- 11 Andre FE, Hepburn A, D'Hondt E. Inactivated candidate vaccines for hepatitis A. In: Melnick JL, ed. *Progress in medical virology*. Vol 37. Basle: Karger, 1990:72-95.
- 12 Karpinski KF, Hayward S, Tryphonas H. Statistical considerations in the quantitation of serum immunoglobulin levels using the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). *J Immunol Methods* 1987;103:189-94.
- 13 Parry JV, Perry KR, Panday S, Mortimer PP. Diagnosis of hepatitis A and B by testing saliva. *J Med Virol* 1989;28:255-60.
- 14 Ogra PL, Karzon DT, Righthand F, MacGillivray M. Immunoglobulin response in serum and secretions after immunization with live and inactivated polio vaccine and natural infections. *N Engl J Med* 1968;279:893-900.
- 15 Wiedermann G, Ambrosch F, Kollaritsch H, Hofmann H, Kunz CH, D'Hondt E, et al. Safety and immunogenicity of an inactivated hepatitis A candidate vaccine in healthy adults. *Vaccine* 1990;8:581-4.
- 16 Stapleton JT, Jansen R, Lemon SM. Neutralising antibody to hepatitis A virus in immune serum globulin and in the sera of human recipients of immune serum globulin. *Gastroenterology* 1985;89:637-42.
- 17 Pollock TM, Reid D. Immunoglobulin for the prevention of infectious hepatitis in persons working overseas. *Lancet* 1969;i:281-3.
- 18 Hepatitis. *Communicable Disease Report* 1991;1:2.
- 19 Tilzey AJ, Banatvala JE. Hepatitis A: changing prevalence and possible vaccines. *BMJ* 1991;302:1552-3.
- 20 Kani JIA, Nandwani RAK, Gilson RJC, Johnson AM, Maguire HC, Tedder RS. Hepatitis A virus infection among homosexual men. *BMJ* 1991;302:1399.
- 21 Hepatitis A among drug abusers. *MMWR* 1988;37:297-301.
- 22 Andre FE. Development of a vaccine against hepatitis A. In: Blaine Hollinger F, Lemon SM, Margolis H, eds. *Proceedings of the 1990 international symposium on viral hepatitis and liver disease: contemporary issues and future prospects*. Baltimore: Williams and Wilkins, 1990:85-8.
- 23 Chin KP, Lok ASF, Wong LSK, Lai C-L, Wu P-C. Current seroepidemiology of hepatitis A in Hong Kong. *J Med Virol* 1991;34:191-3.
- 24 Lim WL, Yeoh EK. Hepatitis A vaccination. *Lancet* 1992;339:304.

(Accepted 10 March 1992)

Is *Helicobacter pylori* the cause of dyspepsia?

Bjørn Bernersen, Roar Johnsen, Leif Bostad, Bjørn Straume, Ann-Inger Sommer, Per G Burhol

Abstract

Objective—To determine the association between infection with *Helicobacter pylori* and dyspepsia.

Design—Cross sectional study of dyspeptic subjects and age and sex matched controls identified by a questionnaire survey of all inhabitants aged 20-69. (Endoscopy, histological examination, and microbiological examinations of biopsies from the gastric mucosa were performed blind.)

Setting—Population based survey in Sørreisa, Norway.

Subjects—All 782 dyspeptic subjects (excluding those with a previous history of peptic ulcer, gall stones or kidney stones, and coronary heart disease) and controls were offered an endoscopy, of whom 309 dyspeptic subjects and 310 controls attended.

Main outcome measures—Prevalences of endoscopic and histological diagnoses and of cultures positive for *H pylori*.

