FIG 2—The probability of having at least one, two, or three visits to different average visiting rates. (The smallest values are at the top.)¹

(national average 15%), it has to be said that communications are good and it is relatively easy to get to surgery. The rates would obviously be much higher in a rural area or with an elderly population. Note, incidentally, that for three days out of five 1

can expect no new visits. Fig 2 shows why. The horizontal axis is the average daily visit rate. The vertical axis is the probability of the event occurring. The curved diagonal lines represent the probability of having at least one, two, three, or more visits. My own average visiting rate is 1.2 a day, and this is represented by the dotted vertical line. Going back to the practice with four new visits a day, assuming a very generous 30 minutes a visit, they have allocated themselves enough time for 10 a day. This is an event which is probably not going to occur as often as once in four years.

It used to be possible to rush through 15 or 20 patients, snatch a cup of coffee, and get out on the real business of the day doing visits. Years ago when I first went into practice I had to do 26 visits on the same day. I think it is a feat of being overwhelmed by this sort of demand that has made me so resistant to change. On the other hand, my time is expensive, and as it is paid for by the patients it should be available to them and when they need it.

References

- Menzies-Lyth I. *Functioning of local systems as a defence against anxiety*. London: Tavistock Institute, 1970.
- Horder JP. Physicians and family doctors: a new relationship. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1977;27:301-3.
- Balint M. *The doctor, his patient and the illness*. London: Pitman, 1968.
- Thomas KB. Time and the consultation in general practice. *Br Med J* 1978;i:1000.
- Hughes D. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1983;33:143-7.
- Stott NCJ. *Primary health care, bridging the gap between theory and practice*. Heidelberg: Springer-Verlag, 1983.
- Pendleton D, Schofield T, Tate P, Havelock P. *The consultation: an approach to learning and teaching*. Oxford: Oxford University Press (in press).
- Moorey MJ. Chapter 8. *Facts from figures*. Harmondsworth: Pelican, 1951.

Practice Research

Mental illness in inner London

CONRAD M HARRIS

Abstract

From the perspective of general practice, hospital data indicating that the prevalence of mental illness is much higher in inner London than elsewhere in Britain may be misleading. A study in five inner London practices found morbidity patterns for mental disorder similar to those recorded in a national survey.

Introduction

Figures from sources outside general practice, such as hospital and other data quoted in *A Survey of Primary Care in London*,¹

Department of General Practice, St Mary's Hospital Medical School, Lisson Grove Health Centre, Garsfield Road, London NW8 2EG.
CONRAD M HARRIS, MD, FRCP, director

indicate that the prevalence of mental illness is much greater in inner London than in other parts of the United Kingdom with, for example, high rates of suicide, addiction to narcotics, and admission to mental hospital. It is safe to assume, therefore, that in inner London general practitioners experience a higher rate of looking after the mentally ill is very different from that of general practitioners elsewhere?

Methods and results

Five practices located in Kensington, Chelsea, and Westminster in Camden and Islington consulting with the department of general practice of St Mary's Hospital Medical School from 1979 to 1981 to collect data about all their patients and consultations. The data used here relate to 1980, and at the midpoint of the year the practices had 32 524 registered patients. As expected in this part of London, the proportion of young adults and the ratio of women to men were very high; consultation rates and patients' consulting rates have therefore been directly standardised to the population of England and Wales for 1981 to facilitate comparisons with other sources of data, the calculations being based on five year age groups for men and women. Up to two diagnoses could be recorded at each consultation; they were made without agreed criteria and coded according to the ninth

Data relating to mental disorders: five inner London practices (1980) compared with the second National Morbidity Study (1970-1) (NMS2)

	All mental disorders	Anxiety	Depression	Schizophrenia	Alcohol dependence and drug dependence
Study population	Study population	Study population	Study population	Study population	Study population
NMS 2	NMS 2	NMS 2	NMS 2	NMS 2	NMS 2
238*	208	63*	73	94*	105
No of consultations in % of total	10	10	2.7	1.5	4.9
No of individuals consulting/1000 persons	96*	110	41*	34	41*

* Standardised to population of England and Wales, 1981.

revision of the International Classification of Diseases (ICD).² There were 80 893 consultations, including 3874 with temporary residents; 101 277 diagnoses were recorded, and 20 818 individuals consulted at least once. Of the 7966 consultations for mental disorder, 448 were with temporary residents.

