- ³ Luft FC, Rankin LI, Bloch R, *et al.* Cardiovascular and humoral responses to extremes of sodium intake in normal black and white man. *Circulation* 1979;**60**:697-706.
- ⁴ Romoff MS, Kensch G, Campese VM, *et al.* Effect of sodium intake on plasma catecholamines in normal subjects. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 1979;**48**:26-31.
- ⁵ Burstyn P, Hornall D, Walchorn C. Sodium and potassium intake and blood pressure. *Br Med J* 1980;**281**:537-9.
- ⁶ Parijs J, Joosens JV, Van der Linden L, Verstrchan G, Amery AKPC. Moderate sodium restriction and diuretics in the treatment of hypertension. *Am Heart J* 1973;**85**:22-34.
- ⁷ Morgan T, Gillies A, Morgan G, Adam W, Wilson M, Carney S. Hypertension treated by salt restriction. *Lancet* 1978;*ii*:227-30.
- ⁸ Fujita T, Henry WL, Bartter FC, Lake RC, Delea CS. Factors influencing blood pressure in salt-sensitive patients with hypertension. *Am J Med* 1980;**69**:334-44.
- ⁹ Borst JG, Borst-de Geus A. Hypertension explained by Starling's theory of circulatory homeostasis. *Lancet* 1963;*ii*:677-82.
- ¹⁰ George CF, Lewis PJ, Petrie A. Clinical experience with use of ultrasound sphygmomanometer. *Br Heart J* 1975;**37**:804-7.
- ¹¹ Roulston JE, MacGregor GA. Measurement of plasma renin activity by radioimmunoassay after prolonged cold storage. *Clin Chim Acta* 1978;**88**:45-8.
- ¹² James VHT, Wilson GA. Determination of aldosterone in biological fluids. In: Reid E, ed. *Assay of drugs and other trace compounds in biological fluids. (Methodological developments in biochemistry. Vol 5.)* Amsterdam: Elsevier/North Holland, 1976:149-58.
- ¹³ Sullivan JM, Ratts TE, Taylor CJ, *et al.* Haemodynamic effects of dietary sodium in man. A preliminary report. *Hypertension* 1980;**2**:506-14.
- ¹⁴ Schechter PJ, Horwitz D, Henkin RI. Sodium chloride preference in essential hypertension. *J A M A* 1973;**225**:1311-5.
- ¹⁵ Schalekamp MADH, Schalekamp-Kuyken MPA, Birkenhager WH. Abnormal renal haemodynamics and renin suppression in hypertensive patients. *Clin Sci* 1970;**38**:101-10.
- ¹⁶ Parfrey PS, Wright P, Ledingham PS. Prolonged isometric exercise. Part 1: Effect on circulation and on renal excretion of sodium and potassium in mild essential hypertension. *Hypertension* 1981;**3**:182-7.
- ¹⁷ Parfrey PS, Wright P, Ledingham PS. Prolonged isometric exercise. Part 2: Effect on circulation and on renal excretion of sodium and potassium in young males genetically predisposed to hypertension. *Hypertension* 1981;**3**:188-91.
- ¹⁸ Laragh JH, Baer L, Brunner HR, Buhler FR, Sealey BS, Darracott-Vaughan E. Renin, angiotensin and aldosterone system in pathogenesis and management of hypertensive vascular disease. *Am J Med* 1972;**52**:633-52.
- ¹⁹ Campese VM, Myers MR, De Quattro V. Neurogenic factors in low renin essential hypertension. *Am J Med* 1980;**69**:83-91.
- ²⁰ de Wardener HE, MacGregor GA. Dahl's hypothesis that a saluretic substance may be responsible for a sustained rise in arterial pressure: its possible role in essential hypertension. *Kidney Int* 1980;**18**:1-9.
- ²¹ MacGregor GA, Fenton S, Alaghband-Zadeh J, Markandu ND, Roulston JE, de Wardener HE. An increase in a circulating inhibitor of Na⁺-K⁺-ATPase—the link between salt intake and the development of essential hypertension. *Clin Sci Mol Med* (in press).

