tea has, as I have stated, not only been unlimited, but each patient has also been kept in bed during the whole of the treatment.

The principle of this mode of treatment is, evidently, simply that of emptying the stomach and small intestines by abstaining from all solid food, and by purging; and so, by thus thoroughly uncovering the worm, exposing it to the full and almost immediate action of the remedy.

I have never, in a single instance, given more than one dose of the drug before the parasite was expelled; nor has the two-drachm dose given as I have mentioned, caused vomiting or troublesome purging; and in every case the worm was passed dead, and generally in one unbroken piece. No medicine of any kind is given except that which I have before mentioned; and, in a few hours after the worm is passed, the patients are restored to their ordinary diet.

Before I was in the habit of preparing patients by the previous purging and abstinence which I have described, I generally found that the oil of male-fern failed as a remedy for the cases under consideration; but since I have adopted the mode of treatment which I now make public, I can say that I have never known it fail; and I cannot but feel certain that the remedy in question, if given as I have mentioned, will invariably, first poison, and then quickly dislodge this troublesome parasite from the human body.

CASE OF GLAUCOMA WITH ACUTE INFLAMMATION, TREATED BY IRIDECTOMY.

By J. W. HULKE, Esq.

At the present moment, when so many persons doubt the efficacy of Iridectomy in Glaucoma, the following case is peculiarly valuable, from the circumstances under which the operation was performed, and from the fact that the results, after an interval of more than two years, are stated by an independent observer.

A lady, aged about 50, mentally deranged, residing under the charge of a physician to an asylum situated about sixty miles from town, lost the sight of her right eye from a deeply seated affection attended with severe inflammation, which rapidly ran its course, in spite of a well directed treatment. A few months after this, her left eye was similarly attacked. Her anxiety lest she should lose this, her only eye, was extreme. She was now brought to town by the physician, with an attendant, and reached my house at noon, August 24th, 1861.

The right eye was in the fourth stage of glaucoma—the stage of degeneration. The left eye was in the second stage of “glaucoma with peracute inflammation”. The globe was very hard (T+3). The pupil was dilated and motionless. The anterior chamber was very shallow. The cornea was hazy. The optic nerve and retinal vessels could not be distinguished with the ophthalmoscope; owing to the cloudiness of the transparent media, the fundus returned merely a faint red glow. The sclerotic and conjunctiva were very red; the latter was very oedematous, and overlapped the cornea. The upper eyelid was oedematous. Acuteness of vision had rapidly decreased. A couple of days previously, she had been able to read, but now the figure of a person at a yard’s distance appeared to her as a dim shadow. The field of vision was much contracted; but her extreme distress prevented an exact estimate of the extent of this diminution. She was suffering constant violent pain, with paroxysmal exacerbations. In her own words, “I feel as if my head were coming off”.

In this condition, I knew that immediate iridectomy alone could prevent the destruction of the eye; and I proposed that she should remain in town a few days, when I hoped she might be able to travel home. She readily acceded to the proposal of iridectomy. But then a difficulty arose: the physician held himself responsible for the safe charge of his patient; and he could neither remain with her in town, nor leave her safely in lodgings with the attendant. Thus it was evident that she must either forego the only measure which could save her eye, or submit to it notwithstanding the disadvantages of a fatiguing journey shortly after. I strongly urged the operation, in spite of this unfavourable circumstance. At 1 o’clock, she was put under the influence of chloroform; and I performed iridectomy, removing about one-seventh of the iris. She awoke after sleeping about an hour, and took a light meal. At 4 o’clock, she left town by rail, wearing a light compress upon the eye, which felt sore, but was free from the violent pain experienced before the operation. The swelling of the eyelids was less—perhaps, in part, from the pressure of the compress. A fortnight afterwards, I heard that “the pain has not returned; all redness is gone; the eye is still weak; sight is improved.” In reply to an inquiry, the physician, under whose care she still continued, wrote me the following letter.

“November 13th, 1863.

“MY DEAR SIR,—I am glad to be able to inform you that the operation on Mrs. —’s eye was a great success. Her vision is really good, as she can read No. 2 (pearl) on your test-type sheet with facility. She cannot manage No. 1 (brilliant); nor could many others of her age, without previous disease. She wears spectacles, as she has done some years. The pain before the operation was very great; it, however, ceased entirely and immediately; and she has had none since. (The eye, however, which had been lost when you saw her, is still the seat of occasional pain, at times rather severe.) The tension of the eyeball is now natural, the hardness having disappeared. It would look like an ordinary eye, except for the change in the figure of the pupil, which is elliptical, with the iris curiously thinned at one edge; and there is a small fleshy tubercle at the cicatrix, which nevertheless is no inconvenience to her. I regard the case as one of the most successful I ever saw in surgery. I know, by the rate at which she became blind with the other eye, how soon she would have been totally blind, but for your help; and this, too, is her own thought on the subject. It is the more interesting, as she is decidedly insane, of a very passionate temperament, and in delicate health. “Very sincerely yours, “_____.”

THE HIGHLY MORAL JOURNAL. The *Dublin Medical Press* and the *BRITISH MEDICAL JOURNAL* appear to be the only journals determined to put down the gigantic and still increasing evil of “gratuitous services,” for which the latter journal comes in for an immense amount of editorial abuse from the *Medical Times* of the last two weeks. “Is Medicine a Human Profession?” Its last “leader” on the subject was no doubt intended by the writer for the approaching “Spring Meetings” at Exeter Hall; but being short of an article it appears this week in the *Medical Times and Gazette*, and was intended to annihilate the *British Medical*, which journal, however, returns again to the attack on the “gratuitous” humbugging system now prevailing, and, undeterred by the Pecksniffian sermonising of any journal, produces proofs every week of the injurious effect of the system on the profession at large. (*Dublin Medical Press*.)