

# Primary care



This is an abridged version; the full version is on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)

Department of Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol BS8 2PR

Debbie A Lawlor  
senior lecturer in epidemiology  
Rita Patel  
project coordinator  
Shah Ebrahim  
professor of epidemiology of ageing

Correspondence to: D A Lawlor  
[d.a.lawlor@bristol.ac.uk](mailto:d.a.lawlor@bristol.ac.uk)

BMJ 2003;327:712-5

## Association between falls in elderly women and chronic diseases and drug use: cross sectional study

Debbie A Lawlor, Rita Patel, Shah Ebrahim

### Abstract

**Objective** To assess the associations between having had a fall and chronic diseases and drug use in elderly women.

**Design** Cross sectional survey, using data from the British women's heart and health study.

**Setting** General practices in 23 towns in Great Britain.

**Participants** 4050 women aged 60-79 years.

**Main outcome measure** Whether women had had falls in the previous 12 months.

**Results** The prevalence of falling increased with increasing numbers of simultaneously occurring chronic diseases. However, no such relation with falling was found in the fully adjusted data for the number of drugs used. Circulatory disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, depression, and arthritis were all associated with an increased odds of falling. The fully adjusted, population attributable risk of falling associated with having at least one chronic disease was 32.2% (95% confidence interval 19.6% to 42.8%). Only two classes of drugs (hypnotics and anxiolytics, and antidepressants) were independently associated with an increased odds of falling. Each class was associated with an increase of about 50% in the odds of falling, and each had a population attributable risk of <5%.

**Conclusion** Chronic diseases and multiple pathology are more important predictors of falling than polypharmacy.

### Introduction

Falls among elderly people are common and are associated with increased morbidity, disability, social isolation, and a lower quality of life and with early entry into residential care in this group.<sup>1</sup> We aimed to assess the independent associations of chronic diseases and drug use and the risk of falls in a group of women aged 60-79 years and living in the community.

### Methods

#### Participants

The women were participants in the British women's heart and health study.<sup>2-4</sup> We used the British regional heart study framework to randomly select women aged 60-79 from general practice lists in 23 towns in

England, Scotland, and Wales. No women were excluded from the study, and all 7166 women in the age range, regardless of whether they normally lived in private accommodation, a residential home, or a nursing home, and irrespective of medical conditions, were invited to participate. A total of 4286 women (60% of those invited) participated. Baseline data were collected between April 1999 and March 2001.

#### Assessment

In the self completed questionnaire participants were asked whether they had had a fall in the previous 12 months, how many times they had fallen, and whether they had received medical attention for any falls. We defined frequent falling as at least two falls in the previous 12 months.<sup>5</sup> We collated details of clinical diagnoses of each of the women's chronic diseases, together with the dates of first diagnosis, from a combination of review of primary care medical records, interviews by a research nurse, and the participants' responses to the questionnaire.<sup>2-4</sup> Participants were asked to bring all their current medicines to the clinic visit, and at the research nurse's interview a full drug history was taken. Drugs were coded according to the *British National Formulary*.<sup>6</sup>

#### Statistical analysis

We used multiple logistic regression to assess associations with falls. Because of missing data the multivariable analyses were conducted on the 3742 women (92%) with complete data on all the variables that were included in the final fully adjusted model. We estimated population attributable risks of falling for risk factors from the fully adjusted logistic regression models.<sup>7</sup> We used robust standard errors, which take into account the clustering effects in each town, to calculate 95% confidence intervals.

### Results

Of the 4286 participants 4050 (94%) provided data on falls. Of the 4050 women 686 had fallen at least once in the previous 12 months, giving a prevalence of 16.9% (95% confidence interval 15.8% to 18.1%). The prevalence of frequent falling was 7.0% (6.2% to 7.8%) and of falls where medical attention was given was 6.8% (6.0% to 7.6%).

Women who had fallen at least once in the previous 12 months were older than women who had not fallen

and were more likely to have chronic diseases, more likely to be taking drugs, and had a higher body mass index (table 1). Postural hypotension and low standing blood pressure were not associated with falling. The women who had fallen had a lower mean haemoglobin concentration, and this inverse association remained even when data were adjusted for social class, body mass index, chronic diseases, and each class of drug used. The fully adjusted odds ratio of any falls for an increase in haemoglobin concentration of one standard deviation was 0.90 (0.81 to 0.99). Alcohol consumption was not related to falling. In the 12 months before the women's baseline examination 55 women (1.28%) had had a fractured hip (15 women) or wrist (44 women). Women who had had a fall in the previous 12 months were much more likely to have had a fracture than the women who hadn't had a fall (table 1).

