PRACTICE OBSERVED

New Idea

Practice sharing: a way of providing services in unpopular areas?

J C BIGNALL

Over the past 20 years much effort has been spent on improving general practice. The specialty's academics have won a broad measure of agreement on the sort of service that general practitioners should be providing for patients, and the National Health Service has backed this up with the appropriate sticks and carrots. Yet there are still practices that provide unacceptably bad services for their patients. Most of these are in inner city or decayed industrial areas, where it has proved hard to recruit general practitioners. This is understandable: patient demand is high, proper premises difficult to find, and there are obvious problems in bringing up a family in a community that is socially disrupted, maybe even violent, and inadequately provided with facilities for education or recreation. But the people living there have as much right to—and probably a greater need for—a good general practitioner service than those who enjoy more salubrious surroundings.

For many years the NHS has relied on offers of inducement payments to tempt general practitioners into unpopular areas. Many of those who have taken the bait have overcome the disadvantages, survived, and prospered. Unfortunately, insufficient numbers of the new generation of vocationally trained general practitioners, who are conditioned by their apprenticeship in teaching practices to expect facilities that just do not exist in such places, are prepared to accept the bait. Although there is now a considerable number of aspiring general practitioners looking for jobs, many family practitioner committee administrators in urban and industrial areas cannot find suitable applications to fill vacancies in their "black spots." The following fictitious account of "practice sharing" is not intended to provide an instant

The Health Centre, Newport, Dyfed SA42 0TJ
J C BIGNALL, MR, MR, general practitioner

solution but is an attempt to suggest a more flexible approach to a problem that is by no means confined to Britain: the idea arose out of discussion of an identical dilemma in Italy.

Dr Urbanicus and Dr Rusticus

Outside the Wall End Surgery in Blackton there is a list of doctors. Two names are permanently recorded. The third is a removable plate that alternately bears the names of Dr Rusticus and Dr Urbanicus. There is a similar board outside the surgery premises in the rural village of Woldham, 150 miles from the city. Dr Urbanicus and Dr Rusticus, general practitioners in both Blackton and Woldham, are the pioneers of practice sharing in Britain.

Dr Urbanicus had struggled in the Blackton practice for five years before the pressures of inner city life began to get him down. He was on the point of resigning and seeking a less demanding job in more pleasant surroundings when he met Dr Rusticus, who, after spending a similar period as a general practitioner in Woldham, 30 miles from the nearest district hospital, was getting bored and out of touch with advances in medical treatment. The two decided to do locums in each other's practices. Dr Urbanicus unwound contentedly in sleepy Woldham, and Dr Rusticus was invigorated by the challenge of the city. Their respective families also enjoyed the change of scene, and it was they who suggested that Dr Urbanicus and Dr Rusticus should find a way of permanently sharing their work.

The doctors agreed that merely making guest appearances in either practice would not be satisfying in the long term. They needed to share the responsibility for the care of the patients and the administration. After protracted negotiations with their partners, the two family practitioner committees, and the Department of Health an agreement was reached whereby Dr Urbanicus and Dr Rusticus were admitted by the family

1550

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 286 14 MAY 1983

practitioner committees as joint principals (with no increase in their basic remuneration) and undertook to provide, between them, a partner's share of general practitioner services in each area.

Both doctors admit that the initial difficulties were formidable. Commuting between Blackton and Woldham and maintaining accommodation in each place was expensive; agreeing a duty rota that did not conflict with their family responsibilities seemed, at times, to be almost impossible. But by the end of the first year they had begun to settle down, and after two years count the experiment a success.

In Dr Urbanicus's words: "Blackton and Woldham are completely contrasting areas, but our shared experience allowed one practice to fertilise ideas in the other. For example, I learnt from Woldham the importance of taking time to find out about the sort of lives our Blackton patients lead, and Rusticus has humanised our appointments system." Dr Rusticus agrees: "In Blackton I've had the chance to visit hospitals and postgraduate centres. I'm taking an interest in medicine again and I think my old patients in the country appreciate that. Urbanicus has done wonders with our administrative paperwork in Woldham."

Now that they are used to the rota their families are also enthusiastic. Mrs Urbanicus remarks: "The kids miss their father when he's away, but we go up whenever we can. He's a

lot easier man to live with now." The family practitioner committees asked the community health councils in both areas to question a sample of the patients affected. They found some complaints about the lack of continuity in care but these were more than balanced by the praise for improvements that had come about in both practices. Expressing an unofficial view, the administrator of the Blackton family practitioner committee remarked wryly, "The two halves of two doctors who are keen on their work is better than a whole one who isn't, and a lot better than no doctor at all."

