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Abstract

Objective To determine the strength of evidence underlying recommendations for use of statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events.

Design Systematic review of studies with concurrent control groups.

Data sources Four electronic databases, the references of identified studies, international experts on perioperative medicine, and the authors of the primary studies.

Review methods Two reviewers independently extracted data from studies that reported acute coronary syndromes or mortality in patients receiving or not receiving statins during the perioperative period.

Main outcome measure Random effects summary odds ratios for death or acute coronary syndrome during the perioperative period.

Results 18 studies—two randomised trials (n = 177), 15 cohort studies (n = 799 632), and one case-control study (n = 480)—assessed whether statins provide perioperative cardiovascular protection; 12 studies enrolled patients undergoing non-cardiac vascular surgery, four enrolled patients undergoing coronary bypass surgery, and two enrolled patients undergoing various surgical procedures. In the randomised trials the summary odds ratio for death or acute coronary syndrome during the perioperative period with statin use was 0.26 (95% confidence interval 0.07 to 0.99) and the summary odds ratio in the cohort studies was 0.70 (0.57 to 0.87). Although the pooled cohort data provided a statistically significant result, statins were not randomly allocated, results in trials the summary odds ratio for death or acute coronary syndrome during the perioperative period.

Conclusion The evidence base for routine administration of statins to reduce perioperative cardiovascular risk is inadequate.

Introduction

Each year about one million patients undergoing non-cardiac surgery worldwide and at least 10% of all patients undergoing cardiac surgery experience a cardiovascular complication. Some authors have endorsed the use of statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events, and a recent pharmacoeconomic study stated that routine perioperative use of statins was "the most cost-effective use of statin therapy yet described."

Large randomised trials have shown that statins decrease morbidity and mortality from cardiovascular events in patients with, or at high risk of, coronary artery disease. Although several of the non-lipid lowering pleiotropic effects of statins (principally plaque stabilisation and anti-inflammatory modulation) are hypothesised to help prevent perioperative myocardial infarctions, the pathophysiology of perioperative myocardial infarction is incompletely understood.

We carried out a systematic review to determine the strength of evidence for using statins during the perioperative period to reduce the risk of cardiovascular events.

Methods

We included studies if they contained data on acute coronary syndrome or mortality in adults who were or were not treated with statins during the perioperative period. We excluded studies that did not include a control group drawn from the same population, were published in abstract form only, or evaluated patients first treated with statins in the postoperative period.

Study identification and selection

On 27 September 2005 a medical librarian (JB) searched Medline (1966-2005), Embase (1988-2005), the Cochrane Library, and Biosis Previews (1969-2005) using relevant subject headings, chemical registry names, and the text words "statins" or "hydroxymethylglutaryl-coenzyme A reductase inhibitors" and "perioperative care", "preoperative care", "postoperative care" or "intra-operative care", "surgery", "surgical", and "operative/surgical operations" (complete search terms available from JB). The search was updated on 6 February 2006. A cited reference search was also carried out in Web of Science to identify other eligible papers, reference lists of primary studies were reviewed, and experts (including primary study authors) were contacted to identify any other studies.

Data extraction and quality assessment

ASK, HK, and FAM independently screened citations, abstracted data, and assessed methodological quality. If data needed clarification we contacted the original investigators. As we were interested in perioperative outcomes we extracted data for 30 days after surgery (these were available for all but one of the studies after contact with the authors of the primary study—data for the final study were reported at 60 days).

Data analysis

From each study we extracted intention to treat data on death or acute coronary syndrome in the perioperative period and used RevMan 4.2 (Update Software, Oxford, United Kingdom). Owing to methodological heterogeneity among studies, we did
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not pool all studies to create an overall summary estimate, but we carried out a meta-analysis of methodologically similar studies (for example, all randomised trials or all cohort studies) using the DerSimonian and Laird random effects model to calculate odds ratios; the I^2 statistic was used to assess for heterogeneity in each outcome of interest. We did not assess publication bias owing to the heterogeneity in study methodology, because covariates that lead to heterogeneity in the relation between exposure and outcome will also lead to asymmetry in a funnel plot.19

Results
Overall, 2373 citations were identified, of which 18 studies fulfilled our eligibility criteria (fig 1),1-15 including eight studies not included in a recently published review on the potential of statins used during the perioperative period.3

Of the studies eligible for inclusion, three were reported in more than one publication. The second publication reported results for different end points, different follow-up periods, or selected subgroups.11-15 Some overlap also occurred in patient populations reported in a case-control publication16 and in a retrospective cohort publication.13 On the advice of the author of the primary study we included the data from only the retrospective cohort publication in any pooled estimates to avoid potential double counting of some patients (D Poldermans, personal communication, 2006).