### Drug use and falls

Just over 70% (2887) of the women were taking at least one drug, and 622 (15.4%) were taking five or more drugs. There was a strong linear association between the number of drugs that women took and whether they had had a fall (see [bmj.com](#)). However, the association was not significant when the data were adjusted for chronic diseases and other potential confounding factors. Use of hypnotics or anxiolytics and use of anti-

depressants were associated with an increased odds of falling, even with adjustment for chronic disease status (including ever having had a diagnosis of depression) and other potential confounding factors (table 2). In the fully adjusted analyses analgesics, cardiovascular system drugs, endocrine system drugs, and respiratory disease drugs were not independently associated with having had a fall (table 2).

### Chronic disease and falls

Nearly three quarters (2961) of the women had at least one chronic disease. There was a marked linear trend of increasing odds of falling with increasing number of chronic diseases (see [bmj.com](#)). This association remained even after adjustment for drug use and other potential confounding factors. The crude odds ratio for any fall in the previous 12 months for each additional simultaneously occurring disease was 1.46 (1.36 to 1.56), and the fully adjusted odds ratio was 1.37 (1.25 to 1.49). We found similar linear trends for the association between increasing numbers of simultaneously occurring chronic diseases and frequent falls and treated falls, and these associations remained significant in the fully adjusted models.

Circulatory disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, depression, and arthritis were each associated with a higher odds of falling, even with adjustment for drug use and other potential confounding factors (see

**Table 1** Characteristics of women who had had no fall or at least one fall in the previous 12 months. Values are percentage of women (95% confidence interval), adjusted for age, except where otherwise indicated

	No falls (n=3364)	At least one fall (n=686)	P value
Mean age (years)	68.6 (68.4 to 68.9)	70.1 (69.7 to 70.5)	<0.001
<b>Chronic diseases</b>			
Coronary heart disease	14.0 (12.9 to 15.2)	23.3 (20.3 to 26.6)	<0.001
Any circulatory disease	16.8 (15.5 to 18.1)	26.7 (23.5 to 30.1)	<0.001
Diabetes	4.9 (4.3 to 5.7)	6.9 (5.2 to 9.0)	0.04
Thyroid disease	11.4 (10.3 to 12.5)	14.2 (11.8 to 17.3)	0.04
Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease	22.6 (21.2 to 24.0)	30.8 (27.4 to 34.3)	<0.001
Depression	15.8 (14.5 to 17.1)	27.0 (23.6 to 30.6)	<0.001
Eye disease	13.9 (12.8 to 15.1)	19.9 (17.0 to 23.0)	<0.001
Arthritis	41.7 (40.0 to 43.3)	58.2 (54.5 to 61.9)	<0.001
Postural hypotension*	17.1 (15.8 to 18.5)	17.6 (14.8 to 20.9)	0.75
Low standing blood pressure†	7.6 (6.7 to 8.6)	7.3 (5.5 to 9.7)	0.81
<b>Drugs taken</b>			
Hypnotics and anxiolytics	4.3 (3.7 to 5.1)	8.6 (6.7 to 10.9)	<0.001
Antidepressants	9.0 (8.1 to 10.0)	17.1 (14.4 to 20.1)	<0.001
Any central nervous system drug	23.5 (22.1 to 25.0)	36.9 (33.3 to 40.6)	<0.001
Any analgesic	12.6 (11.6 to 13.8)	18.1 (15.4 to 21.1)	<0.001
Any cardiovascular disease drug	40.9 (39.3 to 42.6)	50.4 (46.7 to 54.2)	<0.001
Any endocrine system drug	19.9 (18.5 to 21.2)	21.4 (18.5 to 24.7)	0.25
Any respiratory system drug	10.2 (9.2 to 11.2)	13.1 (10.8 to 15.9)	0.03
<b>Socioeconomic position</b>			
Manual social class (III manual, IV, or V)	52.0 (50.2 to 53.7)	55.3 (51.4 to 59.1)	0.12
From deprived area	19.3 (18.0 to 20.6)	20.1 (17.3 to 23.3)	0.61
<b>Physiological and behavioural characteristics</b>			
Consume alcohol daily	17.5 (16.1 to 18.8)	17.5 (14.8 to 20.5)	0.80
Heavy drinking (≥ 14 units alcohol a week)	5.5 (4.8 to 6.3)	6.0 (4.4 to 8.0)	0.39
Mean body mass index (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	27.4 (27.2 to 27.6)	28.4 (28.0 to 28.8)	<0.001
Mean HDL cholesterol concentration (mmol/l)	1.66 (1.65 to 1.68)	1.64 (1.60 to 1.67)	0.22
Triglyceride concentration (geometric mean) (mmol/l)	1.66 (1.63 to 1.68)	1.68 (1.62 to 1.74)	0.41
Hypertension‡	50.6 (48.7 to 52.4)	51.6 (47.5 to 55.6)	0.39
Mean forced expiratory volume in 1 second (l)	1.99 (1.97 to 2.00)	1.94 (1.90 to 1.99)	0.02
Mean haemoglobin concentration (g/l)	135.4 (135.0 to 135.8)	133.9 (133.1 to 134.8)	0.002
Hip or wrist fracture in previous 12 months	0.2 (0.1 to 0.4)	6.8 (5.1 to 8.9)	<0.001

