appalling number of casualties that their use would produce. Prominent among these was the BMA's report of 1983, which concluded that the casualties arising from a single 1 megaton bomb would overwhelm the entire resources of the NHS.28 This report has been followed by one on the likely environmental consequences of a war fought with nuclear weapons²⁹ and a further report on the selection of casualties for treatment after a nuclear attack.³⁰ Such reports clearly indicate that nuclear weapons are unusable, and they have been termed "instruments of genocide" rather than weapons. As medicine has so little to offer in the aftermath of nuclear war prevention is of paramount importance. At the Helsinki congress of the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War (IPPNW) in 1984 a medical prescription was put forward, in which a ban on all nuclear explosions was proposed as an essential step in restraining the nuclear arms race. The association, which currently represents 200 000 doctors in 60 countries, is conducting a worldwide campaign for a comprehensive test ban treaty (Cease Fire '88).31

The resolution adopted by the World Health Assembly in 1983 included the statement that "nuclear weapons constitute the greatest immediate threat to the health and welfare of mankind." The current activity around the world by doctors and other health professionals drawing attention to the futility of medical planning for nuclear war, the diversion of resources needed for health care to military expenditure, and, above all, the emphasis on the critical importance of a comprehensive test ban, has its roots in the successful campaign waged by doctors and scientists against atmospheric testing. Prospects for a comprehensive test ban treaty currently look more hopeful than for some time; helping to bring it about would be a uniquely important exercise in primary prevention.

The United Kingdom affiliates of the International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War are the Medical Campaign Against Nuclear Weapons, Tress House, 3 Stamford Street, London SE1 9NT, and the Medical Association for the Prevention of War, 16b Prince Arthur Road, London NW3 6AY. The American affiliate is Physicians for Social Responsibility.

We thank the Physicians for Social Responsibility, International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War, the Medical Campaign Against Nuclear Weapons, and the Medical Association for the Prevention of War for some of the material on which this article was based. We also thank Mrs Sheila Forman, Mrs Eva Goldenberg, Dr Patricia Lewis, and Professor Joseph Rotblat.

- 1 International Physicians for the Prevention of Nuclear War. Cease fire '88 IPPNW worldwide test ban campaign. Cambridge, Massachusetts: IPPNW, 1988. (Available from IPPNW, 126 Rogers Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142.)
- 2 Nishiwaki Y. The effects of the explosion of a hydrogen bomb. In: Medical Association for the Prevention of War Bulletin No 15. London: MAPW,
- 3 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. 1977 Report. Sources and effects of ionizing radiation. New York: United Nations
- 4 Dunham CL. Fallout from nuclear weapons tests. Biol Med Phys 1958;6:
- 5 British Atomic Scientists' Association. Strontium hazards. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists 1957;XIII:202-3.
- 6 United Nations Scientific Committee on the Effects of Atomic Radiation. 1982 Report. Ionizing radiation; sources and biological effects. New York: United Nations, 1982.
- 7 Darby SC, Kendall GM, Fell TP, et al. A summary of mortality and incidence of cancer in men from the United Kingdom who participated in the United Kingdom's atmospheric nuclear weapons tests and experimental programmes. Br Med J 1988;296:332-8.

 8 Seaborg G, Loeb BS. Kennedy, Krushchev and the test ban. Berkeley: University
- of California Press, 1981.
- 9 Warren S. You, your patients and radioactive fallout. N Engl 7 Med 1962;266
- 10 Kuroda PK, Nix J. Strontium 90 from the 1961 Soviet nuclear detonations. Science 1962;137:991-2.
- 11 Collins WR, Welford GA, Morse RS. Fallout from the 1957 and 1958 nuclear test series. Science 1961;134:980-4.
- 12 Eisenbud M, Mochizuki Y, Goldin AS, Laurer GR. Iodine-131 dose from Soviet nuclear tests. Science 1962;136:370-4

- 13 Yeabsley HJ, Gregory LP. Fallout in New Zealand: the results to June 1961.
- NZ Med J 1962;61:247-58.

