

## Treatment exhaustion of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) among individuals infected with HIV in the United Kingdom: multicentre cohort study

Caroline A Sabin, Teresa Hill, Fiona Lampe, Rynne Matthias, Sanjay Bhagani, Richard Gilson, Mike S Youle, Margaret A Johnson, Martin Fisher, George Scullard, Philippa Easterbrook, Brian Gazzard, Andrew N Phillips, on behalf of the UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) Study Group

Editorial by Murri

### Abstract

**Objectives** To investigate whether there is evidence that an increasing proportion of HIV infected patients is starting to experience increases in viral load and decreases in CD4 cell count that are consistent with exhaustion of available treatment options.

**Design** Multicentre cohort study.

**Setting** Six large HIV treatment centres in southeast England.

**Participants** All individuals seen for care between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2002.

**Main outcome measures** Exposure to individual antiretroviral drugs and drug classes, CD4 count, plasma HIV RNA burden.

**Results** Information is available on 16 593 individuals (13 378 (80.6%) male patients, 10 340 (62.3%) infected via homosexual or bisexual sex, 4426 (26.7%) infected via heterosexual sex, median age 34 years). Overall, 10 207 of the 16 593 patients (61.5%) have been exposed to any antiretroviral therapy. This proportion increased from 41.2% of patients under follow up at the end of 1996 to 71.3% of those under follow up in 2002. The median CD4 count and HIV RNA burden of patients under follow up in each year changed from 270 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and 4.34 log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml in 1996 to 408 cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and 1.89 log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml, respectively, in 2002. By 2002, 3060 (38%) of patients who had ever been treated with antiretroviral therapy had experienced all three main classes. Of these, around one quarter had evidence of "viral load failure" with all these three classes. Patients with three class failure were more likely to have an HIV RNA burden >2.7 log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml and a CD4 count <200 cells/mm<sup>3</sup>.

**Conclusions** The proportion of individuals with HIV infection in the United Kingdom who have been treated has increased gradually over time. A substantial proportion of these patients seem to be in danger of exhausting their options for antiretroviral treatment. New drugs with low toxicity, which are not associated with cross resistance to existing drugs, are urgently needed for such patients.

### Introduction

Most HIV infected individuals starting highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) will experience good virological responses to treatment. However, imperfect adherence, tolerability problems, inadequate drug concentrations, and pre-existing or newly developed antiretroviral resistance may mean that in some patients, virological responses on their first treatment combination will fail within the first few years.<sup>1</sup> Compared with the initial treatment regimen, subsequent regimens are progressively less likely to produce a durable virological response.<sup>2</sup> Although new drugs are continually being developed, concern has therefore arisen that patients will ultimately exhaust all currently available options for treatment.

We describe levels of exposure to antiretroviral treatment and assess, at a population level, the relations between exposure to antiretroviral drugs and immunological and virological status in a large multicentre cohort of HIV infected patients from the United Kingdom. We investigate whether an increasing proportion of HIV infected patients is starting to experience increases in viral load and decreases in CD4 count, consistent with exhaustion of available treatment options.

### Methods

The UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) is a collaboration of some of the largest HIV centres in the UK.<sup>3</sup>

### Selection of patients

The criteria for inclusion in the UK CHIC study were that the patient was HIV positive, older than 16 years, and had attended one of the centres for care at any time after 1 January 1996. Data from existing clinical databases from six centres have been merged (see [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)).