Dr Urbanicus sums up: "We didn't think of practice sharing for the patients' sake. We did it because we were both in a rut, but I think they've felt the benefit as much as we have. It could work for other general practitioners, maybe even within a town doctors could share practices between the 'good end' and the 'bad end,' not just to see how the other half lives, but as a way of being useful to the people who most need our services. I doubt whether anyone else will be tempted to try it, though, unless the National Health Service gives financial support. At present it is costing us both a packet. Couldn't the Royal College of General Practitioners take it up? I've heard them disapprove of the standards of practice in places like Blackton. Disapproval on its own will get nowhere. I reckon it's time they got down to doing something constructive."

Practice Research

Chlamydia trachomatis and lower urinary tract symptoms among women in one general practice

PETER BURNLEY, W S MARSON, MARY EVANS, T FORSEY

Urinary frequency and dysuria are common symptoms among women. In a survey of women in the Rhondda Fach, Waters estimated that 22% of women aged 20 to 64 years had had dysuria in the previous 12 months.¹ The second national morbidity survey² estimated an annual reported incidence of "acute cystitis" of 44 per 1000 women aged between 15 and 44 years.

Although dysuria and frequency are commonly associated

with urinary tract infection, less than 50% of women with these symptoms can be shown to have severe bacteriuria.³ Those who cannot be shown to have bladder bacteriuria are said to have abacterial cystitis or "urethral syndrome." Several explanations have been suggested for this syndrome and various causes of urethral symptoms associated with *Chlamydia trachomatis* have been suggested.

Abacterial cystitis is in some ways analogous to the syndrome of "non-gonococcal urethritis" found in men, which is also characterised by dysuria, frequency, and urine which is sterile on routine culture. Between 52% and 68% of cases of non-gonococcal urethritis have been associated with *Chlamydia trachomatis*.⁴

Since the isolation of *C. trachomatis* from the urethra of two women with symptoms of frequency and dysuria,⁵ several case-control studies have associated the organism with lower urinary tract symptoms in women with odds ratios (approximate relative risks) ranging from 5.4 to 9.1.⁶⁻¹¹ (Sorbie J. Chlamydia: an important cause of urogenital symptoms in women. North American Primary Care Research Group, Nevada, March 1981.) Stamm *et al*⁶ have also shown that doxycycline is more effective than a placebo in the treatment of women with lower urinary tract symptoms associated with *C. trachomatis*. Furthermore, Paavonen⁷ noted a higher prevalence of urinary tract symptoms in women when *C. trachomatis* was isolated from the urethra than when the organism was isolated only from the cervix.

Department of Community Medicine, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London SE1 7EH
PETER BURNLEY, MR, MR, lecturer in community medicine

Department of General Practice, St Thomas's Hospital Medical School, London SE1 7EH
W S MARSON, MR, MR, senior, general practitioner and senior lecturer in general practice

MARY EVANS, research administrator
Sub-department of Virology, Institute of Ophthalmology, London WC1H 9QS
T FORSEY, MR, research associate

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 286 14 MAY 1983

1551

The aim of this study was to test whether an appreciable number of women who complained of lower urinary tract symptoms in general practice had a genitourinary tract infection with *C. trachomatis*.

Methods

All women aged 16-44 years who were not pregnant and presented at the Lambeth Road group practice between February 1981 and January 1982 with symptoms of urinary frequency or dysuria were included in the study. The control group consisted of asymptomatic women who came for cervical cytology or for family planning advice over the same period. The women's histories and the results of physical examinations and urine cultures were recorded on a pre-coded form. The practice nurse taught the women how to provide a specimen of urine (midstream contamination and a dipstick was taken and sent to the laboratory for culture.¹²

Secretions were taken from the cervix on using a dry cellulose sponge.¹³ These were assayed for type specific IgA and IgG against *C. trachomatis*^{14,15} using pooled antigens in a modified microminor-fluorescent test. Blood was not taken because this was not thought justifiable in general practice.

