pruritus recurred within two and six weeks and was associated with reduced mean corpuscular volume (<75 fl) and serum ferritin concentrations (<20 $\mu\text{g/l}$). Iron treatment was restarted and continued for only two to four weeks after the pruritus had cleared.

Discussion

Pruritus occurs in up to 60% of patients with polycythaemia vera.¹ Classically it is aggravated by hot baths, and in some patients it interferes substantially with either sleep or daytime activities during summer. There appears to be no real correlation between the severity of polycythaemia vera and the itching. Histamine has long been regarded as a major mediator of this symptom,⁵ but the failure of histamine antagonists to control pruritus in most patients, together with the presence of hyper-histaminaemia in the absence of pruritus in other disorders,⁵ suggest that other factors play a part. Iron deficiency has been cited as a cause of generalised pruritus^{6,7}; and some degree of iron deficiency, shown by absent marrow iron, is almost universal in polycythaemia vera.³ Surprisingly, however, iron deficiency is not mentioned in any report as a possible cause for the pruritus in this disease.

In two previous reports examining the association of iron deficiency and pruritus^{8,9} a favourable effect of iron treatment on the pruritus of two patients with polycythaemia vera was noted. These reports, however, did not give pertinent haematological details.

All six patients reported on here had documented polycythaemia vera with severe pruritus. During this period of heightened pruritus severe iron deficiency was present in all cases, and with oral iron treatment this abated over two to three weeks. In two of three patients requiring venesection because of a progressive rise in packed cell volume pruritus returned and

values of haematological variables indicated iron deficiency. Treatment with iron resulted in an amelioration of this symptom. Since chronic iron treatment may result in progressive increases in the red cell mass we do not advocate indiscriminate use of iron in all patients with polycythaemia vera and pruritus. Only in those with severe symptoms and evidence for iron deficiency may iron be of help, and our current practice is to continue such treatment for only two to three weeks after the symptom has abated.

This study was supported in part by grants from the National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia.

References

- Winkelmann RK, Muller SA. Pruritus. *Ann Rev Med* 1964;15:53-64.
- Chanarin I, Szur L. Relief of intractable pruritus in polycythaemia rubra vera with cholestyramine. *Br J Haematol* 1975;29:669-70.
- Berlin NI. Diagnosis and classification of the polycythaemias. *Semin Hematol* 1975;12:339-51.
- Dacie JV, Lewis SM, eds. *Practical haematology*. 5th ed. Edinburgh: Churchill Livingstone, 1975.
- Gilbert HS, Warner RRP, Wasserman LR. A study of histamine in myeloproliferative disease. *Blood* 1966;28:795-806.
- Sneddon IB, Church RE. *Practical dermatology*. 2nd ed. London: Edward Arnold, 1971:125.
- Rook AJ, Wilkinson DS, Ebling FJG. *Textbook of dermatology*. 2nd ed. Oxford: Blackwell, 1972:1799.
- Sneddon IB, Garretts M. The significance of low serum iron levels in the causation of itching. In: Jadassohn W, Schirren CG, eds. *Proceedings of XIII international congress of dermatology, Munich*. Berlin: Springer, 1968:1061-3.
- Vickers CFH. Nutrition and the skin: iron deficiency in dermatology. In: Ledingham JCG, ed. *Proceedings of tenth symposium on advanced medicine*. London: Royal College of Physicians, 1974:311-5.

(Accepted 24 May 1982)

Postoperative analgesia with controlled-release morphine sulphate: comparison with intramuscular morphine

D FELL, A CHMIELEWSKI, G SMITH

Abstract

Fifty patients undergoing hysterectomy or cholecystectomy took part in a trial of postoperative analgesia provided by either intramuscular morphine or controlled-release morphine sulphate tablets orally. Respiratory function and plasma catecholamine concentrations were measured after operation and pain was assessed by using a linear analogue scoring method. Controlled-release morphine sulphate produced comparable pain relief with that of intramuscular morphine, and depression of respiratory function after operation was similar with the two analgesic regimens. The mean total dose of drug per patient given over 48 h to patients undergoing

hysterectomy was 115 mg for morphine sulphate and 53 mg for morphine. Patients undergoing cholecystectomy received 130 mg morphine sulphate or 76 mg morphine. There was more sedation after operation in those patients undergoing hysterectomy who received morphine sulphate tablets.