 14 Medical Research Council. Radioactive fall-out and the testing of nuclear weapons. Nature 1962;192:400-3. 14a Rosenthal HL, Bird JT, Gilster JE, Pinto PVC, O'Neill S. Strontium-90
- content of deciduous teeth of children. J Dent Res 1966;45:343-9
- 15 Parker TJ. The alleged hazards from atomic fallout. J SC Med Associated 1961;57:28-30.
- 16 Ervin FR, Glazier JB, Aronow S, et al. Human and ecologic effects in Massachusetts of an assumed thermonuclear attack on the United States. N Engl J Med 1962;266:1127-37.
- 17 Sidel V, Geiger J, Lown B. The physician's role in the postattack period. N Engl J Med 1962;266:1137-45.
- 18 Leiderman PH, Mendelson JH. Some psychiatric considerations in planning for defense shelters. N Engl J Med 1962;266:1149-55.
- 19 Aronow S. A glossary of radiation terminology. N Engl J Med 1962;266:
- 20 Goldblat J. Arms control agreements. A handbook. London: Taylor and Francis,
- 21 Goldanskii V. A nuclear test ban: a Soviet scientist's perspective. IPPNW Report 1988;6:7-10. (Available from IPPNW, 126 Rogers Street, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02142.)
- RF. Maintaining the US stockpile of nuclear weapons during a low threshold or comprehensive test ban. Livermore, California: Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, 1987. (Available from the National Technical Information Service, United States Department of Commerce, 288 Port Royal Road, Springfield, Virginia 22161.)

 DeWitt HE, Marsh GE. Weapons design policy impedes a test ban. Bulletin of
- the Atomic Scientists 1985;41:10-3.
- 24 Stott R. Even before the bomb drops. London: Medical Campaign Against Nuclear Weapons, 1988.
- 25 Smith R. Is research to be privatised? Br Med 7 1988;296:185-8
- 26 Middleton J, Routley J, Asquith P. What are health authorities doing about health problems caused by unemployment? Br Med 7 1987;294:1551
- 27 Sivard RL. World military and social expenditures 1987-88. Washington, DC: World Priorities Inc., 1987.
- 28 British Medical Association. The medical effects of nuclear war. Chichester: Wiley, 1983.
- 29 British Medical Association. The long term environmental and medical effects of nuclear war. London: BMA, 1986
- 30 British Medical Association. Nuclear attack ethics and casualty selection. London: BMA, 1988.
- 31 Delamothe T. After the summit. Br Med J 1988;296:1728-9.

ANY QUESTIONS

What might be the cause of recurrent superficial thrombophlebitis of both legs? What treatment is advised?

Recurrent episodes of phlebitis may be truly 'idiopathic" but it is quite likely that a systemic cause will come to light in due course. Occult malignancy of the bronchus or pancreas must remain a possibility. Buerger's disease can present in this way and the patient should be exhorted to stop smoking. There is quite a long list of disorders of coagulation and fibrinolysis that could present with recurrent phlebitis-for example, polycythaemia rubra vera, deficiencies of antithrombin III, protein C or protein S, disorders of fibrinolysis, and Behçet's syndrome. The patient should be thoroughly screened by haematologists experienced in thrombotic problems. Depending on what is found it may be that the patient should be treated with long term oral anticoagulants, especially if there is evidence of deep vein thrombosis, which commonly coexists with superficial phlebitis. If a patient has ankle swelling that would suggest this possibility. Investigations should therefore include a phlebogram, which if positive would be a strong pointer towards prolonged anticoagulant treatment. Knee length graduated compression stockings might reduce the tendency for recurrence and lessen postphlebitic symptoms.—C V RUCKLEY. consultant surgeon, Edinburgh.

Correction

Infection control revisited: dilemma facing today's bronchoscopists

An authors' error occurred in this article by Dr P J V Hanson and others (16 July, p 185). "Prevalence" was substituted for "incidence" in the last sentence, which should read, "The plea that 'HIV is not a problem in our area' is not an argument against change, with an estimated 1:1000 people in the United Kingdom now infected with HIV, an incidence that is doubling every 10 months.