Correspondence

Letters to the Editor should not exceed 500 words.

Mass Radiography

- E. J. C. Kendall, M.D., and others; G. N. W. Tilsley, M.B., and W. E. Greaves, M.B.; D. R. Wallace-Jones, D.M.R.D., and M. Goldman, D.M.R.D. 495
- Investigations in Enuretic Children**
D. M. T. Gairdner, F.R.C.P. 496
- Mentally Subnormal Children**
B. D. J. Leary, M.B. 496
- Transmission of Toxoplasmosis**
J. H. E. Th. Meuwissen, M.D. 496
- Diverticular Disease of Colon**
L. E. Hughes, F.R.A.C.S. 496
- Depot Tetracosactrin**
W. J. Irving, M.R.C.P.E.D., and others 496
- Ultrasonic Localization of Perforating Veins**
A. P. Wyatt, F.R.C.S. 497
- Renin and Acute Renal Failure**
W. J. Dempster, F.R.C.S. 497

Diarrhoea and Light Therapy in Neonates

- R. J. K. Brown, M.B., and others 498
- Azotaemia Aggravated by Tetracycline**
Patience A. Forman, M.R.C.P. 498
- Dermatitis Herpetiformis and Gluten-free Diet**
Janet M. Marks, M.R.C.P., and S. Shuster, PH.D., M.R.C.P. 498
- Marrow Suppression from Mercury Poisoning?**
D. R. Rytie, M.R.C.P.E.D., and others 499
- Voluntary Sterilization**
C. P. Blacker, F.R.C.P. 499
- Epidemic Malaise**
Paula J. H. Gosling, M.B.; G. J. Burke, M.R.C.P.E.D.; E. J. M. Hopkins, M.B.; J. F. Galpine, M.D. 499
- Liver Scanning**
B. Gheorghescu, M.D. 500
- Medical Auxiliaries**
A. M. A. Moore, F.R.C.S. 501

Closure of Wounds

- G. T. Watts, F.R.C.S. 501
- Air Encephalography**
P. W. E. Sheldon, F.F.R. 502
- Disposable Feeds in Maternity Units**
Anne E. McCandless, M.D., and J. H. Pennington, M.D. 502
- Fees for Family Planning Services**
K. L. Oldershaw, M.B. 502
- Unheard Voices**
J. Parkhouse, M.D. 502
- World Medical Association**
H. W. Paines, PH.CH. 503
- Hospitals in Wonderland**
R. W. Parnell, F.R.C.P. 503
- Work Overseas after Retirement**
D. J. Martin, F.R.C.S.E.D. 503

Mass Radiography

SIR,—As general practitioners working in the periphery of the metropolis, we have found the work of the mass radiography service invaluable in our practice, and there are two points we should like to stress in its favour.

Firstly, patients can readily be referred to the unit, which calls weekly in the centre of our town. If they work within the area covered by the unit, they can find a time that suits them near their place of work. There is no delay in having the films taken and reporting is rapid, and if abnormalities are found, duplicate reports, as well as the films, are returned to the practitioner, so that quick referral, if necessary, can be made to a chest specialist.

The records of the Surrey mass x-ray services show that abnormalities are revealed in a much higher proportion of cases referred by the general practitioner than by other methods. Not only are acute, unresolved lung infections, which occur frequently in the winter months, picked up rapidly, but also early diagnosis of cases of carcinoma of the bronchus can be made, the latter being frequently unassociated with abnormal physical signs at this stage.

Secondly, for those of us who are concerned with the medical care of such institutions as boarding schools, the work of the mobile services is also invaluable, as it can call at a school and make a survey of both the pupils and the teaching and domestic staff rapidly and expeditiously, avoiding loss of time to the school. Such work in schools throughout the country has revealed a significant amount of infection in the past in an environment in which it is extremely important that early diagnosis should be made to avoid further spread of infection in

an adolescent community.

We think it would be a very retrograde step if the mass radiography service is discontinued as is planned (see *B.M.J.*, 14 February, p. 441), as equivalent services can hardly be arranged through static hospital facilities.—We are, etc,

E. J. C. KENDALL.
R. WATTS.
R. G. WHITE.

Epsom, Surrey.

SIR,—One is led to understand that the mass radiography services are to be phased out. If this is the case, then in this area at least the public and their doctors will lose an immediately available and valuable service. This service has an excellent system of communication with both general practitioner and patient, and still picks up much pathology, some quite unexpectedly.

