CORRESPONDENCE

Sexual Life after Vaginal Hysterectomy G. A. Craig, F.R.C.O.G., and P. Jackson, M.R.C.O.G. 97	Abortion (Amendment) Bill M. B. H. Wilson, M.B., G. S. Banwell, F.R.C.O.G.; Angela M. H. Lynch, F.F.A.R.C.S. 99	Giardiasis in Families M. Willcox, F.I.M.L.T. 101
Patient Satisfaction in General Practice J. A. Kinney, B.Sc., and others. 97	Abortion and Promiscuity Louise F. W. Eickhoff, M.D. 99	Fibre Content of Bread Reverend H. C. Trowell, F.R.C.P. 101
N.H.S. Reorganization M. Goldman, M.B. 98	Muscle Pain Induced by Exercise in Coxsackie Pericarditis J. V. Jones, F.R.C.P. 100	Vagotomy, Antrectomy, and Duodenal Ulcer D. Johnston, F.R.C.S.ED., and J. C. Goligher, F.R.C.S. 101
"Functionless" Pituitary Tumours L. A. Weisberg, M.D. 98	Increased Serum Iron in Acute Leukaemia F. Rosner, M.D. 100	Variations in Leucocyte Count during Menstrual Cycle M. Baum, F.R.C.S. 102
Spontaneous Pneumococcal Peritonitis I. M. Morris, M.R.C.P., and D. J. Carter, M.R.C.P. 98	Deaths in the Dental Chair N. A. Wagner, B.D.S.; A. J. Brunnen, B.D.S.; G. G. P. Holden, L.D.S.R.C.S. 100	Rewards of the Academic Career G. R. Kelman, M.D. 102
Statistics of Child Abuse A. W. Franklin, F.R.C.P.; J. E. Oliver, M.R.C.PSYCH. 98		Dying for a Number Maj.-Gen. F. M. Richardson, M.D. 102

Correspondents are urged to write briefly so that readers may be offered as wide a selection of letters as possible. So many are now being received that the omission of some is inevitable. Letters should be signed personally by all their authors.

Sexual Life after Vaginal Hysterectomy

SIR,—We welcome the fact that you have started a series of articles on Aspects of Sexual Medicine (7 June, p. 543). We strongly believe that medical practitioners should be the most suitable people to provide initial advice and therapy for sexual problems but, as you point out in your leading article (p. 526), undergraduate and indeed postgraduate training is often deficient in this aspect of patient care. Our experience has shown that there is a growing demand from patients for this service and it is now time for doctors to declare an active interest in the subject.

We would like to report the results of a questionnaire inquiry into the effect that vaginal hysterectomy has on a woman's sexual habits. We wrote to all 69 married women who had had a vaginal hysterectomy, with or without repair, during the year 1973 at St. Luke's Hospital, Bradford. There were 49 (71%) satisfactory replies plus three which were not included—one patient of 70 was not sexually active and the other two had impotent husbands. The mean age of the 49 patients was 40 years (range 25-66). Vaginal hysterectomy alone had been performed on 33 and the remainder had a repair procedure in addition. After operation we advise our patients to abstain from intercourse for six weeks. Most resumed coitus shortly after this and 32 (65%) were sexually active within two months of operation and 44 (90%) within four months. Initial difficulties were experienced by 29 (59%); in order of frequency these were: difficulty with intromission, dryness in vagina, dyspareunia, lack of libido, and bleeding. Two patients (4%) found the act of sexual intercourse much worse than before the operation, 5 (10%) found it worse, 21 (43%) the same, 13 (26%) better, and 8

(16%) much better. Thus 86% found intercourse the same or improved. The poorer results were found when patients had had both anterior colporrhaphy and posterior colporrhaphy in conjunction with the hysterectomy. The desire for sexual intercourse after operation was found to be much less for 6 (12%), less for 10 (20%), the same for 22 (45%), greater for 8 (16%), and much greater for 3 (6%). These changes were unrelated to the patient's age.

We feel that these results have been informative, particularly as there is very little recent literature on patients' sexual habits following routine gynaecological surgery. A Polish paper¹ has shown that complaints of dyspareunia increase twofold following a

Manchester repair and Tunnadine² has reported on severe sexual disturbance following hysterectomy in two patients. Richards³ has described a post-hysterectomy syndrome consisting mainly of depression but, in addition, out of 28 young patients libido was reduced in 10 but improved in nine. Clearly more investigations in this field are needed and perhaps questions on sexual function should become part of every medical history. In order to pursue our own studies we now give patients on leaving hospital a sheet of notes on sexual relations and offer a comprehensive follow-up service for those with sexual problems.—We are, etc.,

G. A. CRAIG
P. JACKSON

St. Luke's Hospital,
Bradford, Yorks

¹ Kornacki, Z., Brodziński, W., and Wilczyński, Z., *Ginekologia Polska*, 1971, 42, 1037.