Results—A high prevalence of positive cultures, increasing with age, was found in both dyspeptic subjects (48%) and non-dyspeptic controls (36%) ($p=0.004$). Positive cultures in both dyspeptic subjects and controls were strongly associated with histological gastritis (70%, 95% confidence interval 65.5 to 85.3; 60%, 52.7 to 67.7, respectively) and peptic ulcer (92%, 61.5 to 99.8; 64.1, 9.4 to 99.2, respectively). Only 3% of subjects with a histologically non-inflamed gastric mucosa had this infection (dyspeptic subjects 2%, 0.2 to 7.0; controls 4%; 1.2 to 8.8).

Conclusions—The relation between dyspeptic symptoms and *H pylori* is dubious; *H pylori* seems to have a pathogenetic role in gastritis and may be a contributing factor but not a cause of peptic ulcer.

Introduction

Dyspepsia requires costly management despite lack of knowledge of its causes. The rediscovery by Warren and Marshall¹ of curved bacilli in the gastric mucosa which were related to gastritis¹⁻³ has recharged the discussion about the cause of dyspepsia. A strong association between *Helicobacter pylori* and gastritis and peptic ulcer disease has been shown in patient populations.^{1,4-8} *H pylori* has been declared an aetiological agent of gastritis and even the cause of dyspepsia, though this is disputed.⁹⁻¹² Studies on asymptomatic volunteers have shown high prevalences of *H pylori* infection,^{4,13,14} of up to 47% in the age group 60 to 69,¹⁴ but there is little evidence of its prevalence in healthy, normal populations and of the concurrence of *H pylori* infection and symptoms of dyspepsia. Only one study

on the occurrence of *H pylori* in a general population has been published.¹⁵ Population based data are mandatory in considering *H pylori* as a pathogenetic agent in gastritis and peptic ulcer disease and as a possible cause of dyspepsia.^{6,16}

As part of a population based study we examined by endoscopy unselected subjects with dyspepsia and matched non-dyspeptic controls to determine the prevalence of *H pylori* infection and its relation to endoscopic and histological diagnoses.

Subjects and methods

From March to May 1987 all inhabitants of the municipality of Sørreisa in northern Norway aged 20 to 69 years, 2027 men and women, received a postal questionnaire with 119 questions about abdominal complaints, health, lifestyle, diet, and social conditions.

All of the subjects answering positively to the first two questions: "Have you ever had abdominal pain of at least two weeks' duration?" and "If yes, was the pain located to the upper abdomen?" or the last question: "Have you ever had heartburn or acid regurgitation almost daily during at least one week?" were considered to have dyspepsia.

After exclusion of 89 dyspeptic subjects with a prior history of peptic ulcer, 15 with gall stones or kidney stones, and 33 with coronary heart disease the remainder were offered an endoscopy free of charge. Corresponding healthy, non-dyspeptic controls matched for sex and age within the same 10 year age group were randomly selected and offered an endoscopy. The controls reported that they had never experienced dyspeptic symptoms and also had never consulted their general practitioner with dyspepsia. Of 2027 subjects invited, 1802 (88.9%) returned the questionnaire. Of 782 subjects invited to endoscopy, 619 (79.2%) (309 dyspeptic subjects and 310 non-dyspeptic controls) had endoscopy, all within one month after returning their questionnaires. A detailed description of the methods has been published elsewhere.¹⁷ The study was approved by the regional committee for medical research ethics.

ENDOSCOPY

All endoscopies were performed by BB, who is a trained endoscopist. He was "blinded" in the sense of not knowing whether he was examining a dyspeptic or a non-dyspeptic subject. Endoscopic findings were classified according to criteria described by Savary and Miller (oesophagitis),¹⁸ Johnsson *et al* (hiatus hernia),¹⁹ Myren and Serck-Hanssen (endoscopic gastritis and gastroduodenal reflux),²⁰ Nesland and Berstad (erosive

Institute of Community Medicine, University of Tromsø N-9001, Tromsø, Norway
Bjørn Bernersen, research fellow in gastroenterology
Roar Johnsen, research fellow in general practice
Bjørn Straume, assistant professor in epidemiology

N-9012 University Hospital, N-9012 Tromsø, Norway
Leif Bostad, consultant pathologist
Ann-Inger Sommer, senior researcher in microbiology
Per G Burhol, professor of gastroenterology

Correspondence and requests for reprints to: Dr B Bernersen, Institute of Clinical Medicine, University of Tromsø, N-9000 Tromsø, Norway.