We doubt if a hospital x-ray department would be able to cope with the present usage rate of the mass radiography department, and feel that doctors would, in fact, only refer those cases in which there was a clear and definite indication, in an attempt to shelter the departments. The public seem to accept attendance at the mass radiography centre without the anxieties sometimes engendered by referral to hospital outpatient departments.

In this era of preventive medicine we feel such a closure would be a retrograde step.—We are, etc.,

G. N. W. TILSLEY.
W. E. GREAVES.

Sheffield, Yorks.

SIR,—Dr. T. F. Jarman's apologia for mass miniature radiography (7 February, p. 365) ignores the important point that miniature films give a much larger dose of irradiation to the patient than a conventional chest radiograph. A recent survey in this region showed a dosage of 160 millirad from an M.M.R. film as compared with 11 millirad from a large film—fifteen times the dose. This is particularly relevant when one considers the type of patient Dr. Jarman quotes as having been examined by M.M.R. in the past, such as nurses and school-teachers, mainly young women in their child-bearing years.

This is one aspect of the problem. Another—and here we, as radiologists, must declare a financial interest—is that pre-employment and pre-emigration x-rays are Category II cases, as agreed between the B.M.A. and the Department of Health, so that a fee is payable for the examination, partly to the N.H.S. and partly to the radiologist. Although, as Dr. Jarman states, the use of M.M.R. units for these has "effected considerable financial saving to the authorities concerned," this has been at the expense of the taxpayer in general; and we would suggest that it is time the Department of Health made a clear ruling on the subject.—We are, etc.,

D. R. WALLACE-JONES.
M. GOLDMAN.

X-ray Department,
Fazakerley Hospital,
Liverpool.

Investigations in Enuretic Children

SIR,—In their article on enuresis (24 January, p. 211) Drs. W. I. Forsythe and A. Redmond say, "An organic cause for the enuresis could not be excluded without in-

travenous pyelography and micturition cystography. It is now our routine to wait until the patient has had a course of drug therapy and treatment with the alarm bell before arranging radiological investigations." They do not make other reference to "drug therapy," but 33% of their enuretic children were not cured by the electric alarm. They seem therefore to imply that a sizable proportion (? 33%) of enuretic children (ages unspecified) will require investigation by intravenous pyelography and voiding cystography. With this conclusion I must disagree.

Recognition of the very small minority of cases where enuresis is the symptom of an organic disease can be done by simple attention to a few salient points: (1) Excluding infection by examining the urine. (2) Excluding outflow tract obstruction and neurogenic bladder by checking the normality of the urinary stream and the absence of palpable bladder after voiding. (3) A careful history—for instance, periods of constant leaking of urine in a girl suggest an ectopic ureter.

Apart from the obvious undesirability of any non-essential pelvic radiology in children, past experience suggests that elaborate radiological investigations in enuretics are apt to prove positively misleading. For instance, no fewer than 61 of 135 (45%) enuretic children investigated by Fisher and Forsythe¹ by means of voiding cystography were considered to have "abnormalities of the urinary tract." Since all agree that the vast majority of enuretic children, whether treated or not, eventually lose the symptom, one is bound to conclude that the numerous radiological "abnormalities" which may be picked up will turn out to be no more than a large shoal of very red herrings.—I am, etc.,

DOUGLAS GAIRDNER,

Addenbrooke's Hospital,
Cambridge.

REFERENCE

- 1 Fisher, O. D. and Forsythe, W. I., 1954, *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 1954, 29, 460.

Mentally Subnormal Children

SIR,—Your leading article, Mentally Subnormal Children (17 January p. 130), brings out many of the problems that may arise following the transfer of responsibility for teaching the subnormal. The need for special teachers prepared to involve themselves with all subjects has been recognized by the National Society for Mentally Handicapped Children, which aims to establish a training school for teachers of the subnormal.

However, though some parents may feel their children would benefit from a more educational approach, thinking parents are much more concerned with the attitudes of staff to children. It is not so much a question of whether a particular child would benefit from academic education but of whether it is worth finding out. All too often doctors, social workers, and teachers make dogmatic assumptions that a child with such and such syndrome can under no circumstances have more than a fixed level of ability. Assumptions of this type have their basis in statistics derived from children who have vegetated in hospitals. There are no worthwhile statistics based on children who have

been trained to the full extent of their capacity, so few have received such training.

Much more important than any change of departmental responsibility is an end to the present rigidity of mind among professional personnel. Since we have the initial responsibility it is for the medical profession to give a lead and admit that we cannot forecast except in the broadest terms what the ultimate attainment of any particular infant will be. If doctors spoke more of what will be possible and less of what will not, others might be encouraged to lift their sights higher.—I am, etc.,

B. D. J. LEARY.

Chesterfield, Derbyshire.