Morphine sulphate tablets produced satisfactory postoperative analgesia compared with intramuscular morphine: both regimens were acceptable to the patients.

Introduction

Relief of postoperative pain is unsatisfactory despite vigorous attempts to apply recently developed analgesics and newer administration techniques. Epidural techniques after operation have become more popular and the administration of opiates in place of local anaesthetic drugs through the epidural catheter has provided some advantages.^{1,2} In addition, the administration of opiate analgesics by continuous intravenous infusion³ or patient-operated demand techniques⁴ has been advocated. These methods are relatively expensive, however, and require close supervision of the patient or the apparatus to monitor side effects or malfunction. Thus, the use of conventional intra-

Leicester Royal Infirmary, Leicester LE1 5WW

D FELL, FFARCS, senior registrar in anaesthesia
A CHMIELEWSKI, FFARCS, senior registrar in anaesthesia

University Department of Anaesthesia, General Hospital, Leicester LE5 4PW

G SMITH, MD, FFARCS, professor of anaesthesia

muscular opiates remains the most common method for postoperative analgesia. Most recently, the administration of buprenorphine sublingually⁶ has gained popularity, largely because of ease of administration.

Morphine has recently become available as an oral preparation in slow-release form (MST Continus, Napp Laboratories), which achieves more sustained blood concentrations than intramuscular morphine sulphate⁷ and offers considerable advantages in terms of ease of administration. We compared morphine sulphate tablets in the treatment of postoperative pain with conventional intramuscular morphine administered on demand. Because of the well-recognised difficulties in quantifying pain we used respiratory function testing—an objective assessment that correlates with analgesia in the postoperative period⁸—as well as linear analogue scoring.

Patients and methods

Informed consent to this study was obtained from 50 otherwise healthy patients undergoing hysterectomy (38 patients) or cholecystectomy (12 patients). Respiratory function tests were performed before operation by using the Gould-Godard expirograph and functional residual capacity computer. Measurements were made with the patient in a sitting position and forced expiratory volume in 1 s (FEV₁), functional residual capacity (FRC), and peak expiratory flow rate (PEFR) were recorded. A sample of venous blood was obtained and analysed for baseline concentrations of noradrenaline and adrenaline using high-performance liquid chromatography.⁹

All patients received a standard premedication and anaesthetic technique. Diazepam 10–20 mg was given orally one and a half hours before operation, and general anaesthesia was induced by using intravenous thiopentone, maintained with nitrous oxide in oxygen and supplemented with intravenous morphine 10 mg. Volatile agents were not used. Intermittent positive-pressure ventilation was used with a non-depolarising muscle relaxant.

TABLE I—Details of patients studied. Results are means $\pm$ SEM

	No	Age (years)	Sex M F	Height (cm)	Weight (kg)
Patients after hysterectomy:					
Morphine sulphate tablets	21	41.5 $\pm$ 1.2	21	164.2 $\pm$ 1.4	65.9 $\pm$ 2.7
Morphine	17	37.3 $\pm$ 1.7	17	161.2 $\pm$ 2.1	62.1 $\pm$ 2.5
Patients after cholecystectomy:					
Morphine sulphate tablets	5	50.8 $\pm$ 5.3	2 3	168.8 $\pm$ 4.0	72.6 $\pm$ 2.8
Morphine	7	42.1 $\pm$ 5.5	1 6	157.3 $\pm$ 1.9	59.8 $\pm$ 3.0

TABLE II—Linear analogue pain scores (on scale of 0–10, 0 representing no pain, 10 maximum pain) in patients 24 and 48 hours after operation. Results are means $\pm$ SEM