BMJ 1992;304:1276-9

TABLE I—Prevalence of cultures positive for *H pylori* from antral mucosa according to age and sex in dyspeptic subjects and non-dyspeptic controls aged 20-69, Sorreisa, Norway, 1987

Age group	Dyspeptic subjects (n=309)						Controls (n=310)					
	Men		Women		Total		Men		Women		Total	
	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive
20-29	35	11 (31)	30	9 (30)	65	20 (31)	36	8 (22)	23	3 (13)	59	11 (19)
30-39	44	24 (54)	42	24 (57)	86	48 (56)	46	17 (37)	42	16 (38)	88	33 (38)
40-49	54	24 (44)	34	20 (59)	88	44	53	12 (23)	37	21 (57)	90	33 (37)
50-59	24	9 (38)	22	9 (41)	46	18 (39)	23	12 (52)	22	11	45	23 (51)
60-69	12	9 (75)	12	8 (67)	24	17 (71)	12	4 (33)	16	8	28	12 (43)
Total	169	77 (46)	140	70 (50)	309	147 (48)	170	53 (31)	140	59 (42)	310	112 (36)

prepyloric changes),²¹ Venables (duodenitis),²² and Bernersen *et al* (peptic ulcer and deformed duodenal bulb).¹⁷

Biopsy specimens for histological examination were taken from the proximal and distal parts of the duodenum, from both the greater and lesser curvatures of the corpus and antrum of the stomach, and from all lesions as well. Biopsy specimens for culture were also taken from the lesser and from the greater curvature of the antrum about 3 cm proximal to the pyloric ring. The endoscopes (Olympus gastroscope type GIF-Q 20) and biopsy forceps were cleaned and thereafter disinfected in a commercially available glutaraldehyde solution (Korsolin; Norforma, Rud, Norway) between each endoscopy.

MICROBIOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

Biopsy specimens for culture were placed in 0.5 ml of glucose/saline solution (25% glucose in 0.9% saline solution), ground, and cultured on blood agar and on brain-heart infusion agar containing horse (5% v/v) blood within five minutes after endoscopy. The media were incubated in a Gas Pack jar at 37°C under micro-aerobic conditions (Gas generating box Campylobacter bioMerriex). After three to 10 days any positive cultures, appearing as grey translucent colonies were tested for urease, catalase, and oxidase activities. They were also Gram stained for microscopic examination. The number of positive colonies was evaluated using a semiquantitative scale. *H pylori* was identified on the basis of positive urease, catalase and oxidase reactions together with a typical microscopic appearance on Gram staining. There were no problems with contamination. The microbiologist had no clinical information on the subjects available.

TABLE II—Prevalence adjusted for age and sex (95% confidence interval) of cultures positive for *H pylori* from antral gastric mucosa, according to endoscopic diagnosis

Endoscopic diagnosis	Dyspeptic subjects (n=309)		Controls (n=310)	
	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive
Normal	79	42 (53) (41.6 to 64.5)	116	41 (35) (25.8 to 43.4)
Oesophagitis	36	16 (44) (27.9 to 61.9)	25	6 (24) (9.4 to 45.1)
Gastritis	74	42 (57) (44.7 to 68.2)	63	21 (33) (22.0 to 46.3)
Biliary reflux	54	31 (57) (43.2 to 70.8)	43	15 (35) (21.0 to 50.9)
Peptic ulcer	12	11 (92) (61.5 to 99.8)	3	2 (64) (9.4 to 99.2)
Erosive prepyloric changes	119	49 (41) (32.0 to 50.2)	109	41 (38) (28.3 to 47.0)
Deformed duodenal bulb	19	13 (69) (43.5 to 87.4)	10	4 (39) (12.2 to 73.8)
Duodenitis of duodenal bulb	61	31 (51) (37.7 to 63.9)	30	8 (27) (12.3 to 45.9)

