CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondents are asked to be brief

Treatment of Anaphylactic Shock M. W. Greaves, M.D., and G. Holti, M.D. 398	Encouraging Recruits to Medicine J. A. Lourie; Susan E. Mitchley, M.B. 402	Restless Legs W. E. Beer, M.R.C.P.ED. 405
Decline of the Necropsy E. Samuel, F.R.C.S., F.R.C.P.ED.; S. Ua Conchubhair, M.B., D.P.H. 398	Vascular Lesions of Eclampsia N. G. Sanerkin, M.D., F.R.C.PATH. 403	Diagnosis of Malaria C. C. Draper, D.T.M.&H. 405
Ophthalmoscope as Viscosimeter S. Behrman, F.R.C.P. 399	Long-acting Phenothiazines in Schizophrenia J. L. Th. M. Vereecken, M.D., and A. Tanghe, M.D. 403	Depressive Illness in Children H. C. Cameron, M.R.C.P., D.P.M. 405
Mind and Childbirth E. E. Philipp, F.R.C.O.G. 399	Postpartum Coagulation Failure L. Courtney, M.R.C.O.G. 403	Vaccinia in a Patient with Acne P. W. M. Copeman, M.R.C.P. 405
Unnecessary X-rays W. B. Jennett, F.R.C.S.; F. R. Goodwin, F.R.C.S.ED.; F. C. Durbin, F.R.C.S. 399	Prolonged Fever in Bacterial Meningitis R. J. Fallon, M.D., M.R.C.PATH. 403	Physicians for Chest Diseases L. H. Harris, M.R.C.P.ED. 405
Oestrogens in Oophorectomized Women P. G. Bye, M.B.; E. Schleyer-Saunders, M.D.; J. M. Aitken, M.R.C.P., and D. McK. Hart, F.R.C.S.GLASG. 400	Angina Pectoris M. Ahmed, M.R.C.P. 404	Illiterate Doctors C. Wilcocks, F.R.C.P. 406
Salmonella cubana and Carmine Dye B. Rowe, D.T.M.&H., and others. 401	Methyldopa and Associated Thrombocytopenia Jean M. Webster, M.R.C.PATH. 404	Pancreatic Ascites B. J. Stoodley, F.R.C.S. 406
Cadmium Fume Poisoning R. M. Winston, M.R.C.PATH. 401	Blood Pressure and Bone Cement R. S. M. Ling, F.R.C.S., and M. L. James, F.F.A.R.C.S. 404	A Case of Confidence G. W. Theobald, F.R.C.O.G. 406
Homoeopathy J. M. Potter, F.R.C.S. 401	Dyspareunia N. G. Mussalli, M.R.C.O.G. 404	"Using the Laboratory" A. K. Clarke, M.B. 406
Buruli Ulcer in Ghana Anne C. Bayley, F.R.C.S. 401	Streptococcal Meningitis R. Freeman, M.B. 404	Functions of the G.M.C. Surgeon Lieutenant Commander D. S. Wright, D.P.H. 406
Use and Abuse of Thyroidectomy W. Michie, F.R.C.S.ED., and others. 402	Dose of Cytotoxic Drugs E. H. Porter, F.F.R. 405	G.M.C. Election M. Sorsby, L.M.S.S.A. 406
Ulcerative Colitis and Rectal Carcinoma J. C. Goligher, F.R.C.S., and F. T. de Dombal, F.R.C.S. 402	Herniotomy L. F. Tinckler, F.R.C.S. 405	Hospital Medical Staffs Defence Trust N. A. Simmons, M.R.C.PATH., and others. 406
		School Health Service D. J. Williamson, M.B., D.P.H. 406

Treatment of Anaphylactic Shock

SIR,—The Therapeutic Conference by Professor A. G. Macgregor and others on treatment of drug allergy (3 April, p. 37) contains some inaccurate and misleading statements.