Department of Primary Care and Population Sciences, Royal Free and UC Medical School, London NW3 2PF

Caroline A Sabin  
*professor of medical statistics and epidemiology*

Teresa Hill  
*database manager, UK CHIC study*

Fiona Lampe  
*lecturer in epidemiology and medical statistics*

Andrew N Phillips  
*professor of epidemiology*

MRC Clinical Trials Unit, London NW1 2DA

Rynne Matthias  
*database programmer, UK CHIC study*

Royal Free Centre for HIV Medicine, Royal Free Hospital, London NW3 2QG

Sanjay Bhagani  
*consultant in HIV medicine*

Mike S Youle  
*director of HIV clinical research*

Margaret A Johnson  
*clinical director, HIV/AIDS*

Centre for Sexual Health and HIV Research, Royal Free and UC Medical School, Mortimer Market Centre, London WC1E 6AU

Richard Gilson  
*senior clinical lecturer*

continued over

BMJ 2005;330:695-8



The participating centres and collaborators are on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)



This is the abridged version of an article that was posted on [bmj.com](http://bmj.com) on 4 March 2005: <http://bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.38369.669850.8F>

Lawson Unit,  
Department of HIV  
Medicine, Brighton  
and Sussex  
University Hospital  
Trust, Eastern Road,  
Brighton, BN2 5BE  
Martin Fisher  
consultant physician  
in HIV/AIDS

Department of GU  
Medicine and  
Communicable  
Diseases, St Mary's  
NHS Trust, London  
W2 1NY  
George Scullard  
consultant, HIV/  
GUM

Guy's, King's and St  
Thomas' School of  
Medicine, London  
SE5 9RT

Philippa  
Easterbrook  
professor of HIV  
medicine

Kobler Centre,  
Chelsea and  
Westminster  
Hospital, London  
SW10 9NH

Brian Gazzard  
professor of HIV  
medicine

Correspondence to:  
C A Sabin c.sabin@  
pcps.ucl.ac.uk

Clinics provided data in a pseudo-anonymised form which still allowed records to be linked when patients transferred between the centres in the study.

### Statistical methods

Patients were included in the cohort from 1 January 1996, their first attendance at one of the centres, or their 16th birthday, whichever occurred latest. We classified patients as under follow up in each year if the dates when they were first and last seen at any of the centres indicated that they were under follow up at that centre in that year.

We defined individuals as being exposed to an antiretroviral drug if their treatment history included any use of that drug. We reported use of nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, protease inhibitors, non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, and a fusion inhibitor, enfuvirtide (T-20; see bmj.com).

We used each patient's last available CD4 count and plasma HIV RNA burden in a year in the analysis of trends over time. We included patients only if they were under follow up and had a CD4 count or HIV RNA burden measured in that year. We did not consider patients who were lost to follow up as treatment failures.

We classified patients as having experienced virological failure to a regimen containing a protease inhibitor if two consecutive HIV RNA measurements had been above 500 copies/ml after at least six months' exposure to protease inhibitors. If patients had discontinued this class of drugs before the second HIV RNA measurement above 500 copies/ml we did not classify them as experiencing virological failure. We defined virological failure on a regimen that contained non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors in a similar manner. We then classified patients as experiencing three class failure if they had experienced failure with regimens containing both protease inhibitors and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors (we assumed that failure had occurred with nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, as protease inhibitors or non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors were rarely used without nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors); we took the date of experiencing three class failure as the later of the dates of failure on a regimen containing a protease inhibitor and a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor.

## Results

The database contains information on 16 593 individuals. Most ( $n = 13\,378$ ; 80.6%) were male, the predominant risk factor for HIV infection was

homosexual or bisexual sex (10 340; 62.3%), with 4426 (26.7%) reporting a heterosexual risk. The median (interquartile range) age of the cohort at first study visit was 34 (29-39) years. Fifty six per cent ( $n = 9201$ ) of the cohort were white, 2987 (18.0%) were of black African ethnicity, and 2101 (12.7%) were of other ethnicities (information on ethnicity is unknown for 13.9%). More than a quarter (4336; 26.1%) have developed AIDS, and 1255 (7.6%) have died.

The number of individuals under follow up in the cohort rose each year, from 7588 in 1996 to 11 200 in 2002. Changes in the number of individuals under follow up reflect the number of individuals attending one of the clinics for the first time in the year as well as the number of individuals who had previously been seen but were not seen at any of the cohort centres in that year.