Table 1 presents key characteristics from the 18 unique included studies, reporting data from 800 289 operations in 800 106 patients. Two were randomised controlled trials11-13; one was a case-control study16; and 15 were cohort studies, of which 12 used a retrospective design.11-14 One of these cohort studies reported data derived from a randomised trial testing edifoligide to vein grafts before implantation.16 All but two of the included studies17-18 evaluated outcomes with statin use in patients undergoing cardiovascular surgery (four studies of coronary artery bypass grafting surgery and 12 of non-cardiac vascular surgical procedures). Although two studies examined the effects of lipid lowering therapy rather than statin therapy, in both17 the majority of patients receiving lipid lowering therapy were using statins, and event rates in statin users and non-users were identical to those of users of other lipid lowering therapy (G Landesberg, personal communication, 2006).

Qualitative data synthesis
The Jadad quality scores, out of a maximum of five, for the two randomised studies were five and two, but in one of the trials it was only clear that treatment allocation had been adequately concealed after contact with the author.21 Overall the 16 non-randomised studies were rated as being of good quality using the Downs and Black scoring system (table 2). The internal validity scores for the 16 non-randomised studies were, however, only fair to moderate, with lack of blinding of patients or providers to statin exposure being the main shortfall. Few of these studies described the duration or dose of statin therapy during the perioperative period and few reported information on compliance with treatment.

Perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome
Both of the randomised trials and 15 of the cohort studies evaluated the composite outcome of perioperative death or acute coronary syndrome. Although the summary odds ratio for the randomised trials was 0.26 (95% confidence interval 0.07 to 0.99), this was based on only 15 events in 177 patients and cannot be considered conclusive. However, pooling the data from all 13 cohort studies (1004 events in 18 465 patients) gave a summary odds ratio of 0.70 (0.57 to 0.87) with statin use (fig 2).

Although event rates in controls were similar for the cohorts of non-cardiac surgery (6.3%) and cardiac surgery studies (6.6%), heterogeneity was present in the pooled estimate derived from these 13 cohort studies, largely driven by the lower event rates in statin treated patients in four of the smallest studies, all of which reported fewer than 50 events.12 13 16 18 The pooled estimate from the 10 retrospective cohort studies (odds ratio 0.65, 95% confidence interval 0.50 to 0.84) suggested substantially greater benefits with statin use than that derived from the three prospective cohort studies (0.91, 0.65 to 1.27).

---

**Table 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Type</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Randomised trials</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>11-13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case-control study</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cohort studies</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>11-14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Notes**

1. DerSimonian and Laird random effects model to calculate odds ratios, with I^2 statistic for assessing heterogeneity.

2. Jadad quality scores ranging from 1 to 5, with higher scores indicating higher quality.

3. Downs and Black scoring system for evaluating study quality, with ratings ranging from 1 to 9, with higher scores indicating better quality.