Using Exogen sterile cotton wool swabs,¹⁶ specimens were taken from the cervix and from the urethra, put into transport medium, and frozen in a portable liquid nitrogen container at -170°C for transport to the laboratory. These specimens were cultured on

TABLE I—Symptoms and details of women studied

	Symptomatic women (n=84)	Asymptomatic women (n=39)
Age (years) (median)	25	27.7
Married (%)	42	41
Children	10	87
House		
Owner occupied (%)	16	0
Rental authority housing (%)	10	76
Local authority housing (%)	20	21
Not recorded (%)	0	2
Contraceptive use		
Intrauterine contraceptive device (%)	42	51
Other (%)	19	20
None (%)	13	23
Not recorded (%)	7	5
Past history of "cystitis"		
Not in past year (%)	44	13
Never (%)	32	26
Not recorded (%)	2	10

TABLE II—Results of dipstick test for 122 women according to symptoms

Results	Symptomatic women (n=84)	Asymptomatic women (n=39)
Positive	35*	4
Contaminated	36	10
Missing	13	3
Total Not tested	84	39

*Includes three with *C. albicans* and two with bacterial counts 100 000/ml (see text).

TABLE III—Evidence of infection with *C. trachomatis* in 123 women according to lower urinary tract symptoms

	Symptomatic women (n=84)				Asymptomatic women (n=39)				All women (n=123)			
	Positive	Total	NT*	Prevalence (%)	Positive	Total	NT*	Prevalence (%)	Positive	Total	NT*	Prevalence (%)
Cervical IgA titre >1:8	1	81	3†	1.2 (0.7-10.4)	0	39	0	0.0 (0-9.0)	1	120	3†	0.8 (0-2.5)
Cervical IgG titre >1:8	1	81	3†	1.2 (0.7-10.4)	0	39	0	0.0 (0-9.0)	1	120	3†	0.8 (0-2.5)
Urethral culture	0	52	32	0.0 (0-6.8)	0	25	14	0.0 (0-13.7)	0	77	46	0.0 (0-2.5)

*NT = Not tested or test invalid.

†Three women had had hysterectomies.

1552

BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL VOLUME 286 14 MAY 1983

evidence of infection were examined by culturing specimens from both the cervix and the urethra. This is circumstantial evidence that the group whose culture results are known had a higher rather than a lower overall prevalence of infection.

The culture method used in this study is very sensitive. It was successful in growing *C. trachomatis* from the cervix of 90% of women with sexually transmitted paratuberculosis,¹⁷ and from 68% of men with severe non-specific urethritis.¹⁸ Although culture is less sensitive in chronic infections,¹⁹ 88% of the symptomatic women in this study had had symptoms for less than a week. The assay of genital tract secretions for local antibody is more specific than the estimation of antibody concentrations in blood,²⁰ and local antibodies do not seem to persist for as long after infection as serum antibodies do.

The discovery of such a low prevalence of chlamydial infection in this group of women with lower urinary tract symptoms leaves open the question whether *Chlamydia* may be a common cause of such symptoms in populations with a higher overall prevalence of the organism. The prima facie evidence for thinking that the organism could cause these symptoms has been summarised already. The epidemiological evidence is, however, contradictory and not altogether satisfactory since it is based on three case-control studies.

Case-control studies are notoriously susceptible to bias,²¹ particularly in the selection of control. Of the three studies mentioned, Stamm *et al* used volunteers as controls.⁶ Sorbie (Chlamydia: an important cause of urogenital symptoms in women. North American Primary Care Research Group, Nevada, March 1981) excluded women with vaginal symptoms from the controls but not from the cases, and Weil *et al* chose their controls from a different clinic.¹¹ Some studies have looked at the prevalence of chlamydial infection in groups of women selected as a single sample rather than as separate samples of cases and controls. Six of these⁷⁻¹⁰ have reported the prevalence of symptoms in both the infected and uninfected groups. None of these studies supports an association between lower urinary tract symptoms and chlamydial infection. Arya *et al* also claim to have found no association between chlamydial infection and lower urinary tract symptoms in women in a similar study,²² though they gave no figures. All these studies may be criticised, but in particular they all looked at chlamydial infection only and were drawn from less general populations than the case-control studies.

Conclusions

The results of our study show that lower urinary tract symptoms reported by women in one British general practice are not commonly associated with chlamydial infection. This may be because the overall prevalence of *C. trachomatis* in this group of women is low—between 1% and 4%. It is still possible that there is a high prevalence of the organism in this area when an important cause of "abacterial cystitis." Though chlamydial infections in women with these symptoms are few, facilities available at present for isolating the agent. The low prevalence of the organism in this study suggests that *C. trachomatis* should not be sought routinely in women with lower urinary tract symptoms in general practice when there are no other indications of chlamydial infection, such as cervicitis or suspected pelvic inflammatory disease. Since the strength and nature of any association between chlamydial infection and lower urinary tract symptoms are still unclear, a policy should also be adopted in practices with a high prevalence of *C. trachomatis* has yet to be determined.

