

Risk of ischaemic stroke in people with migraine: systematic review and meta-analysis of observational studies

Mahyar Etminan, Bahi Takkouche, Francisco Caamaño Isorna, Ali Samii

Abstract

Objective To explore the association between migraine and risk of ischaemic stroke.

Design Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Data sources Observational studies published between 1966 and June 2004 (identified through Medline and Embase) that examined the association between migraine and risk of ischaemic stroke.

Results 14 studies (11 case-control studies and 3 cohort studies) were identified. These studies suggest that the risk of stroke is increased in people with migraine (relative risk 2.16, 95% confidence interval 1.89 to 2.48). This increase in risk was consistent in people who had migraine with aura (relative risk 2.88, 1.89 to 4.39) and migraine without aura (relative risk 1.56, 1.03 to 2.36), as well as in those taking oral contraceptives (relative risk 8.72, 5.05 to 15.05).

Conclusions Data from observational studies suggest that migraine may be a risk factor in developing stroke. More studies are needed to explore the mechanism of this potential association. In addition, the risk of migraine among users of oral contraceptives must be further investigated.

Introduction

Migraine is the most common type of headache in young adults, with an estimated prevalence of 4% before puberty and as high as 25% in women by their mid to late 30s.¹ Some observational studies have shown an increase in the risk of stroke among people with a history of migraine,² but others have failed to find this association.³ The mechanism of this potential association is believed to be in part through platelet hyperaggregability and the reduction in cerebral blood flow that usually occurs in migraine with aura.⁴ A potential association between the risk of stroke and migraine is an important public health concern, especially in young women who use oral contraceptives, which by itself may be an independent risk factor for stroke.⁵

We explored the association between migraine and ischaemic stroke by conducting a meta-analysis. We set out to quantify the risk of ischaemic stroke among people with migraine (with and without aura), as well as to quantify this risk among different age groups and users of oral contraceptives.

Methods

Search strategy

We systematically searched Medline and Embase (inception until June 2004) for both English and non-English language articles (see bmj.com).

Data extraction

We included studies if they used clear diagnostic criteria for migraine; had clearly stated diagnostic criteria for the outcome of ischaemic stroke; had controlled for potential confounders by using risk adjustment; and provided odds ratios or relative risks and 95% confidence intervals. The studies had to provide data for migraine patients who were exposed to oral contraceptives compared with those who were not taking these agents. We also scored the quality of the studies by using a 10 point scale adapted from a recently published quality scale for observational studies (five criteria ranked 0, 1, or 2) and stratified the studies by score (a score of 7 or above indicates high quality; a score of 6 or below indicates low quality).⁶

Results

Our search resulted in 11 case-control studies,^{2 3 7-15} three cohort studies,¹⁶⁻¹⁸ and one cross sectional study¹⁹ (table 1). We excluded the cross sectional study from the analysis, as the timing of diagnosis of migraine with respect to development of ischaemic stroke was difficult to infer in this study. In total, we included 14 studies in the meta-analysis.^{2 3 7-18} Eight studies provided data on the risk of ischaemic stroke and migraine with and without aura.^{2 3 8-10 13-15} The age of the participants in the included studies ranged from 15 to 84 years. The incidence of stroke among people with migraine in the three cohort studies ranged from 3.56 to 350 cases per 100 000 person years.¹⁶⁻¹⁸

The pooled relative risk for ischaemic stroke among patients with any type of migraine headache was 2.16 (95% confidence interval 1.89 to 2.48) (table 2). This risk did not differ when we stratified our analysis by age (table 2). Users of oral contraceptives had an approximately eightfold increase in the risk of stroke compared with those not using these agents.

Divisions of Epidemiology, Royal Victoria and Vancouver Hospitals, Canada
Mahyar Etminan
pharmacoepidemiologist

Department of Preventive Medicine, University of Santiago de Compostela, Spain
Bahi Takkouche
professor
Francisco Caamaño Isorna
associate professor

Department of Neurology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA 98195, USA
Ali Samii
neurologist and associate professor

Correspondence to: M Etminan, Division of Clinical Epidemiology, Royal Victoria Hospital, Montreal, QC, Canada H3A 1A1
mahyar.etminan@mail.mcgill.ca

BMJ 2005;330:63-5



This is the abridged version of an article that was first posted on bmj.com on 20 December 2004: <http://bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.38302.504063.8F>

