Survey of nameplates in a well known London Street

RICHARD C BICKERTON, CATHERINE M MILTON

Abstract

In 1951 a well known otolaryngologist recorded 798 brass nameplates in a well known London street. In the 35 years since this last survey was conducted the number has decreased by 23. This surprising finding is attributed to the communal entryphone and to the emergence of the shoddy plate. A definition of a plate is proposed, the concentration described, and the geographical distribution of plates summarised. A new phenomenon is encountered, the ghost plate, type A and type B. Further study is required to elucidate the significance of ghost plates.

Introduction

Harley Street has been associated with the medical profession since 1845. "Before this time it was a smart residential street."¹ The street is named after Edward Harley (1689-1741), who acquired the ancient manor of Tyburn or Marylebone in 1713 on marrying Lady Henrietta Cavendish (Bickerton and Milton's *Biographical History of ENT*, Vade-Mecum Press, in preparation). He soon set about developing the land and he and his successors named almost every street after family connections² (fig 1).

It is now 35 years since Scott Stevenson recorded the number of brass nameplates in Harley Street.³ He counted 798, stating in 1951, "I did not realise that the latest snobisme of Harley Street is to have no door plate at all—a natural reaction to the common spectacle nowadays of a dozen or even (in two instances) twenty name plates on one door." In view of the time that has elapsed since the last survey it seemed essential that a further review should be undertaken.

No suitable definition of a nameplate exists, although they have in the past been described as the most interesting feature in the west end of London.⁴ We propose the following definition as a unit of measurement:

1 plate (P) = "A plaque consisting of any material on the door or doorway bearing one or more names." (1KP = 1000 plates.)

A number of materials were encountered, ranging from Welsh slate to plastic tape.

In the early stages of the study a phenomenon was encountered that had not been reported in the 1951 survey. The authors name this phenomenon ghost plates (GP). They occurred in two forms, type A and B.

Type A ghost plate: a plaque usually made of brass and of the same size as 1 plate, but bearing no name.

Type B ghost plate. An area on the door or doorway, the size of 1

FIG 1 (left)—Harley family tree. Names in bold type are also names of streets. Names in block capitals indicate owners of the Marylebone estate. (Reproduced from Gillian Bebbington's *London Street Names*, 1972, by permission of B T Batsford Ltd. FIG 2 (right)—Research site.

plate, bearing no name but showing evidence of a previous plaque siting.

An indication of the concentration of plates was made. At every doorway that readings were taken, whether a small house or large modern block of consulting rooms, each was defined as 1 site. The unit of measurement, plates per site, we have called a Bickermilton (BM).

Methods

The survey took place in daylight, early one Sunday morning, once the prevailing weather conditions in the research area had been deemed acceptable.

The recorder was supplied with one traditional Sheaffer "no nonsense" cartridge fountain pen and two sheets of blank A4 paper. The observer required no materials and as a result had both hands free to put them in her pockets, so guarding against the cold. This caused a degree of irritation between the two sections.

The research area was divided naturally into ten blocks or "cohorts" by four streets running from east to west (fig 2), with a sentinel bank indicating the northern and southern extremities. Those plates using plastic tape as their base material or paper associated with entryphone displays were designated shoddy plates (SP).

Results

There were a total of 775 plates of which 63 (8%) were shoddy plates. This compares with 798 observed in 1951, a reduction in 35 years of 23. The plates were distributed throughout the cohorts in a bimodal fashion (fig 3), and over 123 sites with a mean concentration, plates per site (BM), of 6.3 Bickermiltons.

FIG 3—Distribution of total number of plates according to their respective cohort.

There were more plates in the east (448P) than the west (327P) (fig 4). The greatest concentration at any one site was 40BM. There were 69 ghost plates (9%), both type A and B.

FIG 4—Geographical distribution of plates (P) and shoddy plates (SP).

The legal profession occupied several sites, particularly in the south. It came as no surprise to observe the dimensions of their plates, being many times greater than those of the medical profession.

Discussion

The study raises several interesting points, not least the surprising result that the total number of plates has decreased since 1951 by 23P. To account for this, we believe there is an increasing tendency for shoddy plates on communal entryphones to replace the classical brass plate. We advise those who prefer a practice without or who do not enjoy the idea of being surrounded by the SP to move to the north east or south west where the concentration of SPs is at a minimum.

The emergence of the ghost plate is an intriguing phenomenon. At no point during the time scale of this study did we observe the transformation, spontaneous or otherwise, of a plate into a type B ghost.

The observer had difficulty at several sites determining the base material of the plate—for example, highly polished stainless steel from the more extravagant silver. We advise any further survey to include a suitably qualified metallurgist.

