Comment

At 71 our patient was slightly older than any previously reported patient with Down's syndrome. His remarkable longevity reflects both the attention he received from his sister, avoiding the hazards of institutional care, and the general improvement in the long term survival of patients with Down's syndrome over the past 20-30 years.¹⁻³ In Japan the life expectancy of a cohort with Down's syndrome born between 1966 and 1975 has been estimated to be 50 years, although in other reports the improvement in survival has been much less dramatic. It is of enormous importance in the "costing" (in human and social terms) of this important syndrome that patients such as ours are now living to 70 and beyond. Read recently claimed that the incidence of Down's syndrome is increasing and suggested that this may be related to the use of oral contraceptives,⁴ although most workers agree that the incidence of the syndrome has decreased in recent years. This decrease, however, will not be accompanied by an equal fall in prevalence, which in some areas is actually expected to rise.³ This almost certainly results from a combination of improved medical and other care for infants and children, particularly in institutions for the mentally handicapped, where patients have tended to do less well than at home, and of improved cardiac surgery, as cardiac lesions have been responsible for a large proportion of the early mortality in Down's syndrome.

An increased incidence of oesophageal carcinoma is fairly well established in patients with coeliac disease. Such carcinoma may develop many years after the symptoms of gluten sensitivity first occur, and this tendency to malignant change may not be affected by adherence to a gluten free diet.

To our knowledge oesophageal carcinoma has not been reported in Down's syndrome. The association of Down's syndrome and coeliac disease has been reported once previously.⁵

¹ Øster J, Mikkelsen M, Nielsen A. Mortality and life table in Down's syndrome. *Acta Paediatr Scand* 1975;64:322-6.

² Deaton JG. The mortality rate and causes of death among institutionalised mongols in Texas. *J Ment Defic Res* 1973;17:117-22.

³ Mulcahy MT. Down's syndrome in Western Australia: mortality and survival. *Clin Genet* 1979;16:103-8.

⁴ Read SG. The distribution of Down's syndrome. *J Ment Defic Res* 1982;26:215-27.

⁵ Bentley D. A case of Down's syndrome complicated by retinoblastoma and coeliac disease. *Pediatrics* 1975;56:131-3.

(Accepted 12 July 1983)

Royal United Hospital, Bath BA1 3NG

S J L HOWELL, BM, BCH, house physician
K J FOSTER, MD, MRCP, senior registrar in medicine and endocrinology
J RECKLESS, MD, MRCP, consultant physician and honorary senior lecturer in biochemistry, University of Bath

Correspondence to: Dr S J L Howell, house physician, Royal Liverpool Hospital, Liverpool L7 8XP.

Myopotential inhibition of unipolar pacemakers

Myopotential inhibition of pacemakers is an important cause of recurrent dizziness and syncope in patients with permanent pacemakers.¹ Muscle potentials from the adjacent pectoralis major activate the sensing amplifier of the pacemaker, causing inhibition and recycling.^{2,3} Repeated sensing and recycling lead to protracted inactivity of the pacemaker and recurrence of the patient's original symptoms. We report the clinical presentation of myopotential inhibition, useful tests for confirming the diagnosis, and the various techniques available for its management.

Patients, methods, and results

Thirteen men aged 39-87 (mean 65 (SD 14.5)) and three women aged 47-65 (mean 58 (10)) presented during 1982 with recurrent dizziness or syncope after implantation of permanent pacemakers. All pacemakers were of the unipolar ventricular demand type and were sited subcutaneously anterior to one or other pectoralis major muscle. Models included Teletronics 150L, 150B, 161, Optima, Optima MP; Cardiac Pacemaker 0521, 0523; and Vitatron C2121.⁴