Table 1 Relative risks of ischaemic stroke according to type of migraine

First author, year	Relative risk (95% CI) of migraine			Adjustment for covariates and confounders	Cases:controls or cohort size	Cases:controls or cohort size with migraine
	Any	With aura	Without aura			
Case-control studies						
Collaborative group, 1975 ⁷	2.0 (1.2 to 3.3)	NS	NS	Age, contraceptives, smoking	430:451	115:212
Henrich, 1989 ⁸	1.8 (0.9 to 3.6)	2.6 (1.1 to 6.6)	1.3 (0.5 to 3.6)	Sex, age, race, date of discharge	89:178	17:20
Marini, 1993 ⁹	1.91 (1.05 to 3.5)	14.85 (1.8 to 124)	1.6 (0.9 to 3.0)	Diet, obesity, alcohol, smoking, contraceptives, hypertension, diabetes	308:308	46:25
Tzourio, 1993 ¹⁰	1.3 (0.8 to 2.3)	1.3 (0.5 to 3.8)	0.8 (0.4 to 1.5)	Age, sex, hypertension, smoking, diabetes, contraceptives	212:212	28:30
Tzourio, 1995 ²	3.5 (1.8 to 6.4)	6.2 (2.1 to 18)	3.0 (1.5 to 5.8)	Age, sex, hypertension, smoking, diabetes, contraceptives	72:173	43:52
Lidegaard, 1995 ¹¹	2.8 (2.00 to 4.25)	NS	NS	Pregnancy, diabetes, hypertension, earlier thrombotic disease, other disease	497:1370	64:66
Carolei, 1996 ³	1.9 (1.1 to 3.1)	8.6 (1.0 to 75)	1.0 (0.5 to 2.0)	Hypertension, smoking, cholesterol, diabetes, obesity, contraceptives, alcohol	308:591	46:54
Haapaniemi, 1997 ¹²	2.12 (1.05 to 2.95)	NS	NS	Hypertension, cardiac disease, current smoking, diabetes, alcohol	506:345	86:42
Chang, 1999 ¹³	3.54 (1.30 to 9.61)	3.81 (1.26 to 11.5)	2.97 (0.66 to 13.5)	Contraceptives, hypertension, smoking	291:736	71:88
Donaghy, 2002 ¹⁴	2.98 (1.24 to 7.19)	2.79 (0.94 to 8.31)	NS	Age, smoking, hypertension, heart condition, education level, social class	86:214	26:26
Schwaag, 2003 ¹⁵	2.11 (1.16 to 3.82)	1.0 (0.28 to 3.35)	2.5 (1.3 to 6.3)	Age, sex	160:160	37:20
Cohort studies						
Buring, 1995 ¹⁶	2.00 (1.10 to 3.64)	NS	NS	Age, smoking, hypertension, cholesterol, diabetes, heart condition, exercise frequency	213:21 952	19:1479
Merikangas, 1997 ¹⁷	2.1 (1.5 to 2.9)	NS	NS	Age, sex, hypertension, diabetes, heart condition, alcohol, smoking	423:12 200	NS
Nightingale, 2004 ^{*18}	2.33 (1.04 to 5.21)	NS	NS	Age, hypertension, physician	190:1129	16:44
Cross sectional study						
Kruit, 2004 ¹⁹	7.1 (0.9 to 55)	13.7 (1.7 to 112)	2.3 (0.2 to 23)	Age, sex, smoking, hypertension, cholesterol, alcohol	161:140	NS

NS=not specified.

*Nested case-control study within a well defined cohort.

We did not find evidence of publication bias either graphically from the funnel plot (see bmj.com) or quantitatively ($P = 0.685$ for Egger's test of asymmetry).

Discussion

The results of our study strongly suggest that migraine may be an independent risk factor for stroke. The magnitude of this risk remained the same across all studies (case-control and cohort) as well as in those that provided data on migraine with aura, migraine without aura, and oral contraceptive users. The risk of stroke among oral contraceptive users is high,

although these data come from only three studies. Other studies in women who were users of oral contraceptives have shown that those with a history of migraine have twice the likelihood of developing an ischaemic stroke compared with those without migraine (relative risk 2.15, 95% confidence interval 0.85 to 5.45).²⁰ Given that use of oral contraceptives is prevalent among young women, the potential risk of stroke among women with migraine who are also users of oral contraceptives must be further investigated.