---

**Fig 1** Flow of studies through selection process
### Table 1 Description of included studies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (design)</th>
<th>Sample size (mean age)</th>
<th>Study population and types of surgery</th>
<th>Statin use</th>
<th>Patients with dyslipidaemia (%)</th>
<th>Patients using aspirin or other antiplatelet agent, or β blockers (%)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-cardiac surgery:</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Boersma et al 2001&lt;sup&gt;15&lt;/sup&gt; (prospective cohort)</td>
<td>1351 (NR)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients scheduled for elective major vascular surgery (47% aortic femoral bypass, 30% infrarenal reconstruction)</td>
<td>Taking “lipid lowering agents” before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>30, 27</td>
<td>Cardiac death or non-fatal acute coronary syndrome detected by routine clinical care*</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poldermans et al 2003&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (case control)</td>
<td>480 (70)</td>
<td>Patients undergoing major vascular surgery (38% repair of acute abdominal aortic aneurysm, 48% elective repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 11% revascularisation of legs) from 1991-2000</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>26, 30</td>
<td>Death from any cause within 30 days of surgery</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landesberg et al 2003&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>502 (66)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing elective vascular operations (60% infrarenal bypass, 38% repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 2% axillofemoral bypass) from 1990-9</td>
<td>Taking lipid lowering therapy before operation</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>NR, 15</td>
<td>Death from any cause</td>
<td>1 month and long term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Durazzo et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2004 (randomised controlled trial)</td>
<td>100 (NR)</td>
<td>Patients for elective non-cardiac vascular surgery (56% aortic repair, 25% infrarenal arterial bypass, 11% carotid endarterectomy), no recent acute coronary syndrome or stroke, recruited between 1999 and 2000</td>
<td>Taking statins for at least 14 days before operation</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>39, 60</td>
<td>Cardiac death, non-fatal acute coronary syndromes or ischaemic stroke, or both detected by routine physical examinations, electrocardiography, and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected†</td>
<td>10 days and 6 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindemauer et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2004 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>780 591 (64)</td>
<td>Patients who survived beyond postoperative day 2 after non-cardiac surgery (50% of surgery elective and types of surgery: 36% orthopaedic, 32% abdominal, 8% vascular) from 2000-1</td>
<td>Taking lipid lowering therapy in first two days after admission to hospital (91% statins)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11, 19</td>
<td>In-hospital mortality (average stay five days)</td>
<td>Admission to Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kertai et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2004 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>570 (68)</td>
<td>Patients undergoing elective surgery for infrarenal abdominal aortic aneurysm between 1991 and 2000</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>23, 45</td>
<td>All cause mortality and myocardial infarction detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected†</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbruzzese et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>189 operations, 172 patients (69)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients who underwent infrarenal arterial reconstruction from 1999-2001</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, 63</td>
<td>All cause mortality and myocardial infarction extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O’Neil-Callahan et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>1163 operations, 987 patients (71)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients who underwent carotid endarterectomy (31%), aortic surgery (15%) or revascularisation of the legs (54%) from 1999-2000 (84% elective)</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>NR, 52, 49</td>
<td>Death and acute coronary syndrome extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>Admission to Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schouten et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>981 (67)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing elective major vascular surgery from 1998-2004 (60% repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm, 40% revascularisation of the legs)</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation (average 40 days)</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, NR</td>
<td>Myopathy, death, or acute coronary syndrome detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>3283 (72)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy (65% symptomatic) from 2000-1</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, NR</td>
<td>Mortality, stroke, or acute coronary syndromes extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>Admission to Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGirt et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>1566 (72)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing carotid endarterectomy (42% symptomatic) from 1994-2004</td>
<td>Taking statins for at least seven days before operation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NR, NR</td>
<td>Mortality, stroke, or acute coronary syndromes extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward et al&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt; 2005&lt;sup&gt;17&lt;/sup&gt; (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>446 (67)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing infrarenal vascular bypass surgery from 1995-2001 because of atherosclerotic disease</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, 21</td>
<td>Mortality, stroke, acute coronary complications extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The data we report in figure 2 are derived from the crude event rates reported in each study. Those studies that examined for differences between statin users and non-users reported that statin users were more likely to be male and to have coronary artery disease or other cardiovascular comorbidities.\(^{12} \)\(^{13} \)\(^{15} \)\(^{16} \)\(^{19} \)\(^{20} \) Among these studies, we pooled the 177 patients randomised, the pooled odds ratios from the two randomised trials were 0.49 (0.38 to 0.64) for cardiac surgery studies and 0.69 (0.65 to 0.72) for non-cardiac surgery studies (fig 3). As a result, those studies that adjusted for covariates found that the associations between statin use and outcomes were preserved after adjustment.\(^ {27} \)\(^ {15} \)

**Peripheral death and safety**

Although neither of the randomised trials were powered for mortality (only one death occurred in the perioperative period in the 177 patients randomised), the pooled odds ratios from the cohort studies were 0.49 (0.38 to 0.64) for cardiac surgery studies and 0.69 (0.65 to 0.72) for non-cardiac surgery studies (fig 3). Again, those studies that adjusted for covariates found that the associations between statin use and outcomes were still present.