	Patients after hysterectomy		Patients after cholecystectomy	
	Morphine sulphate tablets (n = 21)	Intramuscular morphine (n = 17)	Morphine sulphate tablets (n = 5)	Intramuscular morphine (n = 7)
Day 1	3.8 $\pm$ 0.5	3.9 $\pm$ 0.6	3.5 $\pm$ 0.9	4.9 $\pm$ 1.5
Day 2	2.8 $\pm$ 0.5	3.9 $\pm$ 0.6	3.0 $\pm$ 0.5	4.9 $\pm$ 1.3

TABLE III—Decrease in results of pulmonary function tests (expressed as percentage of preoperative values) in patients 24 and 48 hours after operation. Results are means $\pm$ SEM

	Forced expiratory volume in 1 s		Forced vital capacity		Peak expiratory flow rate	
	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2	Day 1	Day 2
Patients after hysterectomy:						
Morphine sulphate tablets	22.7 $\pm$ 3.6	14.7 $\pm$ 6.3	20.3 $\pm$ 3.4	18.1 $\pm$ 4.0	24.7 $\pm$ 3.7	15.3 $\pm$ 6.1
Intramuscular morphine	28.3 $\pm$ 4.5	18.2 $\pm$ 3.9	28.8 $\pm$ 4.0	18.3 $\pm$ 3.4	32.1 $\pm$ 7.4	27.1 $\pm$ 5.5
Patients after cholecystectomy:						
Morphine sulphate tablets	45.2 $\pm$ 7.3	38.3 $\pm$ 5.2	45.3* $\pm$ 4.9	40.3 $\pm$ 4.9	31.7 $\pm$ 11.1	26.9 $\pm$ 7.9
Intramuscular morphine	56.0 $\pm$ 5.5	49.5 $\pm$ 5.5	59.2 $\pm$ 3.8	52.5 $\pm$ 4.2	46.9 $\pm$ 11.2	36.8 $\pm$ 12.4

*p < 0.05 comparing morphine sulphate tablets with intramuscular morphine.

All patients were allocated at random to receive either intramuscular morphine or morphine sulphate tablets for postoperative analgesia. Those patients weighing less than 70 kg received 10 mg intramuscular morphine or 20 mg morphine sulphate tablets, those 70 kg or heavier 15 mg intramuscular morphine or 30 mg morphine sulphate tablets. For both routes, morphine was given by the nursing staff as required in the conventional manner, since duration of action of morphine sulphate tablets in postoperative use had not been established.

Patients were assessed about 24 and 48 h after operation. Pain was assessed subjectively by a linear analogue method using a 10 cm line where zero represented no pain and 10 cm represented the maximum conceivable pain.¹⁰ Linear analogue scores were also obtained for sedation, nausea, and dizziness. Testing was timed to coincide so far as possible with the midpoint of the interval between analgesic administration and the subjective quality assessed for that time. Pulmonary function tests were performed in the same manner as before operation with the patients in a sitting position, and venous blood samples were obtained for measurement of catecholamine concentrations.

Wilcoxon's rank sum test was used for linear analogue scores. Other results were analysed by using Student's *t* test.

Results

Table I shows the physical characteristics of the two patient groups.

There were no significant differences in pain scores in either group of the patients receiving intramuscular morphine and those receiving morphine sulphate tablets (table II).

Table III shows the depression of respiratory function after operation compared with before. There was a small but non-significant difference in the extent of depression of FEV₁ produced by intramuscular morphine in comparison with morphine sulphate tablets, but FVC was significantly more depressed after operation by intramuscular morphine than by morphine sulphate tablets in the cholecystectomy group on day 1.

Table IV shows the plasma catecholamine concentrations measured before and after operation. There was a significant increase in plasma noradrenaline concentration after operation for each analgesic in the hysterectomy group. Plasma adrenaline concentration was increased on the first postoperative day only in patients undergoing hysterectomy. In the cholecystectomy group there was only a small non-significant change. There was no significant difference between the two analgesics in respect of plasma adrenaline concentration.

Linear analogue scores for nausea or dizziness were similar for both analgesics. Morphine sulphate tablets produced significantly more sedation on both day 1 and day 2 in patients after hysterectomy than intramuscular morphine (table V).