Death in anaphylactic shock is caused by circulatory collapse due to loss of fluid from the intravascular compartment, severe bronchospasm, and, occasionally, laryngeal oedema.^{1,2} Parenteral antihistamines undoubtedly have an important place in the treatment of anaphylaxis, but Dr. R. A. Wood's assertion that in anaphylactic shock administration of chlorpheniramine on its own should rapidly improve the blood pressure and thereby ensure recovery is misleading. As Dr. Wood himself suggests, other autacoids besides histamine are involved in anaphylaxis. These include kinins,³ slow reacting substance,⁴ and possibly prostaglandins.⁵ Antihistamines will obviously not antagonize the effects of these mediators. Furthermore, as Dale has pointed out⁶ antihistamines are usually ineffective in antagonizing responses to locally liberated or "intrinsic" histamine. For these same reasons antihistamines are notoriously unsuccessful in relieving bronchospasm, which is a feature of anaphylactic shock and which was present in the patient described at the conference.

While it is true that glucocorticoids do not inhibit either antigen-antibody combination or release of the mediators of anaphylaxis we disagree with the Aberdeen speakers' claim that there is no theoretical basis for giving corticosteroids and that corticosteroids are useless in anaphylactic shock. Corticosteroids should work theoretically, since they

reduce vascular permeability, thus correcting loss of fluid into the extravascular compartment, and since they relieve allergic bronchospasm. There is also experimental evidence of their effectiveness in anaphylactic shock⁷ and we believe that intravenous corticosteroids coupled with parenteral fluid replacement may be life-saving in this situation. Adrenaline may be useful as a bronchodilator, but care must be exercised in its administration because of the risk of potentially fatal cardiac arrhythmias especially in the presence of ischaemic heart disease.

We agree that skin testing with penicillin is dangerous in patients with a history of anaphylaxis, but in their discussion Professor Macgregor and his colleagues omitted mention of the newer *in vitro* tests including the radio-allergosorbent test,⁸ the use of passively sensitized lung tissue,⁹ and histamine release from leucocytes,^{10,11} which might have been valuable in establishing the diagnosis of penicillin allergy in their patient.—We are, etc.,

MALCOLM W. GREAVES
G. HOLT

University Department of Dermatology,
Newcastle upon Tyne

¹ Austen, K. F., *Journal of the American Medical Association*, 1965, **192**, 108.

² Hanashiro, P. K., and Weil, M. H., *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 1967, **119**, 129.

³ Brocklehurst, W. E., and Lahiri, S. C., *Journal of Physiology*, 1962, **160**, 15P.

⁴ Brocklehurst, W. E., in *Giba Foundation Symposium on Histamine*, ed. G. E. W. Wolstenholme, and Celia M. O'Connor. p. 175. London, Churchill, 1956.

⁵ Piper, P. J., and Vane, J. R., in *Prostaglandins, Peptides and Amines*, ed. P. Mantegazza, and E. W. Horton. p. 15. London, Academic Press, 1969.

⁶ Dale, H. H., *British Journal of Dermatology*, 1950, **62**, 151.

⁷ Nelson, C. T., Fox, C. L., and Freeman, E. B., *Proceedings of the Society for Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 1950, **75**, 181.

⁸ Wide, L., Bennich, H., and Johansson, S. G. O., *Lancet*, 1967, **2**, 1105.

⁹ Assem, E. S. K., and Schild, H. O., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, **3**, 272.

¹⁰ Lichtenstein, L. M., and Osler, A. G., *Journal of Experimental Medicine*, 1964, **120**, 507.

¹¹ Perelmutter, L., and Eisen, A. H., *International Archives of Allergy and Applied Immunology*, 1970, **38**, 104.

Decline of the Necropsy

SIR,—Your leading article (24 April, p. 181) analyses some of the reasons for the fall from grace of this most important part of medical work.

Perhaps, however, part of the decline in importance may lie in the routine nature of the technique used, which has little if at all changed in this country over the last two decades. Part of this may lie in faulty contact between the clinician requesting and the pathologist undertaking the necropsy. A closer collaboration, as your leading article implies, would mean that confirmation or refutation of a clinical diagnosis could be established more quickly without a long wait while the routine necropsy is performed.

Equally, with regard to technique it is disappointing to see that newer postmortem rooms built have no facilities for radiology of the cadaver, a procedure that is used in some American institutions and which would contribute considerably to the facility and accuracy of necropsy studies.—I am, etc.,

ERIC SAMUEL

Department of Diagnostic Radiology,
Royal Infirmary,
Edinburgh