CORRESPONDENCE

Correspondents are asked to be brief

Oral Contraceptives and Cerebral Arterial Occlusion	
M. J. G. Harrison, D.M., and others	107
Bacteriuria in Pregnancy	
R. N. Grüneberg, M.R.C.PATH., and D. S. Reeves, M.R.C.PATH.; J. D. Williams, M.D.	107
Idiopathic Hypercalciuria and Hyperparathyroidism	
D. R. Davies, F.R.C.S., and others; W. M. Keynes, F.R.C.S.	108
Folic Acid in Epilepsy	
A. Richens, M.R.C.P.	109
Chromosome Aberrations after Exposure to Ultrasound	
W. T. Coakley, M.Sc., and others	109
Problems of Rectal Prolapse	
C. A. Wells, F.R.C.S.; J. Lloyd-Still, M.R.C.P., and others	110
Haemoptysis in Cystic Fibrosis	
G. Keen, F.R.C.S.	110

Resuscitation Too Late	
Eleonore M. Ettlinger, L.M.S.A.	110
Skin Disease and the Gut	
Janet M. Marks, D.M., and S. Shuster, F.R.C.P.	110
Depression and Oral Contraception	
W. D. Rees, M.B.	111
Cystic Degeneration of the Popliteal Artery	
H. H. G. Eastcott, F.R.C.S.	111
Spontaneous Rupture of Spleen	
A. Grech, F.R.C.S.	111
Home Haemodialysis	
S. Hewitt, D.P.H.	111
Allergy to Iprindole (Prondol) with Hepatotoxicity	
M. J. Aylett, M.B.	112
National Morbidity Survey	
Chapman Pincher	112
L.C.C. Medical Service	
D. C. Clark, F.F.A.R.C.S.	112

Doctors and Trade Unions	
P. A. T. Wood, M.D.	112
Seebohm Sequel	
B. Barnett, D.P.M.	113
Salmon and Cogwheel	
J. W. Paulley, F.R.C.P.; J. A. Shepherd, F.R.C.S.; J. J. Shipman, F.R.C.S.	113
Shortage of Technicians	
C. E. D. Taylor, F.R.C.PATH., and D. A. McSwiggan, M.R.C.PATH.; I. J. Y. Cook, F.I.M.L.T.	114
Technicians' Crisis	
L. Bowcock, M.B.	114
Proposed Increase in Dental Charges	
A. J. Walters, B.D.S., M.R.C.S.	114
Race and Commonwealth	
W. F. Ross, M.B.	114
Family Doctors' Pensions	
K. C. Hutchin, M.D.	115
Big Brother's Scrutiny	
M. D. Radford, M.B.	115

Oral Contraceptives and Cerebral Arterial Occlusion

SIR,—In view of the suspected causal relationship between the intake of oral contraceptives and cerebrovascular disease^{1,2} we have examined the case records of women aged between 18 and 45 suffering from angiographically proved cerebral arterial occlusions treated at this hospital between July 1965 and August 1969. Our study is a follow-up of the report by Illis *et al.*,³ which covered the previous four and a half years. As in that report, only radiologically confirmed cases have been considered. Clinical details have been reviewed and note made of any history of the use of contraceptives, which had been the subject of routine inquiry during the relevant period, and of any factors that might predispose to cerebrovascular disease.

Cerebral arterial occlusion was confirmed by angiography in 12 women aged between 18 and 45 years. One patient had mitral stenosis with renal and cerebral emboli, while another was puerperal. The remaining ten were free from evidence of hypertension or previous occlusive vascular disease. Four of the ten were known to be taking oral contraceptives at the time of their neurological illness. It was noteworthy that four of the five patients aged below 40 were on the "pill," compared with none of the five above this age. A control group is difficult to obtain but market research data (personal communication, M. P. Vessey) suggest that not more than one of the ten women would have been expected to be taking oral contraceptives.

Most published series show a similar association (see Table) though none was found by Jennett and Cross.⁷ The studies by Vessey and Doll¹ and by Inman and Vessey² included data on a control population and the association between cerebrovascular disease and oral contraceptives was shown to be statistically significant.