TABLE III—Prevalence adjusted for age and sex (95% confidence interval) of cultures positive for *H pylori* from antral gastric mucosa, according to histological diagnosis

Histological diagnosis	Dyspeptic subjects (n=309)		Controls (n=310)	
	No	No (%) positive	No	No (%) positive
Normal gastric mucosa	101	2 (2) (0.2 to 7.0)	132	5 (4) (1.2 to 8.8)
Gastritis	208	145 (70) (65.5 to 85.3)	178	107 (60) (52.7 to 67.7)
Chronic superficial gastritis	134	102 (76) (68.7 to 83.0)	117	81 (69) (61.1 to 71.6)
Chronic atrophic gastritis	190	146 (77) (60.8 to 74.8)	152	95 (62) (54.4 to 70.3)
Chronic active gastritis	78	47 (61) (48.5 to 71.2)	55	35 (63) (49.3 to 75.9)
Duodenitis in proximal duodenum	180	86 (48) (40.4 to 55.4)	196	78 (40) (32.4 to 46.5)

HISTOLOGICAL EXAMINATION

The biopsy specimens, labelled with reference numbers, were formalin fixed and prepared according to standard methods. Thin sections stained with haematoxylin and eosin and periodic acid Schiff and Alcian blue were examined in the department of histopathology at the University Hospital by one experienced pathologist (LB) without any available clinical or microbiological information. Inflammation of the gastric and duodenal mucosa was classified according to Whitehead *et al*^{23,24} or, when active inflammation was present, according to Owen.²⁵

STATISTICAL METHODS

We analysed our data for both 273 complete matched pairs of subjects and all 619 cases and controls, with similar results. We present the results from the unpaired cases and controls. χ^2 and Cochran-Mantel-Haenszel statistics were used to compare the prevalence of *H pylori* infection overall and in stratified groups. Trends in age were tested by the Mantel-Haenszel χ^2 test. Adjustments for different age and sex distributions in the diagnostic subgroups were made by analysis of covariance with the SPSS-X statistical program. Odds ratios and confidence intervals were calculated according to Fleiss.²⁶ Confidence intervals of proportions were calculated from the unadjusted numbers.

Results

H pylori was cultured from 259 (41.8%) of 619 participating subjects (table I). Significantly more positive cultures were obtained from dyspeptic subjects than from non-dyspeptic controls (48% v 36%, $p=0.004$). The odds ratios were 1.85 (95% confidence interval 1.16 to 2.95) for men and 1.37 (0.83 to 2.26) for women and were only marginally different ($p=0.053$). There was a linear increasing trend in prevalence of *H pylori* with age in both dyspeptic subjects ($p=0.036$) and controls ($p=0.003$), which persisted when sex was controlled for.

The prevalence of *H pylori* in the endoscopic diagnostic subgroups, both with and without peptic ulcer, was compared with that in the group with normal endoscopic findings, controlling for age, sex, and dyspeptic symptoms (table II). Only in the peptic ulcer group was it higher than in the group with a normal endoscopic diagnosis ($p=0.035$).

Positive culture of *H pylori* was strongly related to histological findings in the gastric mucosa (table III). The prevalence of *H pylori* was rare (3%) in those subjects with a histologically normal gastric mucosa. When histological gastritis was divided into subtypes according to the degree of inflammation, there were only insignificant differences among positive cultures for the respective subtypes. When histological gastritis of the antrum and corpus were studied separately, however, the prevalence of *H pylori* infection was significantly higher when the chronic active gastritis

was located in the mucosa of the antrum than that of the corpus (70% v 43%, $p=0.002$).