² Tunnadine, P., *British Medical Journal*, 1972, 1, 748.

³ Richards, D. H., *Lancet*, 1974, 2, 983.

Patient Satisfaction in General Practice

SIR,—It might be of interest to report briefly on a small survey of patient satisfaction in general practice similar to that of Mr. P. R. Kaim-Caudle and Dr. G. N. Marsh (1 February, p. 262), particularly as they were unaware of "any other systematic survey of patient satisfaction with a particular practice's services."

Our survey consisted of interview assessments of patient satisfaction with communication and other aspects of practice organization. A sample of 61 patients were interviewed at home one day or one week after a visit to the surgery. The sample consisted of those patients attending with a new problem or with a new episode of a previous problem. The sample was restricted to "first attenders" on the assumption that in general

more information is given to them than on subsequent consultations and that consequently there might be more scope for failure of communication at this stage than at later contacts. Detailed reports are to be published in the *Journal of the Royal College of General Practitioners*, but similarities with the findings of Mr. Kaim-Caudle and Dr. Marsh are noteworthy.

Ninety per cent of the sample preferred an appointments system to queuing, and 82% felt that they were usually able to make an appointment for a time that suited them. Fifty-nine per cent preferred a personal doctor, while 41% had no preference. Eighty-two per cent were quite satisfied with the information they received. Several other variables were also examined.

Similarities between our data and those of Mr. Kaim-Caudle and Dr. Marsh are quite marked and we would like to reiterate their statement that further such surveys could be of considerable value.—We are, etc.,

J. A. KINCEY

Department of Psychiatry,
Manchester Royal Infirmary

P. W. BRADSHAW

University of Otago,
New Zealand

P. LEY

Doctor/Patient Communication Research Unit,
University of Liverpool

L. RATOFF

Liverpool

N.H.S. Reorganization

SIR,—In your leading article on N.H.S. reorganization (28 June, p. 705) you state that many of those concerned "believe that the structure is fundamentally right." My belief is that the majority of those working in the N.H.S. think it is fundamentally wrong. The principle of unification may be right, but the five-layer structure is cumbersome and bureaucratic.

If one of these tiers were deleted millions of pounds a year could be saved. If, for instance, the area health authorities were completely abolished not only would public funds be saved but the whole organization could be streamlined. The renting of expensive accommodation in new office blocks in various parts of the country would no longer be necessary and thousands of skilled staff could be released.

The basic administration of the N.H.S. would continue to be carried out, as now, by the district management teams and their work co-ordinated by the regional health authorities. To have a filter or buffer between them is simply wasteful.

In the absence of A.H.A.(T)s the R.H.A.'s would hold the contract of all consultants so that another cause of divisiveness would disappear, and they would also liaise with universities and medical schools. Finally, social services could be put back into the N.H.S., where they belong, organized on a regional basis.—I am, etc.,

M. GOLDMAN

X-ray Department,
Fazakerley Hospital,
Liverpool

"Functionless" Pituitary Tumours

SIR,—The article by Dr. D. F. Child and others (15 March, p. 604) reported 18 patients who presented with symptoms of hypogonadism and were found to have an enlarged sella turcica and hyperprolactinaemia. Amenorrhoea, galactorrhoea, or impotence was the only clinical symptom of pituitary dysfunction and only one patient had a visual field defect. In these patients the authors showed a linear relation between sella size and basal serum prolactin and suggest that prolactin level may be determined by tumour size despite the absence of granule activity in some of the pituitary tumours. Vezina and Sutton¹ have reported prolactin-secreting microadenomas causing the amenorrhoea-galactorrhoea syndrome and Zimmerman *et al.*² have demonstrated secretion of prolactin in pituitary tumours by immunoperoxidase technique. All patients

were symptomatic and surgical therapy was performed.

Occasionally patients with an enlarged sella are asymptomatic or present with only headache, and management is more complex. An analysis of 46 patients with asymptomatic enlargement of the sella turcica with pneumographic evidence of an intrasellar mass³ included 13 patients who had no visual or endocrine symptoms referable to the presence of a pituitary tumour and who were clinically unchanged after a follow-up extending to 15 years. This indicates that not all chromophobe adenomas are clinically detectable and that asymptomatic patients with a clinically silent intrasellar mass lesion may not require therapy. An unpublished study of 75 consecutive patients evaluated for an enlarged sella and who had no visual signs or symptoms⁴ demonstrated the following results: 27 patients were diagnosed as having an intrasellar pituitary tumour and 25 were diagnosed as "primary empty sella" syndrome by pneumoencephalography; 13 patients were found to have an extra-sellar process; 10 patients had no final diagnosis because pneumoencephalography was not done, but no endocrine or visual symptoms have developed with follow-up extending to three years.