The temporal distribution of the present cases and those reported by Illis *et al.*³ shows that the incidence of angiographically proved arterial occlusions in women of child-bearing age has not increased during

the period covered by the two studies. Schoenberg *et al.*⁹ also noted that the annual incidence of occlusive cerebrovascular disease in young women had not increased since the introduction of oral contraceptives.

It thus seems that a definite relationship

Authors	Diagnostic Criterion	No. of Cases	No. on Pill
Vessey and Doll ¹	Clinical	19	11
Inman and Vessey ²	Death	10	5
Illis <i>et al.</i> ³	Certificate	18	4
Bickerstaff and Holmes ⁴	Angiography	25	18
Heyman <i>et al.</i> ⁵	Clinical	19	10
Bergeron and Wood ⁶	Angiography	9	8
Jennett and Cross ⁷	Angiography/Necropsy	26	1

between oral contraceptives and occlusive cerebrovascular disease is likely but is still not proved. Possibly oral contraceptives may reduce the number of pregnancies that would otherwise have been complicated by strokes⁸ and that they are to a certain extent replacing pregnancy as a risk factor.—We are, etc.,

M. J. G. HARRISON
L. S. ILLIS
P. D. LEWIS
W. I. McDONALD
R. S. KOCEN

National Hospital for Nervous Diseases,
London W.C.1

- 1 Vessey, M. P., and Doll, R., *British Medical Journal*, 1969, 2, 651.
- 2 Inman, W. H., and Vessey, M. P., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, 2, 193.
- 3 Illis, L. S., Kocen, R. S., McDonald, W. I., and Mondkaer, V. P., *British Medical Journal*, 1965, 2, 1164.
- 4 Bickerstaff, E. R., and Holmes, J. M., *British Medical Journal*, 1967, 1, 726.
- 5 Heyman, A., Arons, M., Quinn, M., and Campbell, L., *Neurology*, 1969, 19, 519.
- 6 Bergeron, R. T., and Wood, E. H., *Radiology*, 1969, 92, 231.
- 7 Jennett, W. B., and Cross, J. N., *Lancet*, 1967, 1, 1019.
- 8 Cross, J. N., Castro, P. O., and Jennett, W. B., *British Medical Journal*, 1968, 3, 214.
- 9 Schoenberg, B. S., Whisnant, J. P., Kurland, L. T., and Kempers, R. D., *Neurology*, 1969, 19, 291.

Bacteriuria in Pregnancy

SIR,—Your editorial on treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy (12 December, p. 631) describes disc-diffusion tests for determining the sensitivities of urinary pathogens to sulphonamides as being unsatisfactory. Such tests are often very badly done.¹ There are two main reasons for this: either the bacterial inoculum is too heavy; or media containing para-amino-benzoic acid are used. Both these technical errors (which apply equally to tube-dilution techniques) result in sensitive strains being reported as resistant. Such errors are readily avoided by (1) the use of appropriate media, free of para-amino-benzoic acid, (2) the control of inoculum density, and (3) the use of a known sensitive control organism in parallel with every test. Using such methods the results of sulphonamide sensitivity tests are reliable. In a published series which you quote,² the results of 60 sulphonamide disc-diffusion sensitivity tests were confirmed by a tube-dilution technique in all cases. Since the tube-dilution techniques have the same sources of error as the disc-diffusion methods, they are no more "satisfactory" than the latter. They do, however, measure the degree of sensitivity of the organism to the sulphonamide.

Your editorial rightly makes a plea for the detection and treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy but in doing so has fallen into error. In order to emphasize possible geographical variations in the sulphonamide sensitivity of urinary pathogens a comparison is made of series published by Williams and Smith³ and by Grüneberg and Brumfitt.² The first series dealt with bacteriuria in pregnancy, and the latter with acute symptomatic urinary tract infection in general practice. The cure rates in these two series were 55% and 88%. It has been shown that bacteriuria is easier to eradicate in patients in general practice than in pregnant women,⁴ whatever treatment is used, including conventional regimens of sulphonamides. Apart from host factors, this difference is partially due to a higher proportion of sulphonamide resistant orga-

nisms isolated from the pregnant patients when compared with women with acute "cystitis," both groups coming from the same geographical area.⁶ It cannot, therefore, be valid to make the comparison in your editorial as support for geographical variation in sulphonamide resistance.—We are, etc.,