Overall, 10 207 of the 16 593 patients (61.5%) had been exposed to any antiretroviral therapy, 10 176 (61.3%) to nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, 5657 (34.1%) to protease inhibitors, and 6857 (41.3%) to non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Only 450 (2.7%) patients had been exposed to enfuvirtide. By the end of 2002, patients had been exposed to a median of four (range 0-16) different antiretroviral drugs. As expected, patterns of exposure changed over time (table 1).

The median CD4 count of patients under follow up in each year rose steadily and the median HIV RNA burden fell (table 2).

Among patients who had ever received antiretroviral treatment, the proportion with a CD4 count  $< 200$  cells/mm<sup>3</sup> and the proportion with an HIV RNA measurement  $> 2.7$  log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml both fell between 1996 and 2002 (table 3). The number of patients exposed to all three main classes of drugs increased from 1% of those under follow up in 1996 to 27.3% of those under follow up in 2002. The proportions of these patients who showed signs of therapeutic failure in each year were similar to those seen in the overall treated population. Among patients exposed to three drug classes, the proportion experiencing three class failure increased until 2000 but remained relatively stable thereafter. Among patients in this group, the proportions with a CD4 count  $< 200$  cells/mm<sup>3</sup> or an HIV RNA measurement  $> 2.7$  log<sub>10</sub> copies/ml were high but seemed to be falling over time.

## Discussion

Although patients infected with HIV in the United Kingdom are becoming increasingly exposed to different antiretroviral treatments over time, immunological

**Table 1** Exposure to different classes of antiretroviral drugs among individuals from the UK CHIC study

Year	No of patients under follow up	Nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors		Protease inhibitors		Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors		Any antiretroviral drug	
		No (%) exposed	Median (range) No of drugs	No (%) exposed	Median (range) No of drugs	No (%) exposed	Median (range) No of drugs	No (%) exposed	Median (range) No of drugs
1996	7 588	3086 (40.7)	0 (0-5)	1063 (14.0)	0 (0-3)	291 (3.8)	0 (0-2)	3130 (41.2)	0 (0-9)
1997	7 918	4277 (54.0)	2 (0-6)	2656 (33.5)	0 (0-4)	910 (11.5)	0 (0-2)	4328 (54.7)	2 (0-11)
1998	8 599	5231 (60.8)	2 (0-7)	3607 (42.0)	0 (0-4)	2040 (23.7)	0 (0-3)	5266 (61.2)	3 (0-14)
1999	9 170	5992 (65.3)	2 (0-7)	3973 (43.3)	0 (0-5)	3363 (36.7)	0 (0-4)	6017 (65.6)	3 (0-14)
2000	9 775	6643 (68.0)	2 (0-7)	4114 (42.1)	0 (0-6)	4461 (45.6)	0 (0-4)	6661 (68.1)	3 (0-16)
2001	10 549	7340 (69.6)	2 (0-7)	4312 (40.9)	0 (0-6)	5315 (50.4)	1 (0-4)	7356 (69.7)	3 (0-16)
2002	11 200	7973 (71.2)	2 (0-7)	4561 (40.7)	0 (0-6)	5986 (53.5)	1 (0-4)	7987 (71.3)	4 (0-16)

**Table 2** Overall trends in CD4 counts and HIV RNA levels over time among patients in the UK CHIC study

Year	No (%) of patients under follow up with more than one CD4 cell count in the year	Latest CD4 count (cells/mm <sup>3</sup> ) in year		No (%) of patients under follow up with more than HIV RNA measurement in the year	Latest HIV RNA (log <sub>10</sub> copies/ml) in year	
		Median (interquartile range)	No (%) <200		Median (interquartile range)	No (%) >2.7
1996	5 517 (72.7)	270 (120-447)	2094 (38.0)	2197 (29.0)	4.34 (3.51-4.97)	2022 (92.0)
1997	6 188 (78.2)	310 (180-460)	1785 (28.9)	5830 (73.6)	3.55 (2.70-4.52)	4082 (70.0)
1998	6 795 (79.0)	335 (206-490)	1594 (23.5)	6926 (80.5)	3.10 (2.54-4.27)	3907 (56.4)
1999	7 588 (82.7)	369 (230-523)	1512 (19.9)	7680 (83.8)	2.65 (1.70-4.23)	3786 (49.3)
2000	8 385 (85.8)	397 (260-570)	1340 (16.0)	8325 (85.2)	2.26 (1.70-4.19)	3761 (45.2)
2001	9 545 (90.5)	404 (270-575)	1362 (14.3)	9506 (90.1)	1.90 (1.70-4.10)	4001 (42.1)
2002	10 446 (93.3)	408 (275-583)	1393 (13.3)	10295 (91.9)	1.89 (1.70-4.11)	4180 (40.6)