In asymptomatic patients or those with only headaches sella size and configuration and the results of tests of hypothalamic pituitary function were not characteristic enough to differentiate an intrasellar pituitary tumour from primary empty sella syndrome; air study is necessary to make the correct diagnosis. If the enlarged sella is empty no further evaluation or treatment is necessary. If a clinically silent intrasellar pituitary tumour is present management is more complex, and the possible non-progressive course of pituitary adenomas must be weighed against the probability of the usual progressive course.—I am, etc.,

LEON WEISBERG

Department of Neurology,
Neurological Institute,
Columbia Presbyterian Medical Center,
New York

¹ Vezina, J. L., and Sutton, T. J. *American Journal of Roentgenology*, 1974, 120, 46.

² Zimmerman, E. A., Defindini, R., and Frantz, A. G. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 1974, 38, 577.

³ Weisberg, L. A. *Archives of Neurology*. In press.

⁴ Weisberg, L. A., Zimmerman, E. A., and Frantz, A. G. In preparation.

Spontaneous Pneumococcal Peritonitis

SIR,—In response to your interesting leading article "Diagnostic Ascitic Tap in Cirrhosis" (29th March, p. 701) we should like to describe a patient who has had two episodes of spontaneous pneumococcal peritonitis.

A woman with a long history of seronegative polyarthritis was found to have hepatosplenomegaly and pancytopenia in 1970 at the age of 36 years. Liver biopsy showed non-specific inflammatory features but no definite evidence of cirrhosis. Thereafter she remained well and without ascites until 1972, when she developed an acute febrile illness with abdominal pain and distension, but without hepatic decompensation. A diagnostic peritoneal tap produced fluid with numerous polymorphonuclear leucocytes and a protein content of 10 g/l. Pneumococci were isolated from both aspirate and peripheral blood. She recovered with intravenous fluids and antibiotics. A similar episode occurred in 1974, again without preceding ascites. Portal venography later demonstrated portal vein dilatation and oesophageal varices, but

the patient refused further liver biopsy. Immuno-globulin studies (1972-4) revealed absent IgA, much reduced IgG, and normal IgM, while cellular immunity was intact. Two daughters also have immunoglobulin deficiency.

The value of diagnostic peritoneal tap was rightly emphasized in the leading article, but we feel the relevance of pre-existent ascites to the development of spontaneous peritonitis is uncertain. The patient we describe had no preceding ascites (though we have no histological proof or cirrhosis). In spontaneous peritonitis of childhood the great majority of patients are previously healthy with no previous ascites. As the bacteriological spectrum is so similar in children and cirrhotics there appears little reason to regard the two groups as separate entities. Finally, while it appears that our patient's immune deficiency was an important factor in the development of spontaneous peritonitis, we have found no previous reference to this condition arising in primary hypogammaglobulinaemia.—We are, etc.,

IAN M. MORRIS

D. J. CARTER

Walsgrave Hospital,
Coventry

Statistics of Child Abuse

SIR,—A few years ago the idea that parents could cruelly mistreat their own children would have been hotly denied by most people. We were, of course, familiar with the "wickedness" of step-parents from fairy tales and novels, which were less communicative about the "goodness" of other step-parents. Denial, which is no longer possible, has been replaced by shock, anger, and public outcry against "battering parents." Everybody knows that it happens, agrees that it should not happen, and when a child is killed we now assume that "someone" outside the family has made a mistake and merits public rebuke. No public inquiry has yet shown who this really is. I would enter a plea now that since no useful purpose is served, no more such inquiries be held. The problem is so complex and involves so many people that it can never be true that one person or one profession is to blame.

Now the inevitable reaction is occurring. Yes, the problem exists, but surely it has been grossly exaggerated. So correspondence in the general and in the professional press seeks to cut the problem down to size (see Dr. Catherine S. Peckham and Miss Megan Joblin (21 June, p. 686) and the correspondence columns of *The Times*).

The number of families in which children suffer from deprivation, abuse, and non-accidental injury could become known only through statutory notification. This in turn would require strict definition of categories and the exercise of both the skill and the will to apply the definitions by a large body of citizens, including social workers, teachers, doctors, and all those under a statutory obligation to notify. The minutest search in the Registrar General's Statistical Reports will never reveal data which were not put into it.

The next steps will, I hope, be our calm acceptance as a society that, however large or small it is, the problem really exists. We must seek to understand why, and until we do we must extend sympathy to the families