R. N. GRÜNEBERG

Department of Bacteriology,
University College Hospital,
London W.C.1

D. S. REEVES

Department of Bacteriology,
St. Mary's Hospital Medical School,
London W.2

1 The Bacteriology Committee of the Association of Clinical Pathologists, *Journal of Clinical Pathology*, 1965, 18, 1.

2 Grüneberg, R. N., and Brumfitt, W., *British Medical Journal*, 1967, 3, 649.

3 Williams, J. D., and Smith, E. K., *British Medical Journal*, 1970, 4, 651.

4 Mond, N. C., Percival, A., Williams, J. D., and Brumfitt, W., *Lancet*, 1965, 1, 514.

5 Williams, J. D., Brumfitt, W., Leigh, D. A., and Percival, A., *Lancet*, 1965, 1, 831.

6 Williams, J. D., and Leigh, D. A., *British Journal of Clinical Practice*, 1966, 20, 177.

SIR,—I read your leading article on treatment of bacteriuria in pregnancy (12 December, p. 631) with interest but feel some points need clarification. The studies on treatment which we did in north London¹ did show that a high cure rate of bacteriuria was obtained with a short course of chemotherapy but there are certain features of the population in central areas of industrial cities which make the introduction of a single-dose regimen a necessity for many patients. Many are at work, have large families, and generally lead harassed lives. The addition of a further supply of tablets to their iron and folic acid, antiemetics, and aperients results in some variation in rate at which the tablets disappear. It is sometimes necessary to test the urines of patients for antimicrobials to confirm they are continuing treatment.

The reason why streptomycin was added to sulphonamide given to our patients was because of the relatively common occurrence of sulphonamide resistance in Birmingham. The effect of the streptomycin on these strains was shown in Table III of our paper (12 December, p. 652) and the figures (11 cleared out of 15 compared to 2 out of 11 with sulphonamide alone) are significant (p = less than 0.05).

Finally there are the problems of toxicity and teratogenicity. These cannot be dealt with adequately in a letter but important information on the skin reactions to long-acting sulphonamides was obtained during the epidemic of meningitis in Morocco in 1967, when 110,000 people were treated with sulphadoxine.² Marked differences were found between people treated with single doses and multiple doses. After multiple therapy, cutaneous reactions occurred in 1.4% of 61,318 patients, with 10 deaths. After single-dose therapy only 5 minor skin reactions were found in 36,673 patients—an incidence of 0.013%.—I am, etc.,

J. D. WILLIAMS

Department of Pathology,
Dudley Road Hospital,
Birmingham 18

1 Williams, J. D., Brumfitt, W., Leigh, D. A., and Percival, A., *Lancet*, 1965, 1, 831.

2 Bergeend, H., Löfller, A., Amar, R., and Maleville, J., *Annales de Dermatologie*, 1968, 95, 481.

Idiopathic Hypercalciuria and Hyperparathyroidism

SIR,—We welcome the attempts of Dr. P. Adams and others (5 December, p. 582) to develop provocative tests for the diagnosis of hyperparathyroidism but we are concerned at the implication in their paper that a large proportion of patients now diagnosed as suffering from idiopathic hypercalciuria will prove to have parathyroid adenomata. This is not our view nor is it our interpretation of the results they describe. In particular we question the validity of their normal range for serum calcium.

The demonstration of significant hypercalcaemia requires the careful determination of normal ranges in individual laboratories.^{1,2}

We base our normal range (8.9–10.2 mg/100 ml) on data obtained by our colleague Mrs. M. Forbes, and shown in the Figure. Plasma calcium levels were determined in duplicate using emission flame photometry in 73 normal volunteers after a full overnight fast. Reproducibility in determinations on the same specimen was $\pm 1\%$. The values for calcium were corrected for changes in plasma specific gravity.^{1,3} The subject with the value of 10.7 mg/100 ml has been kept under observation. His calcium level has risen slightly and his plasma phosphorus has fallen. We think he has hyperparathyroidism but since he remains well and free of symptoms

Distribution of plasma calcium in 73 normal volunteers. The values are corrected for changes in plasma specific gravity.^{1,3}

parathyroid surgery has not been undertaken. He clearly belongs to a different population from the other 72 subjects; hence our normal range of 8.9–10.2 mg/100 ml.