The table shows findings from the study population, together with statistics derived from the second National Morbidity Study, which provides the most readily comparable data.³ The rates for the study population include temporary residents in the numerator but not in the denominator, a manipulation that is statistically awkward but which reflects realistically the work of the practices.

Discussion

There are inherent problems in comparing the two sets of data. Neither study laid down firm criteria for diagnosis, and diagnostic fashion may well have changed during a decade. Some combining of data has been required to equate the headings of the eighth revision of the International Classification of Diseases used for the national study with those of the ninth revision. The overall annual consultation rate of the London practices was only 2.5 per patient, even with temporary residents added to the numerator, whereas that of the national sample was 3.0. The London doctors could record two diagnoses for each patient, and did so in a quarter of their consultations, but the doctors of the earlier survey were allowed only one. Despite these problems, the similarity of the two sets of data for mental disorder as a whole suggests that comparisons may still be valid. The most striking feature of the data is that anxiety and depression are found with roughly equal frequency in the two

surveys, but the figures for schizophrenia and for alcohol and drug dependence are very much higher in London. Though there is little doubt that the difference in rates for these three conditions are not common enough to affect the overall pattern of morbidity greatly, anxiety and depression account for about two thirds of the mental illness in both samples.

Since the figures from the five practices are closely similar overall to those of the practices in the national study it seems fair to conclude that data which demonstrate a much greater psychiatric morbidity in London are misleading in the context of general practice.

The principles of the practices participating were: D Cohen; L Jacobs, A Evans, and P Willis; B Jarman, A Elder, and M Constantinidou; L Newman, A Antoniou, D Litter, L J Stringer, and J Oren; and M Wilks and C Wigg. The work was part of a project funded mainly by the Department of Health and Social Security, and I am grateful to B Jarman and P White for help in creating the recording system and method of standardisation.

References

- Royal College of General Practitioners. *A survey of primary care in London*. Occasional paper 10. London: RCGP, 1981.
- World Health Organisation. *Manual of the international classification of diseases, injuries and causes of death*. Geneva: WHO, 1977. London: HMSO, 1977.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Morbidity statistics from general practice: second national study 1970-1*. London: HMSO, 1974. (Accepted 14 February 1984)

Child consultation patterns in general practice comparing "high" and "low" consulting families

PETER D CAMPION, JENNET GABRIEL

Abstract

All children's consultations with their general practitioner over a 12 month period in a small urban practice were analysed. Overall consultation rates ranged from 2.5 per child a year for 8 to 11 year olds, to 6.8 for those under 2. Families were grouped according to their average rate

of new consultations for children, standardised for age. Families with higher consulting rates scored higher on an index of economic disadvantage, with mothers who scored higher on a test of "tendency to consult" and who were less educated than those in lower consulting families. The presence of any doctor-defined "significant disease" in any child was highly correlated with the family's consultation rate.

Introduction

"Illness behaviour," the processes by which symptoms are differentially perceived, evaluated, and acted on, is the normal antecedent to the act of consulting a doctor, particularly the general practitioner. Thus it has been shown that parents of

children who show any signs of illness go through a complex process of decision making, which includes reference to past experience, the advice of others, and the estimation of risk, cost, and likely benefit.¹

Blaxter and Patterson, in a detailed study of families in social classes IV and V in Aberdeen, showed that families who were more disadvantaged (in social and economic terms) were more likely to consult less than other families, and they suggested that one mothers' other health problems in their childrency less readily and also consult less readily.² Collins and Klein reanalysed data in the General Household Survey and concluded that there was no evidence for "over usage" of general practice by people in poorer socioeconomic groups, and that the reverse might be true.³

The consultation patterns of children differ from adults in apparent social gradients, and other evidence suggests that the "rules" applied to the decision to consult are different for adults and children,⁴ so we decided to study the consultation patterns of children in a small urban general practice to identify socioeconomic factors that might be associated. The aim of the study was to relate children's consultation patterns to social factors and to attitudes expressed by mothers about their children's health.