We thank the patients, doctors, and nurses of the group practice for their help, and our colleagues for their comments on drafts of this paper. We also thank Mr P. Hensley of the Institute of Ophthalmology, who did the chlamydial infections, and the Department of Microbiology at St Thomas's Hospital, for culturing the dipsticks. This study was in part supported by a grant from the Department of Health and Social Security.

cycloheximide treated McCoy cells.²³ The cultures were stained by Giemsa's method and examined after 68 to 72 hours.²⁴

Results

During the year 2213 women aged 16 to 45 were registered at the practice. Of these, 123 were examined and 84 had lower urinary tract symptoms. The other 39 were asymptomatic and had come for cervical cytology or for family planning advice and were included as controls. Of the 84 women presenting with symptoms, five had frequency, 12 had dysuria, and 67 had both. Seventy four (88%) of these women had had symptoms for less than a week. None of the 39 women in the control group had either dysuria or frequency. The characteristics of the women in the two groups are compared in table I.

Dipsticks were obtained from 122 of the women in the study (table II) and provided a possible explanation for the symptoms of 15 of the 84 symptomatic women (42%). Thirty had "significant bacteriuria," defined as pure bacterial growth >100 000 organisms/ml; two had pure bacterial growth <100 000 organisms/ml; and three showed infections with *Candida albicans*. Four of the 38 asymptomatic women who had dipsticks taken also had "significant bacteriuria" as defined above.

Table III summarises the evidence for chlamydial infection. Cervical secretions were obtained to estimate antichlamydial antibodies from 120 of the 123 women in the study. The other three women had had hysterectomies; all had lower urinary tract symptoms but all had negative chlamydial cultures from the urethra, and two had *Escherichia coli* infections of the urine. Three of the symptomatic women, but none of the asymptomatic women had antibodies against *C. trachomatis* types D-K. Two of these women, who had low titres of IgG (1:8) and no detectable IgA, had negative dipsticks. The other woman, who had a higher titre of IgG (1:32) and a low titre of IgA (1:8), had a "contaminated" dipstick. She was the only woman in the study who had a positive chlamydial culture, and this was from the cervix only.

The last batch of specimens for chlamydial culture went missing between the practice and the laboratory. A further 16 cervical and 13 urethral cultures failed to produce a valid result because the cell cultures did not survive. Of the 75 valid cervical cultures, only one was positive. None of the 77 valid urethral cultures was positive.

Table III also shows that the estimated prevalence of genital tract infection with *C. trachomatis* in women presenting with lower urinary tract symptoms is between 1% and 4%. If those women whose symptoms could be accounted for by the loss of some of the specimens had been excluded, this estimate would be increased to roughly 2 to 6%.

Discussion

In this survey evidence of a low prevalence of chlamydial infection was found in women presenting with lower urinary tract symptoms in a London group practice. Of the three women with evidence of chlamydial infection, two had negative results on the dipstick test, and the third, who had the most convincing evidence of infection with *C. trachomatis*, had a contaminated dipstick. No evidence was found of past or present infection with *C. trachomatis* in 39 asymptomatic women.

The low prevalence of chlamydial infection in this study is unlikely to be due to the loss of some of the specimens. The missing specimens were from the last group of patients to be seen at the end of the study. All three women with serological