Discussion

The strength of this study is its population base and its design to avoid observer bias. Clinical information was not available to the endoscopist, pathologist, or microbiologist. Dyspepsia has no generally accepted definition,^{27,28} and endoscopic and histological findings may vary with different intensity and duration of the dyspeptic symptoms.²⁹ We chose to restrict the definition to the most commonly accepted symptoms. We found a high prevalence of *H pylori* in both the dyspeptic subjects and non-dyspeptic controls but a strong association between *H pylori* and peptic ulcer and histological gastritis. Subjects with a histologically normal mucosa had an almost negligible prevalence of *H pylori*. The rate of positive cultures of 3% in this group is within the margin of error for histological findings. It seems reasonable to believe that a histologically normal gastric mucosa is incompatible with *H pylori* infection.

Other authors have used detection of the organism in stained tissue preparations,^{1,16} in culture, or both,^{4,7,8-13,30,31} and, occasionally, detection of serum antibodies to the organism as criteria for the presence of *H pylori* infection.³² Discrepancies exist between findings based on various diagnostic procedures.^{14,33} We used only isolation of *H pylori* as a criterion, and thus we may have detected somewhat lower prevalences than if we had added together all criteria for the presence of *H pylori* in the gastric mucosa. On the other hand, a rather low occurrence of 3% in subjects with a histologically normal mucosa indicates a high specificity. This finding agrees with results in a Finnish population based on similar criteria of normality, in which *H pylori* was present in 5% of the subjects with a normal antral mucosa.¹⁶

The prevalences in non-dyspeptic controls in our study were within the same range as in previous studies,^{4,13,14} showing that *H pylori* infection is quite common even among subjects without dyspepsia, whereas the prevalence of *H pylori* infection in dyspeptic subjects was lower than previously reported from patient populations.^{7,9} This may partly reflect our exclusion of subjects with a previously diagnosed peptic ulcer.

Even though there was a higher prevalence of *H pylori* infection in dyspeptic than non-dyspeptic subjects, 43% of positive cultures were found among non-dyspeptic controls. Some authors have suggested that this organism plays a major part in non-ulcer dyspepsia.^{6,34} The high prevalence in non-dyspeptic controls indicates that *H pylori* infection alone is unlikely to provoke dyspeptic symptoms.

Our study confirms the association between gastritis and *H pylori* infection. The interesting point is why *H pylori* is absent in a third of subjects with histological gastritis. One may suggest other properties of the mucosa in these subjects, but a clinical course in which the inflammatory process proceeds after the disappearance of *H pylori* may also be proposed.³⁵

Peptic ulcer was the only endoscopic finding associated with a significantly higher frequency of *H pylori* infection than endoscopically normal mucosa. Peptic ulcer is associated with gastritis. Antral gastritis is found in a high proportion of patients with duodenal ulcer,³⁶ and gastric ulcers are usually found in an area with chronic gastritis.^{36,37} As *H pylori* infection in our study was strongly associated with gastritis our finding of a prevalence of *H pylori* infection in peptic ulcer as high as 86.5% may support the theory of a pathogenetic role for this organism in peptic ulcer disease. *H pylori* infection and gastritis were, however, found in a

considerable proportion of the population, which heavily weighs against *H pylori* infection being a sufficient cause of peptic ulcer. The role of *H pylori* in a causal context may parallel that of gastric acid and pepsin. A strong association was found between *H pylori* infection and duodenal ulcer.^{4,5} If deformity of the duodenal bulb is the result of a previous duodenal ulcer a stronger association than we found would be expected between this deformity and *H pylori* infection. However, this may also reflect the possibility that the *H pylori* infection resolves with the active ulcer and is not a chronic infection.

Our cross sectional design offers limited possibilities for causal interference, and the causal role of *H pylori* should be explored in a longitudinal design in which the active *H pylori* infection, serological findings, gastric mucosal histological findings, and symptoms are surveyed in a cohort.