The mean total dose of morphine received by patients undergoing hysterectomy over the first 48 h after operation was 53 mg for intramuscular morphine and 115 mg for morphine sulphate tablets. For patients undergoing cholecystectomy the mean total dose in 48 h was 76 mg for intramuscular morphine and 130 mg for morphine sulphate tablets.

A questionnaire designed to assess patient acceptability of analgesia⁶ showed an equal degree of satisfaction with each mode of treatment.

Discussion

This study has shown that morphine sulphate tablets produced satisfactory postoperative analgesia after hysterectomy

TABLE IV—Plasma catecholamine concentrations before operation and 24 and 48 h after operation in patients undergoing hysterectomy and cholecystectomy

	Patients undergoing hysterectomy			Patients undergoing cholecystectomy		
	Before operation	Day 1	Day 2	Before operation	Day 1	Day 2
Morphine sulphate tablets	1.50 ± 0.16 (n = 21)	3.12** ± 0.32	2.41** ± 0.26	<i>Noradrenaline (nmol/l)</i>		
Intramuscular morphine	1.30 ± 0.11 (n = 17)	3.20** ± 0.44	3.00** ± 0.65	2.16 ± 0.53 (n = 5)	3.09 ± 0.63	3.69 ± 0.85
Total	1.41 ± 0.10 (n = 38)	3.16** ± 0.26	2.67** ± 0.32	1.78 ± 0.33 (n = 7)	2.43 ± 0.63	1.77 ± 0.30
Morphine sulphate tablets	0.18 ± 0.02 (n = 21)	0.26 ± 0.05	0.20 ± 0.03	<i>Adrenaline (nmol/l)</i>		
Intramuscular morphine	0.21 ± 0.03 (n = 17)	0.26 ± 0.03	0.25 ± 0.04	0.26 ± 0.03 (n = 5)	0.25 ± 0.04	0.30 ± 0.03
Total	0.19 ± 0.02 (n = 38)	0.26* ± 0.03	0.22 ± 0.03	0.16 ± 0.03 (n = 7)	0.37 ± 0.14	0.14 ± 0.04
				0.20 ± 0.03 (n = 12)	0.33 ± 0.09	0.21 ± 0.04

*p < 0.05. **p < 0.01.

Conversion: SI to traditional units—Noradrenaline: 1 nmol/l ≈ 0.17 mg/100 ml. Adrenaline: 1 nmol/l ≈ 0.18 mg/100 ml.

TABLE V—Linear analogue scores for sedation (on scale of 0-0, 10 representing no sedation and 10 maximum sedation) 24 and 48 h after operation

	Patients after hysterectomy		Patients after cholecystectomy	
	Morphine sulphate tablets (n = 21)	Intramuscular morphine (n = 17)	Morphine sulphate tablets (n = 21)	Intramuscular morphine (n = 17)
Day 1	7.5** ± 0.5	5.0 ± 0.7	4.0 ± 0.9	5.6 ± 1.1
Day 2	4.6** ± 0.7	2.0 ± 0.5	2.8 ± 1.3	3.8 ± 1.4

**p < 0.01 comparing morphine sulphate tablets and intramuscular morphine.

and cholecystectomy compared with that produced by the conventional intramuscular morphine regimen, as assessed by subjective linear analogue scoring. This is confirmed by the slightly smaller depression of FVC produced by morphine sulphate tablets compared with that produced by morphine. As expected, there was a correlation between depression of respiratory function tests and linear analogue pain scores after operation.

Until now, morphine sulphate tablets have been used primarily to provide analgesia for the pain of advanced cancer and satisfactory results have been obtained by using 10 mg tablets (R G Twycross, S D Fairfield, personal communication). Recently, Hanks *et al*¹¹ used morphine sulphate tablets unsuccessfully for relief of postoperative dental pain, but they did not use a loading dose intravenously as we did. In addition, it is likely that higher and more sustained plasma concentrations were achieved in our study as a result of frequent postoperative administration (inspection of prescription sheets showed that morphine sulphate 20 mg tablets were given almost regularly every six hours). Bercowitz¹² has suggested that morphine concentrations in plasma of 50 µg/l are required for analgesia, though there are limitations in such a statement because of considerable individual variation.¹³ This concentration is attained by intramuscular morphine in a dose of 10 mg/70 kg,¹³ and by morphine sulphate tablets 20 mg.⁶ In our study, a dose of morphine sulphate tablets of 30 mg was given to patients exceeding 70 kg bodyweight and this may have contributed to the more successful analgesia in this study.