Symptoms appeared one to 36 months (mean 11.4 (9.6) months after implantation. All were associated with movements of the arms that involved the pectoralis major underlying the pacemaker. These movements included washing, drying, and scratching under the opposite axilla; digging, hoeing, and raking soil; cutting a hedge with garden shears; sweeping leaves; shovelling snow; removing a pullover; getting out of a chair; lifting objects such as a pile of books, a car battery, a full kettle; washing and combing hair; peeling vegetables; turning a steering wheel; pushing and pulling a glass swing door; and hanging wallpaper. One man experienced near syncope on hugging his wife, and a university lecturer complained of dizziness when gesturing or drawing with his left hand. A traffic policeman, whose pacemaker was implanted in the right pectoral region, collapsed unconscious while removing his notebook from his left breast pocket at the scene of a traffic offence. Walking while wearing his heavy overcoat also produced dizziness.

Electrocardiograms were taken while the patients repeated those movements of the arms that were associated with symptoms or performed isometric exercises using the arm muscles on the same side of the body as the pacemaker. Characteristically, the electrocardiograms showed loss of the pacing artefact and ventricular asystole or a return to the non-paced cardiac rhythm (figure). The skeletal myopotentials responsible were usually seen

Electrocardiogram showing myopotential inhibition caused by washing under the left axilla. The muscle potentials (arrow) lead to recycling of the timing circuit, loss of the pacing artefact, extreme bradycardia, and dizziness.

on the electrocardiogram during the period when the pacemaker was inactive. Tracings of diagnostic quality were best obtained with a recorder with three channels and by attaching the limb leads to the patient's back with adhesive electrodes.

Six patients chose to try and avoid the precipitating movements. Six had their pacemakers changed to multiprogrammable units; these patients, together with two already possessing such units, had their symptoms abolished by an asynchronous pacing programme. One patient was cured by a low sensitivity programme and one by changing to a ventricular triggered unit.

Comment

We have found that myopotential inhibition occurs in up to 40% of patients dependent on pacemakers, 15% of whom are symptomatic, one half seriously enough to warrant corrective intervention. The clinical presentation and diagnostic tests should be appreciated by all those responsible for patients with pacemakers. Holter monitoring may be useful but is not routinely necessary.⁵ Failure to recognise the symptoms can lead to delay in diagnosis and requests for inappropriate and expensive investigations.

For symptomatic patients avoiding the movements responsible may be sufficient. Alternative techniques include reducing the sensitivity of the pacemaker or reprogramming the pacemaker from a ventricular demand to ventricular triggered or asynchronous mode. Replacing the unipolar system by a bipolar system that will not sense local myopotentials is a further option. Implantation of pacemakers with such multiprogrammable capability would obviate the need for further surgical intervention in patients with serious myopotential inhibition of the pacemaker.

¹ Wirtzfeld A, Lampadius M, Ruprecht E. Unterdrückung von Demand-Schrittmachern durch Muskelpotenziale. *Dtsch Med Wochenschr* 1972; 97:61-6.

² Berger R, Jacobs W. Myopotential inhibition of demand pacemakers: etiologic, diagnostic and therapeutic considerations. *PACE* 1979;2: 596-602.

³ Secemsky SI, Hauser RG, Denes P, Edwards LM. Unipolar sensing abnormalities: incidences and clinical significance of skeletal muscle interference and undersensing in 228 patients. *PACE* 1982;5:10-9.

⁴ Levine PA, Caplan CH, Klein MD, Brodsky SJ, Ryan TJ. Myopotential inhibition of unipolar lithium pacemakers. *Chest* 1982;82:461-5.

⁵ Brivik K, Ohm OJ. Myopotential inhibition of unipolar QRS-inhibited (VVI) pacemakers assessed by ambulatory Holter monitoring of the electrocardiogram. *PACE* 1980;3:470-8.

(Accepted 20 July 1983)

Regional Cardiothoracic Unit, Broadgreen Hospital, Liverpool L14 3LB

DAVID R RAMSDALE, MD, MRCP, senior registrar
RICHARD G CHARLES, MB, MRCP, consultant cardiologist

Correspondence to: Dr R Ramsdale.