and virological profiles of these patients continue to improve at a population level. However, a small number of these individuals seem to be in danger of exhaustion of future treatment options.

Currently little evidence exists to indicate that a large proportion of patients are starting to experience therapeutic failure; this proportion has remained relatively stable since 2000. Even among patients who had experienced therapeutic failure with regimens containing all three classes of drugs, immunological and virological status has improved. We believe this reflects the increasing number of new drugs that become available each year and the growing emphasis that is now placed on achieving good adherence. However, the immunological and virological status of patients who have experienced three class failure remains relatively poor, showing that for a small number, treatment options are in danger of becoming exhausted.

Although several new drugs from existing and new classes have recently been licensed past experience shows that preliminary reports of new drugs being associated with minimal cross resistance to other drugs are often followed by less positive findings.<sup>4</sup>

#### Potential limitations

Trends at a population level should always be interpreted cautiously. In particular, increased CD4 counts over time may result from an increase in the number of newly diagnosed individuals with high CD4 counts attending the centres in the collaboration. However, results from the CD4 surveillance scheme in the United Kingdom<sup>5</sup> and from individual cohorts in the collaboration<sup>6,7</sup> indicate that this is not the case. A second concern is that as this is a dynamic cohort, patients may leave the cohort at any time. This may lead to bias if those who were starting to experience therapeutic failure were more likely to drop out of the

cohort. The median last available CD4 count and HIV RNA measurement for those who dropped out imply that many did not have therapeutic failure. These proportions have remained relatively stable over time; we therefore do not believe that this will affect the trends seen.

Although the cohort is broadly representative of HIV infected individuals in the UK,<sup>3</sup> it includes disproportionately more homosexual men and individuals of white ethnicity than are seen in the United Kingdom as a whole. Furthermore, as all centres actively participate in research studies, it is possible that exposure to novel treatments in these clinics may occur sooner than in other centres. Finally, treatment information from previous centres may be incomplete, so our estimates of exposure to antiretroviral therapy may be underestimated.

#### Meaning of the study

We have identified two groups thought to be at high risk of treatment exhaustion: patients exposed to three or more drug classes and those who had experienced virological failure on regimens including both protease inhibitors and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Not all treatment switches are made as a result of virological failure; individuals may also change treatments for convenience or to reduce toxicity. Thus, patients who have been exposed to three classes of drugs may not have experienced virological failure while receiving these drugs and may not necessarily show signs of treatment exhaustion. Patients who are known to have experienced virological failure while receiving these drugs, however, would be expected to have developed some resistance to their failing regimens, possibly leading to cross resistance to other drugs in the same class<sup>8</sup> and placing these individuals at high risk of therapeutic failure. Although this group had higher HIV RNA

**Table 3** Trends in CD4 cell counts and HIV RNA levels over time among patients who had ever started antiretroviral therapy, patients with three class exposure, and patients who had previously experienced three class failure