In conclusion, *H pylori* infection of the stomach was common both in dyspeptic subjects and in non-dyspeptic controls with an increasing prevalence with age. This infection is strongly associated with histological gastritis and peptic ulcer, which may support the theory of a causal role for *H pylori* in gastritis and at least a role as a pathogenetic factor in peptic ulcer disease. *H pylori*, like gastric acid and pepsin, may be a necessary but not a sufficient cause of peptic ulcer. The association with symptoms of dyspepsia, on the other hand, is dubious.

- Warren JR, Marshall B. Unidentified curved bacilli on gastric epithelium in active chronic gastritis. *Lancet* 1983;i:1273-5.
- Doenges JL. Spirochetes in the gastric glands of Macacus rhesus and humans without definite history of related disease. *Proc Soc Exp Med Biol* 1938;38:536-8.
- Freedberg AS, Barron LE. The presence of spirochetes in human gastric mucosa. *American Journal of Digestive Diseases* 1940;7:443-5.
- Langenberg ML, Tytgat GN, Schipper MEI, Rietra PJGM, Zanen HC. Campylobacter-like organisms in the stomach of patients and healthy individuals. *Lancet* 1984;i:1348-9.
- Goodwin CS, Armstrong JA, Marshall BJ. Campylobacter pyloridis, gastritis, and peptic ulceration. *J Clin Pathol* 1986;39:353-65.
- Dooley CP, Cohen H. The clinical significance of Campylobacter pylori. *Ann Intern Med* 1988;108:70-9.
- Petross CW, Appleman MD, Cohen H, Valenzuela JE, Chandrasoma P, Laine LA. Prevalence of Campylobacter pylori and association with antral mucosal histology in subjects with and without upper gastrointestinal symptoms. *Dig Dis Sci* 1988;33:649-53.
- Rauws EAJ, Langenberg W, Houthoff HJ, Zanen HC, Tytgat GNJ. Campylobacter pyloridis-associated chronic active antral gastritis. *Gastroenterology* 1988;94:33-40.
- Marshall BJ, Armstrong JA, McGeachie DB, Glancy RJ. Attempt to fulfil Koch's postulates for pyloric campylobacter. *Med J Aust* 1985;142:436-9.
- Morris A, Nicholson G. Ingestion of Campylobacter pyloridis causes gastritis and raises fasting gastric pH. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1987;82:192-9.
- Peterson WL, Lee EL, Feldman M. Gastric campylobacter-like organisms in healthy humans: correlation with endoscopic appearance and mucosal histology. *Gastroenterology* 1986;90:1585 (abstract).
- Bartlett JG. Campylobacter pylori: fact or fancy? *Gastroenterology* 1988;94:229-38.
- Barthel JS, Westblom TU, Havey AD, Gonzales F, Everett ED. Gastritis and Campylobacter pylori in healthy, asymptomatic volunteers. *Arch Intern Med* 1988;148:1149-51.
- Dooley CP, Cohen H, Fitzgibbons PL, Baner M, Appleman MD, Perez-Perez GI, et al. Prevalence of Helicobacter pylori infection and histologic gastritis in asymptomatic persons. *N Engl J Med* 1989;321:1562-6.
- Suurala M, Sipponen P, Kekki M. Campylobacter pylori in a sample of Finnish population: relations to morphology and functions of the gastric mucosa. *Gut* 1988;29:909-15.
- Talley NJ, Phillips SF. Non-ulcer dyspepsia: potential causes and pathophysiology. *Ann Intern Med* 1988;108:865-79.
- Bernersen B, Johnsen R, Straume B, Burhol PG, Jenssen TG, Stakkevold PA. Towards the "true" prevalence of peptic ulcer. *Gut* 1990;31:989-92.
- Savary M, Müller G. *Der Oesophagus. Lehrbuch und endoskopischer Atlas*. Solothurn: Gassmann, 1977.
- Johnsson F, Joelsson B, Gudmunsson K, Greiff L. Symptoms and endoscopic findings in the diagnosis of gastroesophageal reflux disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1987;22:714-8.
- Myren J, Serck-Hanssen A. The gastroscopic diagnosis of gastritis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1974;9:457-62.
- Nesland AA, Berstad A. Erosive prepyloric changes in persons with and without dyspepsia. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20:222-8.
- Venables CW. Duodenitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 1985;20(suppl 109):91-7.
- Whitehead R, Truelove SC, Gear MWL. The histological diagnosis of chronic gastritis in fiberoptic gastroscopy specimens. *J Clin Pathol* 1972;25:1-11.
- Whitehead R, Roca M, Meikle DD, Skinner J, Truelove SC. The histological classification of duodenitis in fiberoptic biopsy specimens. *Digestion* 1975;13:129-36.
- Owen DA. The diagnosis and significance of gastritis. *Pathology Annual* 1979;1:247-1.
- Fleiss JL. *Statistical methods for rates and proportions*. New York: Wiley, 1981.
- Heatley RV, Rathbone BJ. Dyspepsia: a dilemma for doctors? *Lancet* 1987;iii:779-82.

