examination, including rectal examination, was unhelpful. Investigations showed atypical coliforms in the urine but no protein or pus cells. Intravenous pyelogram was reported as normal. A barium enema was performed because of the similarity of the pattern of the fever with that in case 2 and showed a rectosigmoid carcinoma. At laparotomy the tumour was found to be adherent to the bladder, but the liver was clear. A sigmoid colectomy and partial cystectomy were performed. Histology showed a Duke's B carcinoma of the colon. The patient remained well for 11 years after surgery.

Comment

Pyrexia of unknown origin remains unexplained in 10% of those who have it despite intensive investigation.³ Colorectal cancer is not normally considered to be a possible cause because of the absence of gastrointestinal symptoms. The cases reported here show, however, that investigation of the large bowel in such circumstances can lead to relief of symptoms and may well be life saving.

The fevers seem to be transient (30 minutes to two hours) and are often associated with rigors. This suggests that they may be caused by recurrent, transient bacteraemias, a theory substantiated by the growth of *E coli* on blood cultures in case 1. Interestingly, *Streptococcus bovis* bacteraemias in patients with endocarditis have recently been reported to have a strong association with colonic neoplasia.⁴ In those cases and the ones reported here the fever stopped after the neoplasm was removed.

We thank Dr W B Thomson for his invaluable help in the preparation of this article.

- 1 Petersdorf RG, Beeson PB. Fever of unexplained origin. Report on 100 cases. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 1961;40:1-30.
- 2 Briggs LH. The occurrence of fever in malignant disease. *Am J Med Sci* 1923;166:846-53.
- 3 Esposito AL, Gleekman RA. A diagnostic approach to the adult in fever of unknown origin. *Arch Intern Med* 1979;139:575-9.
- 4 Beeching NJ, Christmas TI, Elvis-Pegler RP, Nicholson GI. Streptococcus bovis bacteraemia required rigorous exclusion of colonic neoplasia and endocarditis. *Q J Med* 1985;56:439-50.

(Accepted 15 September 1986)

Department of Surgery, Hillingdon Hospital, Uxbridge, Middlesex

J P KELLEHER, FRCS, surgical registrar
J E L SALES, MChIR, FRCS, consultant surgeon

Correspondence to: Mr Kelleher.

β Blocker treatment for angina with associated bradycardia

Views on the value of the intrinsic sympathomimetic activity of β blocking drugs have fluctuated over the past 12-15 years. In recent years, however, interest has tended to be revived, though clinical trials have failed to establish a role for the activity.

Resting bradycardia is usually considered to contraindicate treatment with β blockers. We describe a patient with angina and bradycardia who benefited specifically from a β blocker having intrinsic sympathomimetic activity rather than from one not having this property.

Case report

A 60 year old man presented with uncontrolled angina for nine months. He had also suffered a dizzy spell, but this may have been related to standing quickly after a hot bath. His family practitioner had been reluctant to try β blocker treatment in view of a pronounced resting bradycardia (48 beats/min), which was confirmed in our own clinic (46/min). Exercise testing confirmed that he had moderately severe ischaemic heart disease with an exercise tolerance of 5.5 minutes associated with ST segment depression reaching a maximum of 3.0 mm in lead aVF. Exercise was limited by breathlessness rather than angina. He agreed to participate in a brief trial of β blocker treatment in which we compared atenolol (no intrinsic sympathomimetic activity) 100 mg and pindolol (pronounced intrinsic sympathomimetic activity) 15 mg twice daily. Treatment was assessed by 24 hour electrocardiographic tape recording and repeat exercise testing.

Exercise testing showed that pindolol was superior to atenolol. Though atenolol greatly reduced ischaemic ST segment depression, exercise tolerance also was reduced slightly because of increased breathlessness; pindolol, however, achieved an equivalent degree of improvement in ST segment depression with an increase in exercise tolerance (all exercise tests were limited by breathlessness rather than angina). Resting heart rate was increased during pindolol treatment (51 beats/min v 43/min with atenolol) but control of the maximum heart rate was similar with the two drugs (pindolol 75 beats/min; atenolol 72/min). The table summarises performance in the exercise tests.

Summary of performance in exercise tests

	Total exercise time (min)	Maximum ST depression (mm)	Resting heart rate (beats/min)	Maximum heart rate (beats/min)
Original test	5.5	3.0	54	110
Atenolol 100 mg*	4.9	1.6	43	72
Pindolol 15 mg twice daily†	6.1	1.5	51	75

* No intrinsic sympathomimetic activity.

† Pronounced intrinsic sympathomimetic activity.

The 24 hour tape recordings also showed a considerable advantage from pindolol, with significantly less bradycardia (mean minimum hourly heart rate 42 v 39 beats/min; $p < 0.01$ (Wilcoxon paired rank sum test)) and significantly higher maximum hourly heart rates (mean 54 v 49 beats/min; $p < 0.01$), despite the similar control of heart rate during maximal sympathetic stimulation (exercise testing). There was also a significant reduction in the frequency of pauses (> 1.5 s) during pindolol treatment ($p < 0.01$); daytime pauses were almost completely abolished (eight hours completely pause free as compared with two with atenolol), and night time pauses were reduced in frequency. After the trial the patient elected to continue with pindolol.

Comment

Angina with a coincidental resting bradycardia is not a common finding, but when it occurs it always poses a clinical problem. It is still desirable to inhibit the exercise induced tachycardia, as it is then that the patient experiences his angina, but β blocker treatment enhances the resting bradycardia and may aggravate a potential conduction or sinus node disorder.¹ There have been reports of successful treatment of this condition by implantation of a permanent pacemaker and subsequently use of β blockade.^{2,3} Though this treatment is clearly effective, it is expensive, invasive, and not without hazard. β Blockers having intrinsic sympathomimetic activity should not cause bradycardia at optimal dosage and are considerably less likely to cause conduction disturbances.^{1,4}

In our patient pindolol prevented a detrimental exercise tachycardia and improved the resting bradycardia with no aggravation of 24 hour tape recorded evidence of pauses. By contrast, atenolol aggravated the resting bradycardia and increased the frequency of pauses. Atenolol also proved less effective in terms of exercise performance; since the limiting factor in the exercise tests was breathlessness, possibly the improvement with pindolol was also due to an increased heart rate responsiveness when there was submaximal β blockade.

This case may illustrate a specific indication for using intrinsic sympathomimetic activity in the treatment of angina; further prospective evaluation is warranted.

- 1 Frischman WH, Silverman R. Clinical pharmacology of the new beta adrenergic blocking drugs. Part 2. Physiologic and metabolic effects. *Am Heart J* 1979;97:797-807.
- 2 Otterstad J, Strom O. Severe angina pectoris and beta blocker induced bradycardia treated with an artificial pacemaker. *Acta Med Scand* 1980;207:503-4.
- 3 Warren V, Goldberg E. Intractable angina pectoris. Combined therapy with propranolol and permanent percutaneous pacemaker. *JAMA* 1976;235:841-2.
- 4 Viersma JW, Van den Berg MP, Van Gilst WH, Lie KI. Intrinsic sympathomimetic activity (ISA) of pindolol in patients with sinus node dysfunction. *Eur Heart J* 1986;7:42-6.

(Accepted 17 September 1986)

Department of Cardiology, Bristol Royal Infirmary, Bristol, Avon

M A JAMES, MRCP, registrar
M PAPOUCHADO, MRCP, registrar
S C JORDAN, MD, FRCP, consultant

Correspondence to: Dr James.