Table 2  Methodological quality assessments of non-randomised studies. Values in brackets are maximum scores achievable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (design)</th>
<th>Sample size (mean age)</th>
<th>Study population and types of surgery</th>
<th>Statin use</th>
<th>Patients with dyslipidaemia (%)</th>
<th>Patients using aspirin or other antiplatelet agent, or β blockers (%)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amar et al 2005w18 (prospective cohort)</td>
<td>131 (71)</td>
<td>Patients aged 60 years or older undergoing elective major thoracic surgery from 2002-3 (89% lobectomy, 7% oesophagectomy, 4% pneumonectomy)</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, 22</td>
<td>Death within 30 days, atrial fibrillation, stroke extracted from hospital and physician records</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conte et al 2005w20 (prospective cohort in setting of randomised controlled trial)</td>
<td>1484 (68)</td>
<td>Patients undergoing infragenual revascularisation for critical limb ischaemia and enrolled in a randomised trial testing ex vivo application of edifoligide to vein grafts before implantation</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>50, 49</td>
<td>Mortality, acute coronary syndromes, and stroke collected prospectively within trial setting</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Cardiac surgery:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (design)</th>
<th>Sample size (mean age)</th>
<th>Study population and types of surgery</th>
<th>Statin use</th>
<th>Patients with dyslipidaemia (%)</th>
<th>Patients using aspirin or other antiplatelet agent, or β blockers (%)</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
<th>Follow-up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Christenson 1999w14 (randomised controlled trial)</td>
<td>77 (63)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (87% elective) between 1997 and 1998</td>
<td>Taking statins for four weeks before operation</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>NR, NR</td>
<td>Acute coronary syndrome detected by routine electrocardiography and cardiac biomarkers done postoperatively for seven days. After discharge, only symptomatic events detected</td>
<td>7 days and 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dotani et al 2000w12 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>323 (63)</td>
<td>Consecutive elective patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery in 1997</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>NR, NR</td>
<td>Cardiac death, acute coronary syndromes, stroke, arrhythmia, or heart failure extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>2 months and 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al 2004w10 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>1683 (63)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery (81% elective) between 2000 and 2001</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>51, 52</td>
<td>All cause mortality, acute coronary syndrome, stroke, arrhythmias, or renal dysfunction extracted from chart review*</td>
<td>1 month</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ali and Buth 2005w4 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>5469 (NR)</td>
<td>Consecutive patients undergoing coronary artery bypass grafting surgery or valve surgery, or both (51% elective) from 1998-2003</td>
<td>Taking statins before operation</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR, 81</td>
<td>Mortality, intra-aortic balloon pump use, or acute coronary syndrome (extracted from discharge records)*</td>
<td>7 days</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR: not reported.
\*Thus clinically apparent cases only.
†That is, no routine surveillance.

---
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study (design)</th>
<th>Reporting (11)</th>
<th>External validity (3)</th>
<th>Internal validity: bias (7)</th>
<th>Internal validity: confounding (6)</th>
<th>Power (2)</th>
<th>Overall (29)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Boersma et al 2001w16 (prospective cohort)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Felderman et al 2003w17 (case-control)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landesberg et al 2003w18 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lindauer et al 2004w19 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kertai et al 2004w20 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abbruzzese et al 2004w21 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D’Este-Callahan et al 2005w22 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Schouten et al 2005w23 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kennedy et al 2005w24 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McGirt et al 2005w25 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ward et al 2005w26 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amar et al 2005w27 (prospective cohort)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conte et al 2005w28 (prospective cohort)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dotani et al 2005w29 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pan et al 2004w30 (retrospective cohort)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
even after adjustment. Further, a case-control study with rigorous matching of controls to cases reported an odds ratio for mortality with statin use of 0.22 (0.10 to 0.47) and consistency of effect across all tested subgroups.

Only one of the studies reported liver dysfunction rates in statin users (one case of elevated aminotransferase levels in 50 patients) and the only study that explicitly examined risk of rhabdomyolysis in surgical patients who did or did not take statins reported no increased risk with statin use; however, the frequency of increased creatinine phosphokinase levels to greater than 10 times normal in both arms of that study (8% v
implicated in the immediate period after a myocardial infarction. Currently available for perioperative statins. In the 1990s (on the basis of stronger observational evidence than that experts who endorsed hormone replacement therapy in the perioperative benefits of statins reported in the literature are greater than the benefits reported for long term statin use in patients with coronary disease, patients with multiple cardiac risk factors, or patients with elevated low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. However, until evidence from randomised trials has accumulated sufficient numbers of perioperative events to provide a definitive answer, we believe it is premature to advocate the routine use of statins in the perioperative period for patients without established coronary disease.
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1 Devereaux PJ, Goldman L, Cook DJ, Gilbert K, Leslie K, Guyatt GH. Perioperative cardiovascular risk reduction: a review of the magnitude of several authors advocate using statins to reduce perioperative cardiovascular risk. The evidence for such use is unclear.