(Accepted 20 May 1981)

Poor sensitivity of multiple-gated blood-pool imaging in diagnosing left ventricular aneurysms

A P ALLEN, I PEART, D N TAYLOR, B R CONDON, B C OGILVIE, J W FRANK, H SUTTON, D M ACKERY

Abstract

A study was carried out to determine the accuracy of multiple-gated blood-pool imaging in diagnosing left ventricular aneurysm. Fifteen patients with an aneurysm and 17 with left ventricular hypokinesia were studied by contrast ventriculography and multiple-gated blood-pool imaging. The results of blood-pool imaging were examined blind by five independent observers, the results of contrast ventriculography being used as the standard. The mean sensitivity of the procedure was 56%, the specificity 61%, and diagnostic accuracy 59%.

These results indicate that contrast ventriculography remains the best method for diagnosing left ventricular aneurysms. Moreover, ventriculography provides additional information—for example, on wall thickness—not provided by multiple-gated blood-pool imaging.

Introduction

Ischaemic heart disease may be associated with global left ventricular hypokinesia (left ventricular “bag”) or localised impairment of motion of the ventricular wall (an aneurysm). Differentiation between these two conditions is important as a patient with an aneurysm may benefit from cardiac surgery. Such differentiation cannot be done clinically,^{1 2} and at present contrast ventriculography performed at cardiac catheterisation is the only method that will reliably distinguish the two conditions. This procedure has a small but important morbidity and mortality, so in recent years non-invasive radionuclide techniques have been examined as alternatives. It is important to determine whether radionuclide dynamic imaging is as successful as conventional contrast ventriculography in distinguishing between left ventricular aneurysms and left ventricular bags. This study was designed to evaluate the use of equilibrium radionuclide blood-pool imaging in making this distinction.

Patients, methods, and results

Thirty-two patients underwent contrast ventriculography in the right anterior oblique position and multiple-gated blood-pool imaging in both the right and left anterior oblique positions. Only patients with either an aneurysm (15) or a left ventricular bag (17) were included. In each case the result of multiple-gated blood-pool imaging was examined by five independent observers (with no knowledge of the result of contrast ventriculography) and classified as “aneurysm” or “not aneurysm.” An aneurysm was defined as a region of akinesia or dyskinesia with good function in the remainder of the left ventricle. Diagnostic accuracy was defined as the percentage of patients correctly identified using contrast ventriculography as the standard. The table shows the results of the study for each observer.

Southampton General Hospital, Southampton SO9 4XY

A P ALLEN, MB, BS, senior house officer in nuclear medicine and cardiology
 I PEART, MB, MRCP, registrar in cardiology
 D N TAYLOR, BTECH, PHD, senior physicist
 B R CONDON, BSC, MSC, physicist
 B C OGILVIE, MRCP, FRCP, consultant radiologist
 J W FRANK, MSC, FRCP, consultant radiologist
 H SUTTON, MB, MS, senior registrar in nuclear medicine
 D M ACKERY, MB, MSC, consultant in nuclear medicine

Sensitivity, specificity, and diagnostic accuracy of multiple-gated blood-pool imaging for each of five observers

Observer	Sensitivity (%)	Specificity (%)	Diagnostic accuracy (%)
1	40	55	50
2	58	62	59
3	60	64	63
4	60	59	59
5	60	64	63
Mean	56	61	59

Discussion

These results show that multiple-gated blood-pool imaging achieved a low diagnostic accuracy. This was common to all five observers, even though only two diagnoses were possible, which ruled out the possibility of appreciable observer error. In one of two cases when all observers were in agreement their interpretation was incorrect.

These results may possibly reflect poor technique, but we think this unlikely since we paid scrupulous attention to optimisation of factors such as the choice of collimator, the orientation of the camera, counting statistics, subtraction of background, correction for arrhythmias, and matrix filtering. An analysis of regional wall motion using this radionuclide method³ showed that motion of the basal segments of the left ventricle could not be assessed as accurately as motion of the distal segments.

We conclude that multiple-gated blood-pool imaging cannot detect accurately the presence of a left ventricular aneurysm. A possible reason for this is that in the right anterior oblique position the overlapping right ventricular activity may mimic or obscure good basal left ventricular contraction, the recognition of which is essential for the diagnosis of an aneurysm.

These disappointing results do not support the accuracy reported for detection of left ventricular aneurysm, which has been as high as 96%.⁴ The reason for this difference is not clear although patient selection and varying techniques may be partially responsible. One alternative technique measures the first pass of a bolus of activity through the ventricle using a multicrystal gamma camera.⁵ This delineates the left ventricle without right ventricular overlap. This imaging device, however, is not widely available. In the future tomographic techniques using conventional gamma cameras may help to overcome the problem of right ventricular overlap. At present contrast ventriculography remains the best method for diagnosing left ventricular aneurysms; it also provides additional information on wall thickness, loss of normal trabecular pattern, and the presence of thrombus. These features may help in the specific diagnosis. Our study shows that multiple-gated blood pool imaging should not be used to screen patients for contrast ventriculography.