Limitations

The study is subject to several limitations. Firstly, most of the studies in the meta-analysis were case-control studies, which are subject to recall bias. Cases may have been more likely to classify their headache as a migraine headache (as opposed to a tension headache). Secondly, although almost all the studies controlled for appropriate confounders in either the design or the analysis, some important confounders may not have been controlled for. For example, use of antihypertensive drugs may be a potential confounder, as these drugs may be used to prevent migraine attacks as well as future strokes. However, use of these drugs among people with migraine would probably have produced a decrease in the risk of stroke, which was not seen in our study. Antiphospholipid antibodies have been thought to be linked to stroke and possibly to migraine.²¹ None of the studies included in the meta-analysis provided information on this potential confounder. People who have migraine attacks might be less likely to be diagnosed as having a stroke, as the symptoms of migraine may be confused with those of stroke. Although this remains a possibility, many of the studies included in the meta-analysis used strict criteria to

Table 2 Pooled relative risks of ischaemic stroke stratified by migraine type, oral contraceptive use, and age

	No of studies	Relative risk (95% CI)	Ri*	P value†
Migraine (any)				
All studies	14	2.16 (1.89 to 2.48)	0.00	0.77
Case-control studies	11	2.18 (1.86 to 2.56)	0.00	0.51
Cohort studies	3	2.10 (1.61 to 2.75)	0.00	0.96
Migraine with aura				
Case-control studies	8	2.28 (1.89 to 4.39)	0.33	0.16
Migraine without aura				
Case-control studies	7	1.56 (1.03 to 2.36)	0.50	0.07
Migraine among oral contraceptive users				
Case-control studies	3	8.72 (5.05 to 15.05)	0.26	0.28
Migraine among men and women <45 years				
Case-control studies	9	2.36 (1.92 to 2.90)	0.07	0.38
Migraine among women <45 years				
Case-control studies	7	2.76 (2.17 to 3.52)	0.00	0.82

*Proportion of the total variance due to between study variance. Large values (>0.75) indicate large heterogeneity between studies; small values (<0.4) indicate lack of heterogeneity.

†DerSimonian and Laird Q statistic.

What is already known on this topic

Studies have suggested that migraine may be a risk factor for stroke, but results from these studies have been inconsistent

What this study adds

Migraine both with and without aura may be an independent risk factor for ischaemic stroke

This risk is higher among oral contraceptive users and younger adults (<45 years)

define ischaemic stroke, including duration of symptoms of at least 24 hours, as well as confirmation of diagnosis by brain imaging or autopsy. Finally, we could not infer from the studies a temporal relation between the onset of migraine and the diagnosis of stroke.

Conclusion

Data from observational studies suggest that migraine may be a risk factor in developing stroke. More studies are needed to explore the mechanism of this potential association. The risk of migraine among users of oral contraceptives must be further investigated.

Contributors: See bmj.com

Funding: No specific funding. ME is funded by a Canadian Institutes of Health Research postdoctoral fellowship award. AS is supported by the National Institutes of Health and the Department of Veterans Affairs through the Parkinson Disease Research Education and Clinical Center grant.

Competing interests: None declared.

Ethical approval: Not needed.

- Silberstein SD. Migraine. *Lancet* 2004;363:381-91.
- Tzourio C, Tehindranarivelo A, Iglesias S, Alperovitch A, Chedru F, d'Anglejan-Chatillon J, et al. Case-control study of migraine and risk of ischaemic stroke in young women. *BMJ* 1995;310:830-3.
- Carolei A, Marini C, De Matteis G. History of migraine and risk of cerebral ischaemia in young adults. *Lancet* 1996;347:1503-6.