Method

A long established single handed Dundee practice, based in the doctor's house, was taken over by the university department of general practice in 1977 and after 12 years in separate premises it moved to a new health centre with two larger practices. During the study period (1982-3) three established practitioners (members of the department) and a trainee worked in the practice, which had a list size of just under 2000 patients. Out of hours calls were covered by a rota with the other six doctors in the health centre. One casualty department serves the whole of the city and sends carbon copies of all attendance records to the patient's general practitioner. All families with children under 12 years were invited by letter to be included in the study. Of 120 families, only one refused to take part. Three were excluded because the mother was not registered with the practice, and three moved away during the study.

CONTACT RECORDING

All face to face contacts with doctors were recorded on contact sheets, noting the type of contact (doctor or patient initiated), at home, in surgery, or in a baby clinic), and up to three problem statements. Doctors were asked to record only sufficient details appropriate to the circumstances, such as symptom, syndrome, or cause of disorder or disease, if known. Casualty attendances were recorded from the duplicate hospital notes, routinely sent after each attendance. The problem statements were coded according to the International Classification of Health Problems in Primary Care.⁵

The children were allotted to four age groups, based on their age at the midpoint of the study (under 2 years, 2 to 4, 5 to 7, 8 to 11). All contact data were entered on to computer file for analysis using SPSS (statistical package for the social sciences) on the Dundee University DEC-10 computer.

Each mother was interviewed in her home during the study by JG, using a semistructured format, to obtain basic sociodemographic data and also any answers to a test of knowledge of children's illness and to a scenario instrument to assess her intention in hypochondriacal illnesses.⁶ From the contact data the number of patient initiated contacts for each child was calculated. There was a clear age gradient with decreasing contacts with age (table 1) so a standardised index was devised to control for age of child and number of children in the family. Age standardised consultation index (Sum of observed contacts/100/Sum of expected contacts, where expected contacts were the average for each age group).

Results

The problems that had been recorded on contact sheets were classified into the main ICD (International Classification of Diseases)

groups and further amalgamated when numbers were small. Table 1 relates to contacts initiated by the family, usually the mother, and table 11 to contacts initiated by the doctor or health authority in the case of immunisation and screening. All such preventive work was done by the practice for all families on the list. There is a clear age gradient in overall contact rates, most contacts initiated by patients showing the same trend, but only prevention in the doctor initiated because the same coding was used these rates are directly comparable with published rates for the second national morbidity survey (1970-1).³ Table 111 gives the rates for all conditions, for three groups of conditions, and for one specific diagnosis. There is a

TABLE I—Distribution by age and problem category of 502 contacts initiated by patients during the study year (rates per child in parentheses)

ICD group	Age group (years)			
	Under 2 (n=36)	2-4 (n=48)	5-7 (n=42)	8-11 (n=54)
I Infections	28 (0.7)	17 (0.4)	13 (0.3)	16 (0.3)
II Mental and sense organs	24 (0.6)	10 (0.2)	10 (0.2)	9 (0.2)
III Respiratory	15 (0.4)	12 (0.3)	7 (0.2)	4 (0.1)
IV Nervous system, and all	15 (0.4)	11 (0.2)	11 (0.3)	16 (0.3)
Other	15 (0.4)	18 (0.4)	10 (0.2)	12 (0.2)
All other	10 (0.3)	6 (0.1)	10 (0.2)	8 (0.1)
All conditions	195	41	50	67
Overall rate per child per year	4.1	3.0	2.6	1.7

ICD—International Classification of Diseases.