References

¹ Rigo P, Murray M, Strauss HW, Pitt B. Scintiphotographic evaluation of patients with suspected left ventricular aneurysm. *Circulation* 1974;**50**: 985-91.

² Graber JD, Oakley CM, Pickering BN, Goodwin JF, Raphael NJ, Steiner RE. Ventricular aneurysm. An appraisal of diagnosis and surgical treatment. *Br Heart J* 1972;**34**:830-8.

³ Harris DNF, Taylor DN, Garvie, NW, Sharratt GP, Ackery DM, Conway N. Left ventricular segmental wall motion: a comparison between equilibrium radionuclide angiography and cineangiography. *Nuclear Medicine Communications* 1980;**1**:78-82.

⁴ Winzelberg GG, Strauss HW, Bingham JB, McKusick KA. Scintigraphic evaluation of left ventricular aneurysm. *Am J Cardiol* 1980;**46**:1138-43.

⁵ Dymond DS, Jarritt PH, Britton KE, Spurrell RAJ. Detection of post-infarction left ventricular aneurysms by first-pass radionuclide ventriculography using a multicrystal gamma camera. *Br Heart J* 1979;**41**:68-78.

(Accepted 11 June 1981)

A CUSTOM has long prevailed among physicians, of prognosticating, as they call it, the patient's fate, or foretelling the issue of the disease. Vanity no doubt introduced this practice, and still supports it, in spite of common sense and safety of mankind. I have known a physician barbarous enough to boast, that he pronounced more sentences than all his Majesty's judges. Would to God that such sentences were not often equally fatal! It may indeed be alleged, that the doctor does not declare his opinion before the patient. So much the worse. A sensible patient had better hear what the doctor says, than learn it from the disconsolate looks, the watery eyes, and the broken whispers of those about him. It seldom happens, when the doctor gives an unfavourable opinion, that it can be concealed from the patient. The very embarrassment which the friends and attendants shew in disguising what he has said, is generally sufficient to discover the truth.

KIND Heaven has, for the wisest ends, concealed from mortals their fate; and we do not see what right any man has to announce the death of another, especially if such a declaration has a chance to kill him. Mankind are indeed very fond of prying into future events, and seldom fail to solicit the physician for his opinion. A doubtful answer, however, or one that may tend rather to encourage the hopes of the sick, is surely the most safe. This conduct could neither hurt the patient nor the physician. Nothing tends more to destroy the credit of physic than those bold prognosticators, who, by the bye, are generally the most ignorant of the faculty. The mistakes which daily happen in this way are so many standing proofs of human vanity, and the weakness of science.

WE readily admit, that there are cases where the physician ought to give intimation of the patient's danger to some of his near connexions; though even this ought always to be done with the greatest caution: but it never can be necessary in any case that the whole town and country should know, immediately after the doctor has made his

first visit, that he has no hopes of his patient's recovery. Persons whose impertinent curiosity leads them to question the physician with regard to the fate of his patient, certainly deserve no better than an evasive answer.

THE vanity of foretelling the fate of the sick is not peculiar to the faculty. Others follow their example, and those who think themselves wiser than their neighbours often do much hurt in this way. Humanity surely calls upon every one to comfort the sick, and not to add to their affliction by alarming their fears. A friend, or even a physician, may often do more good by a mild and sympathizing behaviour than by medicine, and should never neglect to administer that greatest of all cordials, HOPE.

NEXT to milk, we would recommend good light bread. Bread may be given to a child as soon as it shews an inclination to chew; and it may at all times be allowed as much plain bread as it will eat. The very chewing of bread will promote the cutting of the teeth, and the discharge of saliva, while, by mixing with the nurse's milk in the stomach, it will afford an excellent nourishment. Children discover an early inclination to chew whatever is put into their hands. Parents observe the inclination, but generally mistake the object. Instead of giving the child something which may at once exercise its gums and afford it nourishment, they commonly put into its hands a piece of hard metal, or impenetrable coral. A crust of bread is the best gum-stick. It not only answers the purpose better than any thing else, but has the additional properties of nourishing the child and carrying the saliva down to the stomach, which is too valuable a liquor to be lost.

(Buchan's *Domestic Medicine*, 1786.)