References

- Waters WE. Prevalence of symptoms of urinary tract infection in women. *Br J Prev Soc Med* 1960;23:263.
- Royal College of General Practitioners and Office of Population Censuses and Surveys. *Morbidity statistics from general practice 1972-1974*. London: HMSO, 1976.
- Brooks D, Mauder A. Pathogenesis of the urethral syndrome in women and its diagnosis in general practice. *Lancet* 1972;i:90-8.
- Medical Research Council Bacteriuria Committee. Recommended terminology of urinary tract infection. *Br J Urol* 1979;41:71-6.
- Atkinson D. The urethral syndrome. [Editorial]. *Br Med J* 1977;ii:943-4.
- Grayston JT, Ward S-P. New knowledge of *Chlamydia* and the diseases they cause. *J Infect Dis* 1975;132:87-109. (Quoting an unpublished study by Dr James Galt.)
- Alan D, Darougar S, Burns MacDuff, Thin RN, Dunn H. Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from the male urethra. *Br J Vener Dis* 1977;53:88-92.
- Dunlop E, Darougar S, Hare MJ, Trehan J, Dwyer RSC. Isolation of *Chlamydia* from the urethra of a woman. *Br J Vener Dis* 1972;48:386.
- Tait JA, Rees E, Jamieson RM. Urethral syndrome associated with chlamydial infection of the urethra and cervix. *Br J Urol* 1978;50:425.
- Stamm WE, Wagner KJ, Ames R, *et al*. Causes of the acute urethral syndrome in women. *N Engl J Med* 1980;302:409-15.
- Weil A, Gaudin R, Bergeron L, Schulte B. Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from women with urethral syndrome. *Acta Gynecol* 1981;229:326-31.
- Stamm WE, Running K, McKevitt M, Counts GW, Turk M, Holmes KK. Treatment of the acute urethral syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1982;306:950-6.
- Paavonen J. *C. trachomatis* induced urethritis in female partners of men with non-gonococcal urethritis. *Sex Transm Dis* 1978;57:69-71.
- Guttmann D, Naylor GR, De-Silva, an aid to quantitative urine culture in general practice. *Br Med J* 1967;ii:343-5.
- Trehan JD, Darougar S, Simmons PD, Thin RN. Rapid diagnosis of chlamydial infection of the cervix. *Br J Vener Dis* 1978;54:86-8.
- Wang S-P, Grayston JT. Immunologic relationship between genital TRIC, lymphogranuloma venereum and related organisms in a new microtitre indirect immunofluorescence test. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1970;70:367-74.
- Trehan JD, Darougar S, Jones BR. Modification of the microimmunofluorescence test to provide a more serodagnostic for chlamydial infection. *J Clin Pathol* 1977;30:510-7.
- Dunlop EMC, Vaughan-Jackson JD, Darougar S. Chlamydial infection, improved methods of collection of material for culture from the urogenital tract and return. *Br J Vener Dis* 1972;48:421-4.
- Darougar S, Jones BR, Kinross JR, Vaughan-Jackson JD, Dunlop EMC. Chlamydial infection, advances in diagnostic isolation of chlamydia using TRIC agent, from the eye, genital tract, and rectum. *Br J Vener Dis* 1972;48:416-20.
- Kips KT, March P-A. New simplified culture technique for *Chlamydia trachomatis*. In: Hobson D, Holmes KK, eds. *Non-gonococcal urethritis and related infections*. Washington DC: American Society for Microbiology, 1977.
- Darougar S, Kinross JR, Jones BR. Simplified irradiated McCoy cell culture for isolation of *Chlamydia*. In: Nichols RL, eds. *Chlamydia and related diseases*. Amsterdam: Excerpta Medica, 1971.
- Komatsu A, Fredlund G. The dysuria-pain syndrome. *N Engl J Med* 1980;302:352.
- Monnickendam MA, Darougar S, Trehan JD, Tibbitts AM. Development of chronic cervicitis with vaginal symptoms and resulting in trachoma in guinea-pigs. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1980;64:284-90.
- Schachter J, Cle L, Ray R, Hines PA, et al. Failure of serology in diagnosing chlamydial infection of the female genital tract. *J Clin Microbiol* 1979;10:64-9.
- Stett DE. Bias in analytic research. *J Clin Res* 1972;21:51-63.
- Nayyar KC, O'Neill JJ, Hambling MH, Waugh MA. Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* from women attending a clinic for sexually transmitted diseases. *Br J Vener Dis* 1979;55:390-2.
- Ghadarian DF, Robson HG. *Chlamydia trachomatis* genital infections. *Br J Vener Dis* 1978;54:415-8.
- Tait JA, Rees E, Hobson D, Byng RE, Tweddie MCK. Chlamydial infection of the cervix in contacts of men with non-gonococcal urethritis. *Br J Vener Dis* 1980;56:324-8.
- Jonahsson G, Lowenbagen G-B, Lyck E. Genital *Chlamydia trachomatis* infection in women. *Obstet Gynecol* 1980;56:671-5.
- Sale M, Jones BR, Kinross JR, Darougar S. Isolation of *Chlamydia trachomatis* cervical infections in female adolescents. *J Pediatr* 1981;98:861-5.
- Svensson L, Westrom L, March P-A. *Chlamydia trachomatis* in women attending a gynaecological outpatient clinic with lower genital tract infection. *Br J Vener Dis* 1981;57:291-2.
- Arya OP, Maitinon H, Goddard AD. Epidemiological and clinical correlates of chlamydial infection of the cervix. *Br J Vener Dis* 1981;57:118-23.

(Accepted 1 March 1983)