- 28 Colin-Jones DG. Management of dyspepsia: report of a working party. *Lancet* 1988;i:576-9.
- 29 Johnsen R, Bernersen B, Straume B, Førde OH, Bostad L, Burhol PG. Prevalences of endoscopic and histological findings in subjects with and without dyspepsia. *BMJ* 1991;302:749-52.
- 30 Coghlan JG, Gilligan D, Humphries H, McKenna D, Dooley C, Sweeney E, et al. *Campylobacter pylori* and recurrence of duodenal ulcers—a 12 month follow-up study. *Lancet* 1987;ii:1109-11.
- 31 Loffeld RJLF, Potters HVJP, Stobbenringh E, Flendrig JA, Van Spreuwel JP, Arends JW. *Campylobacter* associated gastritis in patients with non-ulcer dyspepsia: a double blind placebo controlled trial with colloidal bismuth subcitrate. *Gut* 1989;30:1206-12.
- 32 Al-Moagel MA, Evans DH, Abdulghani ME, Adam E, Evans DJ, Malaty HM, et al. Prevalence of *Helicobacter pylori* infection in Saudi Arabia, and comparison of those with and without upper gastrointestinal symptoms. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1990;85:944-7.
- 33 Jones DM, Lessels AM, Eldridge J. *Campylobacter*-like organisms on the gastric mucosa: culture, histological, and serological studies. *J Clin Pathol* 1984;37:1002-6.
- 34 Marshall BJ, McGeachie DB, Rogers PA, Glancy RJ. Pyloric *Campylobacter* infection and gastroduodenal disease. *Med J Aust* 1985;142:439-44.
- 35 Villako K, Maards H, Tammur R, Keevallik R, Peetsalu M, Sipponen P, et al. *Helicobacter pylori* infestation and the development and progression of chronic gastritis: results of long-term follow-up examinations of a random sample. *Endoscopy* 1990;22:114-7.
- 36 Tatsuta M, Iishi H, Okuda S. Location of peptic ulcers in relation to antral and fundal gastritis by chromoendoscopic follow up examinations. *Dig Dis Sci* 1986;31:7-11.
- 37 Lawson HH. Effect of duodenal contents on the gastric mucosa under experimental conditions. *Lancet* 1964;i:469-72.

(Accepted 24 February 1992)

Investigation of long term problems after obstetric epidural anaesthesia

C MacArthur, M Lewis, E G Knox

Abstract

Objective—To examine the association between obstetric epidural anaesthesia and subsequent long term problems.

Design—Postal questionnaire on health problems after childbirth linked to maternity case note data.

Setting—Maternity hospital in Birmingham.

Subjects—11 701 women who delivered their most recent child during 1978-85 and who returned completed questionnaires.