What this study adds

Perioperative statins are associated with lower rates of acute coronary syndromes and mortality.

These findings are based on 16 observational studies and only two small randomised trials.

Evidence is insufficient to advocate the routine use of statins for perioperative cardiovascular risk reduction.

What is already known on this topic

Several authors advocate using statins to reduce perioperative cardiovascular risk.

The evidence for such use is unclear.

Discussion

Literature suggests that the use of statins during the perioperative period in patients undergoing high risk surgery may confer substantial benefits. Statin users exhibit perioperative rates of death or acute coronary syndromes that are 30% to 42% lower than those observed in patients who are not taking statins at the time of surgery. It is important to emphasise that these findings are largely based on observational cohort studies and that the two randomised trials published on this topic are too small (even when pooled) to provide conclusive evidence on the effect of statins in the perioperative period. The perioperative benefits of statins reported in the literature are greater than the benefits reported for long term statin use in patients with coronary disease or after coronary artery bypass grafting surgery; the magnitude of risk reduction seen in these perioperative studies even exceeds the benefits seen with statin use in the immediate period after a myocardial infarction. Although some authors have speculated that the non-lipid lowering pleiotropic effects of statins may be particularly beneficial during the postoperative period in patients in whom levels of inflammatory cytokines and chemokines are at their highest, an equally appealing pathophysiological rationale was cited by experts who endorsed hormone replacement therapy in the 1990s (on the basis of stronger observational evidence than that currently available for perioperative statins). Even with adjustment for covariates and prescription propensity, the use of statins in an observational dataset may just be a surrogate for unmeasured confounders that improve prognosis: for example, the use of other therapies proved to be efficacious in cardiovascular risk reduction such as aspirin, beta blockers, and angiotensin converting enzyme inhibitors may be more common in statin users. Our study summarises the current literature on this topic but should not be taken as an endorsement for the widespread use of statins perioperatively. Rather, our study should be used to inform the design of a randomised trial of statins in the perioperative period.

As with any systematic review, our study has limitations beyond the paucity of evidence for statins from randomised trials. For example, none of the studies reported doses, few reported the duration of therapy before surgery, and none provided details on patient compliance with treatment. One of the key criteria for establishing causation between an exposure and an outcome is to show a dose-response relation; we were unable to do this given the status of the current literature. Furthermore, few studies reported the type of statins used, so that it was not possible to examine for differences between agents. Also, data were limited on cholesterol levels before and after surgery in virtually all of these studies; it was therefore not possible to examine statin effects according to baseline lipid levels. We cannot establish to what extent the apparent benefits seen with statins in these observational studies were inflated owing to the inclusion of patients withdrawn from their statin before surgery in the group not using statins (a potential confounder since acute statin withdrawal may cause cardiac events). Finally, although our review included data from over 800 000 patients, these studies provided little information on the safety of perioperative statin use.

In conclusion, although our systematic review suggests substantial benefits from perioperative statin use, this is largely based on observational data, and evidence from the randomised trials is not conclusive even when pooled. We believe it is reasonable to advocate that statins should be started preoperatively in eligible patients who would warrant statin therapy for medical reasons independent of the proposed operation—for example, patients with coronary disease, patients with multiple cardiac risk factors, or patients with elevated low density lipoprotein cholesterol levels. However, until evidence from randomised trials has accumulated sufficient numbers of perioperative events to provide a definitive answer, we believe it is premature to advocate the routine use of statins in the perioperative period for patients without established coronary disease.
the problem, the pathophysiology of the events and methods to estimate and communicate risk. CMAJ 2005;173:627-34.


(Accepted 22 September 2006)

doi 10.1136/bmj.39006.531146.BE

Division of General Internal Medicine, University of Alberta, 8410 112 Street, Edmonton, AB, Canada T6G 2R7
Anmol S Kapoor
postgraduate trainee
Finlay A McAlister
associate professor
Department of Cardiovascular Surgery, University of Alberta
Hussein Kanji
postgraduate trainee
John W Scott Health Sciences Library, University of Alberta
Jeanette Buckingham
medical librarian
Department of Medicine, Clinical Epidemiology, and Biostatistics, McMaster University, Hamilton, Canada
P J Devereaux
assistant professor
Correspondence to: F A McAlister
finlay.mcalister@ualberta.ca