We consider that conclusions based on ranges of normal as wide as those described by Dr. Adams and his colleagues (9.0–10.7 mg/100 ml) are open to suspicion. Nor are we surprised that they found parathyroid tumours in some of the 19 patients they reported since most of these had fasting plasma calcium levels above 10.0 mg/100 ml. We suspect hyperparathyroidism in any patient with renal stones when the fasting plasma calcium is above 10.0 mg/100 ml in our laboratories. Among the first 300 patients with parathyroid tumours successfully diagnosed and treated at University College Hospital almost 100 had uncorrected fasting plasma calcium levels below 11.0 mg/100 ml. As a further diagnostic measure in these cases with marginal hypercalcaemia we determine the important plasma ionized calcium level using the method of Rose⁴ with modifications. While we entirely agree with the final conclusion of Dr. Adams and his colleagues that their 19 patients may represent two different populations, we think these might have been distinguished in large part by more adequate definition of their upper limit of normal for serum calcium and by direct determination of

the ionized calcium component. This would greatly lessen the number of indications for provocative tests.

During the last 20 years at University College Hospital and in consultation elsewhere we have seen only six proved cases of hyperparathyroidism with unequivocally normal total plasma calcium levels. In each of these definite, though always minimal, hypercalcaemia was demonstrated later, before surgical exploration was undertaken. During the same time among the many patients referred with renal stones we have seen about 50 patients with idiopathic hypercalciuria who had unnecessary and unrewarding surgical explorations of the neck performed elsewhere. Fortunately the number of these cases has been diminishing in recent years. We regret the implication (unsupported as we see it by adequate evidence) that perhaps half the patients diagnosed as idiopathic hypercalciuria may be suffering from hyperparathyroidism. We fear that this might lead to a new crop of unnecessary operations for hypercalciuria, a mistake we have not yet made ourselves.—We are, etc.,

D. R. DAVIES
C. E. DENT
LYAL WATSON

University College Hospital,
London W.C.1

1 Dent, C. E., *British Medical Journal*, 1962, 2, 1419, 1495.

2 Watson, L., in *Modern Trends in Endocrinology*, ed. H. Gardiner-Hill and F. T. G. Prunty. London: Butterworths, in press.

3 Dent, C. E., and Watson, L., *Lancet*, 1968, 2, 662.

4 Rose, G. A., *Clinica Chimica Acta* 1957, 2, 227.

SIR,—In the interesting paper from Cambridge (5 December, p. 582) hypercalcaemia was provoked in 5 of 19 patients with renal stone by phosphate deprivation. The mechanism by which alteration in dietary inorganic phosphate produces a change in urinary excretion of calcium has caused speculation, and we have recently added to this.¹

We gave a diet with a very low content of calcium and magnesium to normal adults, patients with hyperparathyroidism, and patients also with renal stones but without hyperparathyroidism, to see if we could distinguish so-called "idiopathic" hypercalciuria from "borderline" hyperparathyroidism. Similar marked renal conservation of both minerals occurred in all the subjects, and the test was a failure. It is also implied that the definition of hypercalciuria is not easy.

We recorded a significant drop in plasma calcium over 10 days on the diet, and as our patients with hyperparathyroidism showed normal renal conservation we agree with MacFadyen and colleagues² that lowered urinary calcium on reducing calcium intake can be explained by reduction in glomerular filtered load without change in tubular reabsorption. Variation in phosphate intake altered urinary calcium and magnesium in the normals and in hyperparathyroidism, and we think that this also can be attributed to change in filtered load on change in plasma calcium. Eisenberg³ has pointed out that decrease in urinary calcium on phosphate loading occurs in normocalcaemic hypoparathyroidism, so that the effect was unlikely to be due to change in tubular reabsorption from alteration in secretion of parathyroid hormone. The Cambridge group have shown lowered phosphate intake may raise the plasma calcium, and earlier Eisenberg⁴ reported low phosphate intake exaggerates hypercalcaemia in hyperparathyroidism. Changes in urinary calcium on phosphate loading or deprivation can now be well explained.