Transmission of Toxoplasmosis

SIR,—Your leading article on transmission of toxoplasmosis (17 January, p. 126) pays attention to the great discovery by Dr. W. M. Hutchison and his colleagues (p. 142). The last sentence of it asks, however, for some comment. It is stated that *Isospora hominis* is a rare human form of coccidiosis. If you mean the disease is rare, I agree, but if you mean the presence of sporocysts in the faeces then I would like to point out that we have examined 1,820 newly joined Army recruits; 8% of these boys were found to be infected.¹—I am, etc.,

J. H. E. TH. MEUWISSEN.

University of Nijmegen,
Holland.

REFERENCE

- 1 Manschot, P. B., Slegers, Th. M., and Meuwissen, J. H. E. T., *Nederlandsch Tijdschrift voor Geneeskunde*, 1968, 112, 2038.

Diverticular Disease of Colon

SIR,—The recent article on the natural history of diverticular disease (13 December, p. 639) is welcome because it represents one of the largest series yet reported on this very common but poorly studied condition. Much of the voluminous literature on this subject is of little value because of the confusion engendered by the acceptance of assumptions long passed down through the literature without subjection to critical analysis.

The most misleading instance is where bowel symptoms present in patients found to have diverticula are assumed to be due to the diverticular disease. In a condition whose incidence approaches 50% of patients over the age of 50 years¹ it is essential that a control group also be studied. While there is sound evidence that diverticular disease is casually related to perforation and pericolic abscess, at present evidence is lacking that other symptoms, such as recurrent left iliac fossa pain and altered bowel habit, occur more frequently in patients with diverticular disease than in those with macroscopically normal colons. In fact, work in progress in this department suggests that the opposite is true.² These symptoms frequently persist after resection, particularly if they were present before surgery.³ In this case the natural history of the disease can be validly assessed only in terms of conditions which

are clearly related to the diverticular disease, such as proved inflammation, obstruction, and fistula.

A second assumption is that radiology is an accurate method of assessing the incidence and extent of the disease and its complications. Because of the capricious manner in which barium given by enema fills diverticula, this examination generally underestimates the incidence of the condition and may give a misleading picture of the extent of diverticula. Combined radiological and pathological studies^{4,5} have shown that most of the signs usually regarded as indicating diverticulitis are not related to the presence of inflammatory disease.

A further misleading assumption is that surgical resection is a wholly successful method of treatment for diverticular disease, and that increased resort to "prophylactic" resection in relatively early cases can be justified. The results of Mr. Parks's investigation (Table VII) confirm those of many other studies that there is a remarkably small difference in the long-term results of groups treated conservatively or by surgery—particularly in relation to persistence of mild symptoms. Thus, although clinical experience shows that surgical excision is an effective and gratifying measure for patients with severe complicated disease, widespread application of "prophylactic" surgery for early disease will confer little benefit on the individual patient.—I am, etc.,

L. E. HUGHES.

Department of Surgery,
University of Queensland,
Australia.

REFERENCES

- 1 Hughes, L. E., *Gut*, 1969, 10, 336.
- 2 Hughes, L. E., In *Proceedings of the 3rd Asian-Pacific Congress of Gastroenterology*, 1968, 3, 6.
- 3 Bolt, D. E., and Hughes, L. E., *British Medical Journal*, 1966, 1, 1205.
- 4 Williams, I., *British Journal of Radiology*, 1963, 36, 393.
- 5 Fleischner, F. G., Ming, S. C., and Henken, E. M., *Radiology*, 1964, 83, 859.

Long-term Use of Depot Tetracosactrin

SIR,—Drs. B. L. J. Treadwell and P. M. Dennis (20 December, p. 720) referring to depot tetracosactrin (Synacthen) end with the comment that "its obliterative effect on the diurnal rhythm of cortisol production is a theoretical disadvantage in long-term therapy."

To date we have studied four patients who have been treated with 0.5 mg. depot tetracosactrin twice weekly for prolonged periods. It was found in all of these patients that after one year of treatment the plasma corticosteroid levels, measured by the Mattingly method,¹ showed a definite 24-hour rhythm by the third day after the last injection. This rhythm was even more conspicuous if the next injection of depot tetracosactrin was delayed several more days. Moreover, a good response in the plasma corticosteroids was demonstrated in all four subjects following insulin hypoglycaemia, and in three out of four subjects given lysine vasopressin. In two subjects plasma immunoreactive A.C.T.H. levels were determined;² one showed a definite 24-hour rhythm while in the second both morning and evening levels were below the limits of