TABLE II—Distribution by age and problem category of 273 contacts initiated by doctor during study year (rates per child in parentheses)

ICD group	Age group (years)			
	Under 2 (n=36)	2-4 (n=48)	5-7 (n=42)	8-11 (n=54)
I Infections	2 (—)	2 (—)	6 (0.1)	5 (0.1)
II Mental, nervous system	10 (0.2)	21 (0.4)	24 (0.6)	4 (—)
III Sense organs	10 (0.2)	12 (0.3)	24 (0.6)	6 (0.1)
IV Respiratory	7 (0.2)	12 (0.3)	12 (0.3)	4 (0.1)
V Nervous system	9 (0.2)	4 (0.1)	2 (—)	9 (0.2)
All other	10 (0.3)	6 (0.1)	10 (0.2)	8 (0.1)
All conditions	106	74	15	29
Overall rate	2.9	1.5	0.4	0.5

TABLE III—Comparison between study data and Second National Morbidity Survey (1970-1)

Source	NMS		Dundee		Ratio
	Rate	Rate	Rate	Rate	
All conditions	3.03	5.53	1.5	3.06	1.6
Infections	0.26	0.96	2.2	0.22	0.42
Respiratory	0.28	1.12	0.6	0.26	0.5
Other	0.29	0.60	3.1	0.13	0.25
Acute otitis media	0.28	0.60	3.1	0.13	0.25

noticeable discrepancy that requires explanation. Consultation rates north of the border are generally reported to be higher than in England.⁷ The difference in age grouping for the older age group might have a small effect on those figures, but the lower age groups are exactly comparable. The twofold increase in preventive contacts could represent a real change in practice over the 12 years between the studies. Otherwise, the difference probably represents a difference in illness behaviour of this small group of mothers compared with a very large sample of the general population.

We wished to identify those families in which one or more children had had "serious" or at least "significant" disease, by medical criteria, to see how this influenced the overall consultation rate for the family. A list of such disorders was drawn up (table IV) from the list of all problems encountered, representing the most serious or important medical problems. Just over half the families had had at least one such problem in the study year, and these problems accounted for nearly one third of all contacts. The relation between this variable and the consultation index is shown in table V. As might be expected, there is a positive correlation between the two, and indeed this

TABLE IV—Diagnoses used to classify families as "significant disease present"

Problem	No. of families with problem	Total No. of contacts	Per cent of all contacts
Acute otitis media	37	91	12.4
Bronchitis	24	48	6.4
Asthma	5	10	1.3
Alcoholism	4	8	1.1
Allergic rhinitis	4	8	1.1
Diabetes	4	8	1.1
Urinary tract infection	4	8	1.1
Cancer in development	2	4	0.5
Behaviour disorders	2	4	0.5
Non-suppurative otitis media	1	2	0.3
Anaemia	1	2	0.3
All	61*	224	30.4

*All families had one or more of these problems.

TABLE V—Cross tabulation of family consultation index (quartiles) with presence or absence of significant disease in any child

	Consultation index				Total
	Low	Medium	High	Total	
Any significant disease present at least once	7	11	20	23	61
No significant disease present	21	16	10	5	52

$\chi^2 = 22.26$, 3 df, $p < 0.0001$.

TABLE VI—Differences in sociodemographic variables between highest and lowest consulting families (high and low quartiles)

Variable	Mean (high consulting families)	Mean (low consulting families)	t	p
Age of youngest child	4.0	4.3	0.07	NS
% of children	1.0	0.8	0.84	NS
Mothers' educational level (scale of 1 to 4)	2.0	1.9	1.1	NS
Fathers' educational level (scale of 1 to 4)	2.42	2.10	1.61	NS
Economic factor	0.25	0.54	-3.18	0.002
Non-suppurative otitis media	1.3	1.6	-0.42	NS

*See text.

NS = Not significant.

"disease variable" was far more important a predictor of overall consulting rate than any socioeconomic variables when all variables were analysed by multiple regression. Not only do children attend the doctor when a serious problem is present, but they attend more often for other problems when a serious problem has been present.

