HAWN designed the study. TH and RH collected and collated data. HAWN and TH undertook the analysis and HAWN drafted the report. All authors were involved in the interpretation and critical revision of the paper, and SEH also provided specialist genetic advice. HAWN is the guarantor.

Funding: The Simon Broome Register has been funded by the British Hyperlipidaemia Association, the British Heart Foundation (grant RC 93008), the Simon Broome Heart Research Trust, and Parke Davis/Pfizer.

Competing interests: None declared.

Number of cases of possible and definite familial hypercholesterolaemia and the age and sex-specific prevalence

Age (years)	Males				Females			
	Population	No of cases	Possible/definite*	Prevalence per 1000	Population	No of cases	Possible/definite†	Prevalence per 1000
0-9	41 466	2	1/1	0.05	39 405	0	0	0
10-19	41 132	6	2/4	0.15	38 154	6	1/5	0.16
20-29	45 617	8	5/3	0.18	43 334	12	3/9	0.28
30-39	52 578	23	12/9	0.44	49 857	19	10/7	0.38
40-49	41 505	35	21/11	0.84	40 409	29	17/11	0.72
50-59	35 114	45	21/18	1.28	35 982	31	17/10	0.86
60-69	25 319	28	21/6	1.11	25 651	47	31/15	1.83
70-79	17 512	15	4/10	0.86	21 972	25	15/10	1.14
≥80	7 109	0	0	0	14 591	3	2/1	0.21
All ages	307 352	162	87/62	0.53	309 355	172	96/68	0.56

*Excludes 13 receiving care exclusively in general practice.

†Excludes 8 receiving care exclusively in general practice.