The frequency of nausea and dizziness was similar for both analgesic regimens, but morphine sulphate tablets appeared to make patients much more sleepy than intramuscular morphine. Both regimens, however, proved equally acceptable to the patients.

Because of the loss of morphine owing to first-pass metabolism after oral ingestion and absorption, it has been suggested that the potency ratio of morphine sulphate tablets to intramuscular morphine is approximately 1 to 2. This is supported by our findings that about twice the dose of the oral drug was administered by the nursing staff at their own discretion and the assessment of the patient compared with the intramuscular form.

A greater advantage theoretically to the regular oral administration of morphine sulphate tablets is the likelihood of less fluctuation in plasma morphine concentrations, and this warrants further pharmacokinetic studies (currently in progress). Plasma catecholamine concentrations were measured in this study in an attempt to measure the extent of postoperative stress by an objective index. The catecholamine response to intraoperative stress may be blocked by the use of large doses of narcotic analgesics.¹⁴ There was no correlation, however, between the increase in plasma catecholamine concentrations after operation and the linear analogue pain scores. This suggests that the rise of catecholamine concentrations after operation is produced by several factors in addition to pain.

This work was supported by a grant from the Trent Regional Health Authority.

References

- Reiz S, Ahlin J, Ahrenfeldt B, Andersson M, Andersson S. Epidural morphine for postoperative pain relief. *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1981; 25:111-4.
- Rutter DV, Skewes DG, Morgan M. Extradural opioids for postoperative analgesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1981;53:915-9.
- Church JJ. Continuous narcotic infusion for the relief of postoperative pain. *Br Med J* 1979;ii:977-9.
- White WD, Pearce DJ, Norman J. Postoperative analgesia: a comparison of intravenous on-demand fentanyl with epidural bupivacaine. *Br Med J* 1979;iii:166-7.
- Fry ENS. Relief of pain after surgery. A comparison of sublingual buprenorphine and intramuscular papaveretum. *Anaesthesia* 1979;34:549-51.
- Ellis R, Haines D, Shah R, Cotton BR, Smith G. Pain relief after abdominal surgery—a comparison of im morphine, sublingual buprenorphine and self-administered iv pethidine. *Br J Anaesth* 1982 (in press).
- Leslie ST, Rhodes A, Black FM. Controlled release morphine sulphate tablets—a study in normal volunteers. *Br J Clin Pharmacol* 1980;9: 531-4.
- Bromage PR, Camporesi E, Chestnut D. Epidural narcotics for postoperative analgesia. *Anesth Analg (Cleve)* 1980;59:473-80.
- Fell D, Achola K, Smith G. Plasma catecholamines in anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 1982;54:231P.
- Revill SI, Robinson JO, Rosen M, Hogg MIJ. The reliability of a linear analogue for evaluating pain. *Anaesthesia* 1976;31:1191-8.
- Hanks GW, Rose NM, Aherne GW, Pfall EM, Fairfield S, Trueman T. Controlled release morphine tablets—a double-blind trial in dental patients. *Br J Anaesth* 1981;53:1259-63.
- Bercowitz BA, Ngai SH, Yang JC, Hampstead J, Spector S. The disposition of morphine in surgical patients. *Clin Pharmacol Ther* 1975;17:629-35.
- Bercowitz BA. The relationship of pharmacokinetics to pharmacological activity: morphine, methadone and naloxone. *Clin Pharmacokinet* 1976; 1:219-30.
- Stanley TH, Berman L, Green O, Robertson D. Plasma catecholamine and control responses to fentanyl-oxygen anesthesia for coronary artery operations. *Anesthesiology* 1980;53:250-3.

(Accepted 26 May 1982)