The relation of some of the social and economic variables to the consultation index are shown in table VI. Families have been divided into quartiles according to their consultation index, and the highest and lowest consulting quartiles compared for the variables shown. The economic factor was derived by factor analysis from three variables, an economic index (financial state, employment state), a housing index (area, standard of housing, and overcrowding), and social class (Registrar General's classification). The scenario action score was based on whether or not the mother would consult in 10 hypothetical situations. Higher consulting families were found to be statistically significantly poorer, the mothers having less formal education, but family size and age of children or mother were not significantly different. The mothers' "tendency to consult," according to the scenario score, was higher in higher consulting families, the difference being independent of all other variables on multivariate analysis.

Discussion

Consultation rates for children in general practice are higher than for other age groups (apart from very old people). A small part of the excess is due to the substantial amount of routine preventive care not carried out by some general practitioners; in our study this accounted for 28% of all contacts in children under 2 and much less for older children. Either younger children are perceived to suffer more illness than older children and adults, or mothers of younger children are more readily, or both. Evidence for the first of these was obtained from health diaries kept by mothers during this study, in which important age related trends in symptom perception and frequency of episodes were found corresponding to the trends in consulting rates. Evidence for the second hypothesis is provided by Locker⁸ and by Robinson⁹ in their in depth studies of how families deal with symptoms.

Our results also show that families with higher use with lower socioeconomic status. This seems to conflict with Blaxter's results, which showed less use by poorer families.² The difference may be explained by the sampling methods used. Whereas our study population was biased slightly towards the upper social classes, compared with the general population, Blaxter's study was based only on "working class" families, within which there was a greater degree of deprivation than in our group. Her detailed study of this deprived group undoubtedly shows a lack of recognition of children's illnesses, and a reluctance to consult doctors among the "most deprived" families, a distinction that our study was too broad to identify.

Our finding that a "doctor defined" significant diagnosis is more highly associated with consulting rates than any social or economic measure is important, if only to set in context the reported associations between such measures and use. Though it may be obvious that children with "significant diagnoses" will have seen their doctor, we have shown that these children and their siblings attend more often with other, less important, complaints. The perceived threat of any symptom is heightened by the previous experience of a significant disease.

We acknowledge the support and advice of Professor J D B Knox and Mrs Christine Mason, and the statistical advice of Mr G. Ogden. This work was supported by a grant from the Health Services Research Committee of the Chief Scientist Organisation, Scottish Home and Health Department.

References

- Robinson D. *The process of becoming ill*. London: Routledge & Kegan Paul, 1971.
- Locker D. Chapter 6. *Symptoms and illness: the cognitive organisation of disorder*. London: Tavistock Publications, 1981.
- Blaxter M, Patterson E. *Mothers and daughters: a three-generational study of health attitudes and behaviour*. London: Heinemann, 1982.
- Collins R, Klein R. *Longitudinal study of health, self-reported morbidity, access, and primary care*. *Br Med J* 1980;280:1111-5.
- ICDPC/2: International Classification of Health Problems in Primary Care. 2nd ed. Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1979.
- Campion PD, Gabriel J. Assessment of mothers' intentions to consult for children's symptoms.
- Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Morbidity statistics from general practice: second national study 1970-1*. London: HMSO, 1974.
- Howie JGR. Patterns of work. In: Fry J, ed. *Trends in general practice*. London: BMA 1979:22-35.
- Robinson D. General Practitioners. *Healthier children—thinking prevention*. Report from General Practice No 22. London: RCGP, 1982:73-8.

(Accepted 8 February 1984)

Department of General Practice, University of Dundee, Westgate Health Centre, Dundee DD2 4AD

PETER D CAMPION, BM, MRCP, lecturer

JENNET GABRIEL, MA, research assistant

Correspondence to: Dr P D Campion.

© 1984 British Medical Association

0954-6820/84/0028-1426\$10.00

Downloaded from <http://www.bmj.com/> on 26 April 2023 by guest. Protected by copyright.