\*Reduction in blood pressure between standing and sitting of ≥20 mm Hg systolic pressure or ≥10 mm Hg diastolic pressure.

†Systolic blood pressure ≤100 mm Hg or diastolic blood pressure ≤60 mm Hg.

‡Blood pressure >160/95 mm Hg or patient taking treatment for blood pressure.

**Table 2** Relation between drug use and falls in previous 12 months in 3742 UK women aged 60-79 years. Values are crude or fully adjusted\* odds ratios (95% confidence interval)

Type of drug	Any falls (n=640) v no falls (n=3102)		Two or more falls (n=265) v no falls (n=3102)		Falls where medical attention was given (n=246) v no falls (n=3102)	
	Crude	Fully adjusted	Crude	Fully adjusted	Crude	Fully adjusted
Hypnotics and anxiolytics	1.94 (1.41 to 2.68)	1.41 (1.00 to 1.98)	2.65 (1.76 to 3.98)	1.74 (1.11 to 2.70)	1.28 (0.73 to 2.25)	0.85 (0.46 to 1.57)
Antidepressants	2.02 (1.58 to 2.59)	1.53 (1.15 to 2.02)	2.83 (2.03 to 3.94)	1.89 (1.30 to 2.76)	1.93 (1.33 to 2.80)	1.35 (0.89 to 2.05)
Any central nervous system drug	1.49 (1.34 to 1.66)	1.25 (1.11 to 1.41)	1.81 (1.57 to 2.08)	1.43 (1.22 to 1.68)	1.48 (1.26 to 1.74)	1.26 (1.05 to 1.52)
Analgesics	1.25 (1.01 to 1.55)	1.00 (0.79 to 1.25)	1.51 (1.14 to 2.00)	1.20 (0.87 to 1.65)	1.08 (0.77 to 1.51)	0.95 (0.66 to 1.36)
Any cardiovascular drug	1.11 (1.04 to 1.18)	0.96 (0.89 to 1.05)	1.24 (1.14 to 1.34)	0.99 (0.88 to 1.11)	1.05 (0.95 to 1.15)	0.90 (0.79 to 1.02)
Any endocrine system drug	1.13 (0.96 to 1.33)	0.95 (0.77 to 1.18)	1.22 (0.97 to 1.54)	0.80 (0.58 to 1.11)	1.17 (0.92 to 1.50)	1.02 (0.74 to 1.40)
Any respiratory system drug	1.09 (0.96 to 1.23)	0.91 (0.78 to 1.06)	1.21 (1.03 to 1.42)	1.03 (0.84 to 1.25)	1.13 (0.94 to 1.35)	0.98 (0.79 to 1.21)

\*Adjusted for age, body mass index, haemoglobin concentration (continuous variables), circulatory disease, diabetes, thyroid disease, asthma or bronchitis, depression, cataract or glaucoma, arthritis, heavy alcohol consumption (binary variables), and adult social class (I, II, III non-manual, III manual, IV, V) (indicator variable).

bmj.com). The population attributable risk of having had at least one fall in the previous 12 months, estimated from the fully adjusted models, was 6.2% (2.0% to 10.0%) for coronary heart disease, 6.2% (1.6% to 10.5%) for circulatory disease, 8.0% (3.3% to 12.4%) for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, 9.4% (5.4% to 13.3%) for depression, and 17.4% (10.4% to 23.9%) for arthritis. The fully adjusted odds ratio of having had a fall in the previous 12 months associated with having at least one of the chronic diseases was 1.81 (1.42 to 2.31), and the population attributable risk was 32.2% (19.6% to 42.8%).