Year	Ever started antiretroviral treatment			Exposure to three classes of drugs			Treatment failure with three classes of drugs		
	No of patients under follow up (%)	CD4 counts <200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	HIV RNA measurements >2.7 log <sub>10</sub> copies/ml	No of patients who ever received antiretroviral therapy (%)	CD4 counts <200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	HIV RNA measurements >2.7 log <sub>10</sub> copies/ml	No of patients exposed to three classes (%)	CD4 counts <200 cells/mm <sup>3</sup>	HIV RNA measurements >2.7 log <sub>10</sub> copies/ml
1996	3130 (41.2)	1589 (57.1)	1272 (89.3)	72 (2.3)	50 (70.4)	36 (80.0)	0	—	—
1997	4328 (54.7)	1517 (38.4)	2361 (60.5)	399 (9.2)	181 (47.6)	236 (61.9)	13 (3.3)	6 (46.2)	13 (100.0)
1998	5266 (61.2)	1382 (29.1)	2017 (41.7)	1159 (22.0)	423 (38.1)	466 (41.7)	117 (10.1)	68 (58.1)	101 (86.3)
1999	6017 (65.6)	1327 (23.9)	1913 (34.1)	1905 (31.7)	582 (31.6)	639 (34.5)	273 (14.3)	153 (56.9)	211 (77.9)
2000	6661 (68.1)	1172 (18.9)	1772 (28.8)	2413 (36.2)	508 (22.0)	638 (27.8)	371 (15.4)	164 (45.6)	224 (62.0)
2001	7356 (69.7)	1186 (16.8)	1730 (24.6)	2748 (37.4)	502 (18.7)	597 (22.3)	435 (15.8)	147 (34.4)	218 (50.9)
2002	7987 (71.3)	1182 (15.3)	1802 (23.5)	3060 (38.3)	502 (16.8)	693 (23.3)	467 (15.3)	150 (32.5)	210 (45.7)

### What is already known on this topic

Highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) has had a dramatic impact on the health of individuals infected with HIV

For several reasons, however, many patients may not be able to tolerate their initial treatment regimen or may experience virological failure while receiving HAART

It may therefore be necessary to switch treatments on one or more occasions, raising the concern that some patients may exhaust all currently available treatment options

### What this study adds

The immunological and virological status of infected patients generally improved

A small but growing proportion of these patients, however, seem to be in danger of exhaustion of current treatment options

measurements and lower CD4 counts than other treated individuals, virological failure is an imperfect surrogate for the presence of resistance mutations, and some of these patients may not have developed resistance to both protease inhibitors and non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors. Close links with the UK HIV Drug Resistance Database<sup>9</sup> will allow us to deal with this question directly once the use of resistance testing has become routine in this group. Further follow up of clinical events in these patients will allow us to assess whether our definition of three class failure is a good indicator of subsequent poorer clinical outcome.

Our findings show that new drugs with low toxicity, which are not associated with cross resistance to existing drugs, will be needed for such patients.

The authors thank all the clinicians, data managers, and research nurses in participating clinical centres (see [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)) who have helped with the provision of data for this project.

Contributors: See [bmj.com](http://bmj.com)

Funding: Medical Research Council, UK (grant G0000199).

Competing interest statement: Many of the authors have over the previous five years received reimbursement for attending symposiums, fees for speaking, membership of advisory boards, organising education or consultancy or funding for research from: Abbott (MY, SB, ANP, MAJ, RG, MF, GS), Boehringer Ingelheim (CAS, MY, ANP, MF, GS), Bristol Myers Squibb (BG, MY, SB, ANP, MAJ, MF, GS), Gilead Sciences (CAS, BG, MY, SB, ANP, RG, MF, GS), GlaxoSmithKline (CAS, FL, BG, SB, ANP, MF), Pfizer Pharmaceuticals (BG, MF), Roche (MY, SB, MAJ, RG, MF), Schering Plough (RG) and Tibotec (ANP). None of the authors hold any shares in any of the companies.

Ethical approval: Multicentre research ethics committee and local ethics committees.