Main outcome measures—Frequencies of long term symptoms after childbirth.

Results—Compared with the 6935 women who did not have epidural anaesthesia the 4766 women who did more commonly experienced backache (903 (18.9%) with epidural v 731 (10.5%) without epidural), frequent headaches 220 (4.6%) v 199 (2.9%), migraine (92 (1.9%) v 73 (1.1%)), neckache (116 (2.4%) v 112 (1.6%)), and tingling in hands or fingers (143 (3.0%) v 150 (2.2%)). The results could not be explained by correlated social or obstetric factors. The associations with head, neck, and hand symptoms were found only in women who reported backache. An excess of visual disturbances among women who had epidural anaesthesia (83 (1.7%) v 91 (1.3%)) was present only in association with migraine, but excess of dizziness or fainting (102 (2.1%) v 109 (1.6%)) was independent of other symptoms. 26 women had numbness or tingling in the lower back, buttocks, and leg, of whom 23 had had epidural anaesthesia. Of 34 women with spinal headache, nine (five after accidental dural puncture; four after spinal block) reported long term headaches.

Conclusions—These associations may indicate a causal sequence, although this cannot be proved from this type of study. Randomised trials of epidural anaesthesia are required to determine whether causal relations exist.

Introduction

Various investigators have reported short term sequelae of epidural anaesthesia,¹⁻⁵ but these studies have generally not examined symptoms after hospital discharge. We previously reported a relation between epidurals and subsequent backache.⁶ We report here an investigation of other long term symptoms after obstetric epidural anaesthesia.

Subjects and methods

The details of the methods and background to this investigation have been described.^{6,7} Briefly, the

study population consisted of 11 701 women who had delivered their most recent child at Birmingham Maternity Hospital between 1978 and 1985. The inquiry was undertaken in January 1987, so the deliveries had occurred at least 13 months previously; the longest follow up period was nine years.

Data were assembled from two sources. The first was the computerised file of maternity case notes, which provided social, obstetric, and anaesthetic data, and the second was postal questionnaires sent to the addresses in the case notes to obtain information on subsequent long term health problems. Twenty five symptoms were specified, and the women were asked whether they had experienced each problem since delivering the index child; if so, they were asked how soon after the birth it had occurred, when it had stopped, whether they had had it before, and whether they had sought medical advice. An open question was also included for reporting any other symptoms.

From this information we defined relevant long term symptoms as those that had started within three months after delivery, had lasted more than six weeks, and had never been experienced before. Recurring symptoms and those inadequately dated were excluded from the main analyses. Unfortunately, we obtained no information on symptom severity and this will be the subject of further investigation.

During the study period 30 096 women had delivered at the hospital, but many women had moved from their case note addresses. Using electoral register and Post Office sources, we were able to estimate that the 11 701 who returned completed questionnaires represented a response rate of at least 78% of those who had received a questionnaire. An examination of the case notes of the non-responders showed that their obstetric and anaesthetic characteristics were similar to those of the respondents.

We used discriminant analysis to establish differences in early events and discriminating circumstances between women who did and did not have symptoms. This procedure takes simultaneous account of a large number of variables and calculates which of them are independent and significant predictors of a particular symptom. It eliminates spurious associations between epidural anaesthesia and subsequent symptoms, which might arise from the fact that this form of anaesthesia is generally associated with less straightforward deliveries (table I) that could also produce subsequent effects. Variables were examined and selected in a stepwise manner, the most significant association being selected first, then the next most significant, and so on. All the associations with epidural anaesthesia reported here were significant after this form of

Department of Public Health and Epidemiology, Medical School, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TJ
C MacArthur, research fellow
E G Knox, professor

Department of Anaesthetics, Birmingham Maternity Hospital, Birmingham B15 2TG
M Lewis, consultant anaesthetist

Correspondence to: Dr MacArthur.

BMJ 1992;304:1279-82