A Salvation Army band began playing as the eastern arm of the

survey was being conducted. This hampered the normal flow of data between observer and recorder and may have accounted for the imbalance between east and west. Further distractions included the inconsiderate appearance of an occupant in the middle of a site recording and a large poster requesting information on Tiga the cat was nearly mistaken for a shoddy plate.

At the high frontier of human endeavour there will always be many more questions raised than can be answered satisfactorily. We hope, however, that this study in its own small way will help to stimulate and encourage further work into this unusual though fascinating field of medical research.

We should like to thank the staff of the Medical Illustration Department of the Institute of Laryngology and Otolaryngology for their patient assistance.

References

- 1 Weinreb B, Hibbert C. *The London encyclopaedia*. London: Macmillan, 1983.
- 2 Bebbington G. *London street names*. London: Batsford, 1972.
- 3 Scott Stevenson R. *Goodbye Harley Street*. London: Christopher Johnson, 1954.
- 4 Anonymous. The recession from Harley Street [Editorial]. *Br Med J* 1952;ii:1407.

The Royal National Throat, Nose and Ear Hospital, Gray's Inn Road, London WC1X 8DA

RICHARD C BICKERTON, FRCS, locum senior registrar

Kent and Sussex Hospital, Tunbridge Wells, Kent

CATHERINE M MILTON, BSC, FRCS, consultant otolaryngologist

Correspondence to: Mr Bickerton.

Living with a marathon runner

JUNE M CROWN

Our 22 year marriage was put under its most severe strain when one evening at the theatre, just as the play was about to begin, my husband whispered, "I heard this morning that I have got into the London Marathon." My enforcedly muted response combined alarm, anxiety, and disbelief. He was a jogger—regular two and a half miles a day—and had applied twice already for the marathon.

The polite rejection slips that he had received then had lulled me into assuming that the computer was on my side. Surely it realised that he was beyond the age when any sensible person would attempt his first marathon? The letter from the organisers, however, was unambiguous—acceptance, congratulations, and sensible advice.

For a while we were all rather secretive about it. My husband thought that it could be kept within the family and that his eccentricity need not be revealed

to the world. It soon became clear, however, that selection brings with it the opportunity, which rapidly develops into the responsibility, to raise money for charity. He chose the Mental Health Foundation, with which he had been associated for some time and which reflects his professional interests.

The sponsorship provided a valuable spur to the training programme. Going out in the icy mornings of February and March was slightly easier when it was associated with the "the good cause" and "not letting the side down." At weekends he gradually raised the mileage. Twenty one miles in the teeth of a gale along Brighton sea front and 25 miles in somewhat better conditions in London raised his confidence and reduced my anxiety.

The marathon weekend itself is already part of the Crown family folklore. On Saturday we went to *Parsifal*. I had doubts about the wisdom of this, but was swayed by the undoubted truth of my son's

statement—"There is no other way you will get Dad to sit down for six hours."

Marathon day started wet and cold. We drove to Charing Cross Station, where special trains were taking the runners to the start at Greenwich. In their uniforms of track suits and trainers, clutching their sports bags, and with a nervous camaraderie, the participants had something of the air of soldiers on a troop train off to France in the 1940s.

I went home to the television set and the sight of thousands of people in the pouring rain embarking on the great adventure. Somehow I passed two and a half hours in totally aimless activity before going back into London to take up a position along the Embankment. I knew that there were 20 000 participants but had never realised what that meant in terms of the apparently never ending procession of runners. My confidence in my husband's ability to complete the course began to be eroded as some (often much younger) participants hobbled past, clearly unlikely to manage the last two miles. What would I do if he looked like that? As the time approached when we expected him I became even more worried. What would I do if he did not appear at all? Perhaps he had fallen on the cobbles or been run down by a wheelchair. At last he appeared, clearly fine and jogging well. The relief was unbelievable. After that the walk over Waterloo Bridge and the meeting among thousands under the trees in Jubilee Gardens were jubilant.

I learnt a great deal from this episode in our lives. For example, I feel I now know what it is like to be a man during labour, observing an event to which you have an enormous emotional commitment, for which you want to give complete support to a loved one, and which you can do almost nothing at all to influence.

We both think that the marathon brings out the best in people. My husband found his fellow participants friendly and concerned for one another's welfare. The people lining the streets were unfailingly cheerful and supportive and played a real, almost tangible, part in keeping him going as he "hit the wall." People showed great generosity in sponsoring and genuine warmth in congratulating him on finishing the run.

He promised me at the outset that this would be a "once in a lifetime" event, the fulfilment of a secret personal ambition. I am beginning to wonder, though, whether the happiness which the marathon generates does not produce some kind of contagion. It even crossed my mind that the computer might be interested in a husband and wife team next year. But with luck, the endorphin levels will have fallen by the time that the application forms have to go in.

Bloomsbury Health Authority, London WC1E 6DB

JUNE M CROWN, MB, FRCM, district medical officer