<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Discrepancy ID</th>
<th>Summary</th>
<th>Detail from Paper 1</th>
<th>Paper 2</th>
<th>Detail from Paper 3</th>
<th>Paper 4</th>
<th>Detail from Paper 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>t07/101</td>
<td>Contradiction whether randomized or accepter-rejecter</td>
<td>t07r1</td>
<td>Definitely randomized between cell therapy and control, e.g. pages 53, 67</td>
<td>t07r10</td>
<td>Patients refusing cell therapy acted as the control group, p723</td>
<td>t07r6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t07/102</td>
<td>Contradiction whether placebo (i.e. blinded) or open control</td>
<td>t07r1</td>
<td>Describes randomization, but not the use of Placebo</td>
<td>t07r10</td>
<td>Describes a controlled accepter rejecter study. No Placebo mentioned</td>
<td>t07r3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t07/103</td>
<td>Contradiction if cells were cultivated overnight or not</td>
<td>t07r10</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>t07r1</td>
<td>Yes (publications’ references 6 and 8)</td>
<td>t07r6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t08/101</td>
<td>Contradiction whether accepter-rejecter or randomized</td>
<td>t08r2</td>
<td>Appears to be accepter-rejecter but this is contradicted by 4 Publications from same group</td>
<td>t08r3</td>
<td>Randomly allocated</td>
<td>t08r4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>t08/103</td>
<td>Possible concealed dual therapy</td>
<td>t08r3</td>
<td>Fourth column: 21 chronic ischaemic and 3 DCM patients treated in Dusseldorf a second time, 3-12 months after the first treatment</td>
<td>t07r1</td>
<td>t07r10</td>
<td>t08r2 t49r3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
t09/101 Contradiction in the number of patients randomized (leading to 19 patients per group)

- 38 patients were randomized (Abstract, p.172)
- 41 patients included for randomization (Figure, p.30)

Contradiction between number of patients randomized vs. number of patients in randomized controls and recipients

- 41 patients were randomized (Figure, p.30)
- Each group (controls and recipients) contained 21 assigned patients (2x21=42)

Discrepancy between the total number and the sum of patients excluded from control group for the assessment of changes in max oxygen consumption

- 4 excluded (Figure 1)
- Reasons given for 3 patients (1 for transplant, 1 for LVAD placement and 1 for no show)

Contradiction if control group existed or not

- No, No (Non 1:1)
- Yes (1:1), Yes (Non 1:1)
- Yes, Yes (1:1), Yes (Non 1:1)
Accepter-rejecter (3 publications by group)...

Accepters versus Rejecters

Accepters versus Rejecters

Same document describes it both as randomized and non-randomized

.. versus Randomized (7 publications by same group regarding what seems to be the same study)

RANDOMIZED: "all our chronic patients (n=36) (t21) fulfilled the same inclusion criteria (e.g. randomization...)

Table 1: states IACT is: "RANDOMISIERT E, kontrollierte Studie"

while in the RANDOMIZED control group no significant changes were observed...

RANDOMIZED control group no significant changes were observed

Seems to be an undeclared duplicate publication

n=70 divided to 80 recipients and 35 controls

The whole is less than the sum of the parts

Contradiction if patients received inotropes or not

No dobutamine infusion

Dobutamine infusion for 8 hours after cell therapy. Possibly a duplicate publication but protocol appears different.
Contradictory statin usage between reports

First publication reported 94% (35/36) had been on statin therapy. Subsequently 100% (195/195) had statin before and after therapy.

The clinical trials number given is for an unrelated, smaller, intraMYOCARDIAL study; the paper is a larger, intraCORONARY study.

Methods

“Intracoronary transplantation”

NCT01350310

“Intramyocardial stem cell therapy”

Inconsistency of whether the 44 patients were randomized or acceptor-rejecter

0 randomized, 44 acceptor-rejecter

44 randomized, 0 acceptor-rejecter

Inconsistency of whether the first 20 patients were randomized or acceptor-rejecter

65 randomized, 20 acceptor-rejecter

85 randomized, 0 acceptor-rejecter

Inconsistent number of controls

n=11

n=10

Inconsistent number of recipients

n=9

n=10

Contradictory number of follow-ups

3

2
| t49/102 | Contradictory time of second follow-up investigations | t49r3 | 12 Months | t49r5 | 15 Months |
| t49/103 | Contradictory whether accepter-rejecter or randomized | t49r3 | Accepter-rejecter | t49r1 | Randomized |