- Woods RP, Iacoboni M, Mazziotta JC. Brief report: bilateral spreading cerebral hypoperfusion during spontaneous migraine headache. *N Engl J Med* 1994;331:1689-92.
- Lidegaard O, Kreiner S. Contraceptives and cerebral thrombosis: a five-year national case-control study. *Contraception* 2002;65:197-205.
- Bhutta A, Cleves M, Casey P, Cradock M, Anand KJS. Cognitive and behavioral outcomes of school-aged children who were born preterm: a meta-analysis. *JAMA* 2002;288:728-37.
- Collaborative Group for the Study of Stroke in Young Women. Oral contraceptives and stroke in young women. *JAMA* 1975;231:718-22.
- Henrich JB, Horwitz RI. A controlled study of ischemic stroke risk in migraine patients. *J Clin Epidemiol* 1989;42:773-80.
- Marini C, Carolei A, Roberts RS, Prencipe M, Gandolfo C, Inzitari D, et al. Focal cerebral ischemia in young adults: a collaborative case-control study. *Neuroepidemiology* 1993;12:70-81.
- Tzourio C, Iglesias S, Hubert JB, Visy JM, Alperovitch A, Tehindranarivelo A, et al. Migraine and risk of ischemic stroke: a case-control study. *BMJ* 1993;307:289-92.
- Lidegaard O. Oral contraceptives, pregnancy and the risk of cerebral thromboembolism: the influence of diabetes, hypertension, migraine and previous thrombotic disease. *Br J Obstet Gynaecol* 1995;102:153-9.
- Haapaniemi H, Hillbom M, Juvela S. Life-style associated risk factors for acute brain infarction among persons of working age. *Stroke* 1997;28:26-30.
- Chang CL, Donaghy M, Poulter N. Migraine and stroke in young women: case-control study. *BMJ* 1999;318:13-8.
- Donaghy M, Chang CL, Poulter N. Duration, frequency, recency, and type of migraine and the risk of ischaemic stroke in women of childbearing age. *J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry* 2002;73:747-50.
- Schwaag S, Nabavi DG, Frese A, Husstedt IW, Evers S. The association between migraine and juvenile stroke: a case-control study. *Headache* 2003;43:90-5.
- Buring JE, Hebert P, Romero J, Kittross A, Cook N, Manson J, et al. Migraine and subsequent risk of stroke in the physicians' health study. *Arch Neurol* 1995;52:129-34.
- Merikangas KR, Fenton B, Cheng SH, Stolar MJ, Rish N. Association between migraine and stroke in a large-scale epidemiological study of the United States. *Arch Neurol* 1997;54:362-8.
- Nightingale AL, Farmer RD. Ischemic stroke in young women: a nested case-control study using the UK general practice research database. *Stroke* 2004;35:1574-8.
- Kruit MC, Buchem MA, Hofman P, Bakkers JT, Terwindt GM, Ferrari MD, et al. Migraine as a risk factor for subclinical brain lesions. *JAMA* 2004;291:427-34.
- Schwartz SM, Petitti DB, Siscovick DS, Longstreth WT Jr, Sidney S, Raghunathan TE, et al. Stroke and use of low-dose oral contraceptives in young women: a pooled analysis of two US studies. *Stroke* 1998;29:2277-84.
- Tietjen GE, Day M, Norris L, Aurora S, Halvorsen A, Shultz LR, et al. Role of anticardiolipin antibodies in young persons with migraine and transient focal neurologic events: a prospective study. *Neurology* 1998;50:1433-40.

(Accepted 9 November 2004)

doi 10.1136/bmj.38302.504063.8F

Comparing estimates of cost effectiveness submitted to the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) by different organisations: retrospective study

A H Miners, Martina Garau, Dogan Fidan, A J Fischer

Abstract

Objective To assess the association between different types of organisation and the results from economic evaluations.

Design Retrospective pairwise comparison of evidence submitted to the technology appraisal programme of the National Institute for Clinical Excellence (NICE) by manufacturers of the relevant healthcare technologies and by contracted university based assessment groups.

Data sources Data from the first 62 appraisals.

Main outcome measure Incremental cost effectiveness ratios.

Results Data from 27 of the 62 appraisals could be compared. The analysis of 54 pairwise comparisons showed that manufacturer's estimates of incremental cost effectiveness ratios were lower (suggesting a more cost effective use of resources) than those produced by the assessment groups (25 were lower, 29 were the same, none were higher, $P < 0.01$). Restriction of this dataset to include only one pairwise comparison per appraisal (27 pairs) produced a similar result (21 were lower, two were the same, four were higher, $P < 0.001$).

Health Economics Research Group, Brunel University, Uxbridge UB8 3PH

A H Miners
visiting research fellow

Economics Department, University of York, Heslington, York YO1 5DD

Martina Garau
MSc student

continued over

BMJ 2005;330:65-8



This is the abridged version of an article that was posted on bmj.com on 15 December 2004: <http://bmj.com/cgi/doi/10.1136/bmj.38285.482350.82>