#### Effects of combined multiple pathology and polypharmacy

When number of drugs taken and number of chronic diseases were included in the same regression model they combined multiplicatively. The odds ratio for a fall for each additional chronic disease, adjusted for number of drugs taken, was 1.39 (1.29 to 1.51), and that for each additional drug taken, adjusted for number of chronic diseases, was 1.05 (1.01 to 1.09). There was no strong evidence of a statistical interaction between number of drugs and number of chronic diseases ( $P=0.16$ ) and no evidence of statistical interactions between any of the individual chronic diseases and their relevant treatment ( $P > 0.15$  for all diseases).

#### Discussion

The risk of falling rose with the number of drugs taken and the number of chronic diseases each woman had, but the association was stronger for multiple pathology than for polypharmacy. The population attributable risk of falling associated with having any chronic disease was much higher (32%) than that associated with use of psychotropic drugs (between 2% and 5%). Thus in public health terms targeting prevention and control of chronic diseases rather than polypharmacy may be a more useful strategy for preventing falls in elderly people. Factors commonly supposed to be associated with falls, such as postural hypotension, alcohol consumption, and reduced physiological reserve (as shown by low forced expiratory volume in 1 second) were not associated with falls in this study.<sup>8, 9</sup> Contrary to evidence in previous reviews that risk factors differ for single falls, frequent falling, and treated falls, we found similar risk factor profiles for the different types of fall.<sup>10, 11</sup>

#### Limitations of the study

Our response rate (60%) is moderate but consistent with other large contemporary epidemiological surveys.<sup>12</sup> As reported previously our respondents were slightly younger than non-respondents and were less likely to have a primary care medical record of stroke or diabetes, although the prevalence of coronary heart disease and cancer did not vary between respondents and non-respondents.<sup>2</sup> Because chronic diseases are associated with falling we may have underestimated the prevalence of falls. The associations of chronic diseases and drug use with falling would only be exaggerated if the associations among non-respondents were in the opposite direction to the associations among responders or if they were non-existent, both of which are unlikely.

Our study is cross sectional and may therefore be susceptible to reverse causality. With respect to the effects of antidepressants and hypnotics or anxiolytics, it is possible that having had a fall may lead to anxiety or depression and therefore treatment for these conditions. However, assessing the association between drug use and the prevalence of falling is relevant, because the plausible mechanism by which central nervous system drugs result in falls is related to their contemporary use.<sup>13</sup> That there was an association between falls and number of chronic diseases among women whose diagnosis had been made before the year of the fall indicates that these associations are not due to reverse causality.

We could not assess the effect of all the major chronic diseases that affect this age group. In particular we did not collect information on cognitive function. However, that the participants were able to complete a detailed health questionnaire suggested that few if any women had severe dementia. Elderly people may under-report falls.<sup>14</sup> Any such misclassification is likely to be non-systematic and would therefore dilute the associations. We used a crude indicator of the severity of falls: whether the participant had had medical attention. Although having received medical attention may indicate a greater severity of fall, it also reflects sociodemographic and personal factors that influence the likelihood of getting medical care after a fall.

#### Implications

Although the population attributable risk of falling associated with psychotropic drugs was small, these drugs may be an important cause of morbidity in

### What is already known on this topic

A number of chronic diseases, use of tranquillisers and antidepressants, and polypharmacy are associated with an increased risk of falling, although the independent associations of particular diseases and types of drug are unclear

### What this study adds

The risk of falling rises with the number of simultaneous chronic diseases

Elderly women with circulatory diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, arthritis, and depression are at a higher risk of falling, and chronic diseases may account for 30% of falls in this group

Anxiolytics, hypnotics, and antidepressants are the only classes of drugs that are independently associated with falling

people using them. Trials have shown that gradual withdrawal of psychotropic drugs is feasible among elderly people and is associated with a decreased risk of falling.<sup>15</sup>

Chronic diseases may increase the risk of falls through direct effects of the disease and indirect effects, such as reduced physical activity, muscle weakness, and poor balance. Perhaps because observational research has focused more on drug use than chronic diseases, the effect of specific chronic diseases on the risk of falling has not been the main focus of controlled trials of interventions to prevent falls, although the inclusion of exercises specifically aimed at reducing falls as well as at improving cardiovascular fitness in cardiac rehabilitation programmes has been recommended.<sup>16</sup> Chronic diseases and multiple pathology, rather than polypharmacy, may be the most important predictors of falling.

**Acknowledgments:** The British women's heart and health study is co-directed by SE, Peter Whincup, Goya Wannamethee, and DAL. We thank Carol Bedford, Alison Emerton, Nicola Frecknall, Karen Jones, Mark Taylor, and Katherine Wornell for collecting and entering data, all the general practitioners and

their staff who supported data collection, and the women who participated in the study.