- 1 Phillips AN, Staszewski S, Lampe F, Youle MS, Klauke S, Bickel M, et al. Human immunodeficiency virus rebound after suppression to <400 copies/mL during initial highly active antiretroviral therapy regimens, according to prior nucleoside experience and duration of suppression. *J Infect Dis* 2002;186:1086-91.
- 2 Mocroft A, Phillips AN, Miller V, Gatell J, van Lunzen J, Parkin JM, et al. The use of and response to second-line protease inhibitor regimens: results from the EuroSIDA study. *AIDS* 2001;15:201-209.
- 3 The UK Collaborative HIV Cohort Steering Committee. The creation of a large UK-based multicentre cohort of HIV-infected individuals: the UK Collaborative HIV Cohort (UK CHIC) study. *HIV Med* 2004;5:115-24.
- 4 Paulsen D, Liao Q, Fusco G, St. Clair M, Shaefer M, Ross L. Genotypic and phenotypic cross-resistance patterns to lopinavir and amprenavir in protease inhibitor-experienced patients with HIV infection. *AIDS Res Hum Retrovir* 2002;18:1011-9.
- 5 Chadborn T, Dingley S, Morgan D, Evans BG. CD4 cell counts in HIV-infected adults at HIV diagnosis in England and Wales, 1990 to 2001. Abstract O28. Presented at 9th Annual Conference of the British HIV Association (BHIVA), Manchester, England, 24-26 April 2003.
- 6 Easterbrook PJ, Yu LM, Goetghebaur E, Boag F, McLean K, Gazzard B. Ten-year trends in CD4 cell counts at HIV and AIDS diagnosis in a London HIV clinic. *AIDS* 2000;14:561-71.
- 7 Barry SM, Lloyd-Owen SJ, Madge SJ, Cozzi-Lepri A, Evans AJ, Phillips AN, et al. The changing demographics of new HIV diagnoses at a London centre from 1994 to 2000. *HIV Med* 2002;3:129-34.
- 8 Kuritzkes DR. Preventing and managing antiretroviral drug resistance. *AIDS Pat Care STDs* 2004;18:259-73.
- 9 The UK Collaborative Group on HIV Drug Resistance and UK CHIC Study Group. Long term probability of detection of HIV-1 drug resistance after starting antiretroviral therapy in routine clinical practice. *AIDS* (in press).

(Accepted 14 January 2005)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38369.669850.8F

## The non-event worth a thousand successful procedures

The junior doctor's dilemma—how "happy" must you be with your diagnosis and treatment plan before you proceed without seeking a senior doctor's advice? I decided I needed to be happier, which proved to be the correct course of action.

The patient in question presented with shortness of breath, nothing new in a patient with known chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. The radiographer arrived, and the resultant chest x ray looked like a large pneumothorax. I quickly checked on the patient (stable) and his trachea (central) to ensure that I had not just requested the "film that should never have been taken" before skipping off to show the senior house officer. I had thoughts of chest drains running through my mind, and so was heartened by the senior house officer agreeing with my diagnosis and management. Sadly, she could not supervise me, so I should contact the registrar. The registrar would meet me on the ward shortly—fantastic, still on target for my first drain.

On returning to the ward, I found that the patient's old notes had turned up. I sat down to have a read and wait for the registrar. My eyes fell upon a sketch of a chest x ray remarkably similar to the film on the light box. The patient did not have a

pneumothorax but did have bullae emphysema. With this discovery, all procedural opportunities evaporated.

I now sketch chest x rays in patients' notes, a practice I find remarkably useful, and try to play devil's advocate to any invasive procedures no matter how keen I or others are to perform them. I had to wait for another opportunity for my first chest drain, but this was preferable to my first being inappropriate.

Tim McCormick *clinical fellow anaesthesia, Royal Alexandra Hospital for Sick Children, Brighton*  
([trmccormick@doctors.org.uk](mailto:trmccormick@doctors.org.uk))

We welcome articles up to 600 words on topics such as *A memorable patient*, *A paper that changed my practice*, *My most unfortunate mistake*, or any other piece conveying instruction, pathos, or humour. Please submit the article on <http://submit.bmj.com>. Permission is needed from the patient or a relative if an identifiable patient is referred to. We also welcome contributions for "Endpieces," consisting of quotations of up to 80 words (but most are considerably shorter) from any source, ancient or modern, which have appealed to the reader.