**Contributors:** See [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)

**Funding:** The British women's heart and health study is funded by the Department of Health. DAL is funded by a Medical Research Council and Department of Health training fellowship.

**Competing interests:** None declared.

**Ethical approval:** Local ethics committees approved the study, and 99.4% of participants gave written informed consent for their medical records to be available.

- 1 Tinetti ME. Clinical practice. Preventing falls in elderly persons. *N Engl J Med* 2003;348:42-9.
- 2 Lawlor DA, Bedford C, Taylor M, Ebrahim S. Geographic variation in cardiovascular disease, risk factors and their control in older women: British women's heart and health study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 2003;57:134-40.
- 3 Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. Socioeconomic position in childhood and adulthood and insulin resistance: cross sectional survey using data from the British women's heart and health study. *BMJ* 2002;325:805-7.
- 4 Lawlor DA, Ebrahim S, Davey Smith G. The association between components of adult height and type II diabetes and insulin resistance: British women's heart and health study. *Diabetologia* 2002;45:1097-106.
- 5 Ensrud KE, Blackwell TL, Mangione CM, Bowman PJ, Whooley MA, Bauer DC, et al. Central nervous system-active medications and risk for falls in older women. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:1629-37.
- 6 British Medical Association, Royal Pharmaceutical Society of Great Britain. *British national formulary*. London: BMA, RPS, 2003. (No 45.) [www.bnf.org](http://www.bnf.org)
- 7 Greenland S, Drescher K. Maximum likelihood estimation of the attributable fraction from logistic models. *Biometrics* 1993;49:865-72.
- 8 McIntosh S, Da Costa D, Kenny RA. Outcome of an integrated approach to the investigation of dizziness, falls and syncope in elderly patients referred to a "syncope" clinic. *Age Ageing* 1993;22:53-8.
- 9 Malmivaara A, Heliovaara M, Knekt P, Reunanen A, Aromaa A. Risk factors for injurious falls leading to hospitalization or death in a cohort of 19,500 adults. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;138:384-94.
- 10 Campbell J. Falls. In: Ebrahim S, Kalache A, eds. *Epidemiology in old age*. London: BMJ Publishing, 1996:361-8.
- 11 Fink H, Wyman J, Hanlon J. Falls. In: Tallis R, Fillit SHM, Brocklehurst TJC, eds. *Brocklehurst's textbook of geriatric medicine and gerontology*. London: Churchill Livingstone, 1998:1337-46.
- 12 Department of Health. *Health survey for England: cardiovascular disease*. London: Stationery Office, 1999.
- 13 Neutel CI, Hirdes JP, Maxwell CJ, Patten SB. New evidence on benzodiazepine use and falls: the time factor. *Age Ageing* 1996;25:273-78.
- 14 Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Kidd S. Forgetting falls: the limited accuracy of recall of falls in the elderly. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1988;36:613-6.
- 15 Campbell AJ, Robertson MC, Gardner MM, Norton RN, Buchner DM. Psychotropic medication withdrawal and a home-based exercise program to prevent falls: a randomized, controlled trial. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1999;47:850-3.
- 16 McLeod AA. Later management of documented ischaemic heart disease: secondary prevention and rehabilitation. *Br Med Bull* 2001;59:113-33

(Accepted 18 July 2003)

## One hundred years ago

### The prolongation of life

#### The summer holiday

Still more beneficial than the once-a-week extra exercise is the plan of taking once or twice a year a walking or climbing tour of three to four weeks or longer in mountainous districts, especially on glaciers, with three to six, or occasionally even eight hours' active walking or climbing on most days of the week; provided that the organs of the body are free from disease, and that they be gradually accustomed to the increased work. The amount of benefit to be obtained from such tours, if judiciously arranged, can scarcely be exaggerated. They exercise an actually rejuvenescing influence, in which every organ of the body shares more or less, from the brain to the skin and hair. The power for mental work is increased, the view of life's duties, and its worries

and hardships, is corrected; and often I have observed that the hair of the head and beard, when commencing to turn grey, has resumed after good courses of climbing more or less of the original colour. The action of the heart is likewise in all persons tangibly improved, in some to an astonishing degree. Again and again I have witnessed in many others and in myself that before the climbing tour a slight exertion in walking rather quickly caused the pulse to rise from 60 or 65 to 110 and 130, while after the climbing tour the same or even much greater exertion produced only a rise to 80 or 85. The pulse tracings by the sphygmograph are equally significant.

(*BMJ* 1903;ii:1447)