Mediators of the association between pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy: population based cohort study
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Abstract

Objective To test the hypothesis that pre-eclampsia is a risk factor for cerebral palsy mediated through preterm birth and being born small for gestational age.

Design Population based cohort study.

Setting Clinical data from the Norwegian Cerebral Palsy Registry were linked with perinatal data prospectively recorded by the Medical Birth Registry of Norway.

Participants All singleton babies who survived the neonatal period during 1996-2006 (849 children with cerebral palsy and 616658 control children).

Main outcome measures Cerebral palsy and cerebral palsy subtypes.

Results Children exposed to pre-eclampsia had an excess risk of cerebral palsy (unadjusted odds ratio 2.5, 95% confidence interval 2.0 to 3.2) compared with unexposed children. Among children born at term (≥37 weeks), exposure to pre-eclampsia was not associated with an excess risk of cerebral palsy in babies not born small for gestational age (1.2, 0.7 to 2.0), whereas children exposed to pre-eclampsia and born small for gestational age had a significantly increased risk of cerebral palsy (3.2, 1.5 to 6.7). Non-small for gestational age babies born very preterm (<32 weeks) and exposed to pre-eclampsia had a reduced risk of cerebral palsy compared with unexposed children born at the same gestational age (0.5, 0.3 to 0.8), although the risk was not statistically significantly reduced among children exposed to pre-eclampsia and born small for gestational age (0.7, 0.4 to 1.3). Exposure to pre-eclampsia was not associated with a specific cerebral palsy subtype.

Conclusions Exposure to pre-eclampsia was associated with an increased risk of cerebral palsy, and this association was mediated through the children being born preterm or small for gestational age, or both. Among children born at term, pre-eclampsia was a risk factor for cerebral palsy only when the children were small for gestational age.

Introduction

Cerebral palsy includes a group of permanent disorders of movement or posture caused by an early brain injury.⁷ Although several factors, including preterm birth and low birth weight for gestation,³ are associated with excess risk, the causes of cerebral palsy remain largely unknown. Pre-eclampsia affects 3-5% of pregnant women and is characterised by maternal hypertension and proteinuria occurring after 20 weeks of gestation.⁴ Serious manifestations may induce iatrogenic preterm delivery, and pre-eclampsia contributes substantially to prematurity, perinatal morbidity, and mortality.⁵ Early onset pre-eclampsia (before 34 weeks of gestation) is commonly associated with severe placental dysfunction, which can compromise fetal blood supply and cause fetal growth restriction,⁶ chronic hypoxaemia, and possibly brain damage.⁶ Hence it is plausible that pre-eclampsia could be a risk factor for cerebral palsy.

Some studies have found an excess risk of cerebral palsy in children born at term from pre-eclamptic pregnancies,⁸⁻¹⁰ whereas others have reported no association.¹¹⁻¹³ Recently, researchers found an excess risk of cerebral palsy in children from...
pre-eclamptic pregnancies diagnosed before 37 weeks of gestation, and they concluded that while some of the association probably was attributable to preterm birth, a direct effect was likely. The authors did not, however, attempt to explore whether the effect at least partly could be ascribed to the fetal growth restriction often accompanying pre-eclampsia. Furthermore, no study to date has assessed whether pre-eclampsia is associated with specific subtypes of cerebral palsy. Pre-eclampsia is considered a vascular endothelial disorder and has been identified as an independent risk factor for perinatal arterial ischaemic stroke. Cerebral palsy, and especially unilateral cerebral palsy in children born at term, is considered a common outcome of perinatal stroke, and a causal relation between pre-eclampsia and unilateral cerebral palsy might therefore be plausible. Hence, a specific cerebral palsy subtype would be expected to be more common in children from pre-eclamptic pregnancies if pre-eclampsia has a direct effect on the risk of cerebral palsy.

In the present study, we linked data from the Norwegian Cerebral Palsy Registry with data from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway to test the hypothesis that pre-eclampsia is a risk factor for cerebral palsy mediated through preterm birth and being born small for gestational age. Finally, we hypothesised that a direct effect of pre-eclampsia on the risk of cerebral palsy would result in a specific cerebral palsy subtype, and in particular the unilateral spastic cerebral palsy subtype.

Methods

All singleton babies surviving the early neonatal period in Norway between 1 January 1996 and 31 December 2006 were eligible for this study. From the Medical Birth Registry of Norway we extracted data on pre-eclampsia in pregnancy, maternal health and delivery, and the early neonatal period. Using the 11 digit person identification number unique for each Norwegian citizen, we linked these data with clinical data recorded in the cerebral palsy registry, collected through all paediatric habilitation centres in Norway between 1 January 2003 and 27 March 2012. The recording of data in the cerebral palsy registry of Norway and linkage with the medical birth registry requires informed consent from the parents. In addition to this detailed consent based information, the habilitation centres report the total number of children with cerebral palsy for whom they care (summative information).

Study population

A total of 646 731 children were born between 1996 and 2006. We excluded 6905 neonates registered as stillbirths or dead in the first week of life, and 78 neonates born before 22 weeks of gestation (see supplementary figure 1b).

According to the summative information from the paediatric habilitation centres, 1,494 children born between 1996 and 2006 had a diagnosis of cerebral palsy. By 27 March 2012, detailed data for 381 of these children (25.5%) had not been recorded in the cerebral palsy registry (see supplementary figure 1a). Since no information was available on these children, including birth dates, we were not able to exclude these children from the reference population. Among the remaining 1,113 children with detailed clinical information, we excluded 80 because of missing data in the birth registry (70 children were born abroad and 10 were not registered in the birth registry). Forty nine children with cerebral palsy of postneonatal origin were excluded. We finally excluded 22,112 children born of multiple pregnancies, 135 of whom had cerebral palsy. Thus the final study population included 849 children with cerebral palsy (1.4 per 1000, 58.7% boys and 41.3% girls) and 616 658 children without cerebral palsy.

Study variables

Cerebral palsy was diagnosed and classified according to the Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe guidelines. The cerebral palsy registry of Norway is an informed consent based registry containing detailed data on each registered child, provided by all paediatric habilitation centres in Norway. A senior paediatrician records the clinical data on standardised forms. The diagnosis of cerebral palsy was confirmed in all the children when they were at least four years old, according to the recommendations of the Surveillance of Cerebral Palsy in Europe network. The distribution of cerebral palsy subtypes and gross or fine motor functions did not differ when comparing the characteristics of the children with cerebral palsy between habilitation centres with nearly complete recording of cases (>90% of cases) and habilitation centres with low participation (<90% of cases).

We retrieved data on pre-eclampsia in pregnancy from the Medical Birth Registry of Norway. Since 1967 the registry has collected data prospectively on all births in Norway through compulsory notification. Until 2006, obstetric guidelines in Norway defined pre-eclampsia as a rise in blood pressure after 20 weeks of gestation to ≥140/90 mm Hg, a rise in systolic blood pressure of ≥30 mm Hg from baseline (that is, blood pressure measured before the 20th week of gestation), or a rise in diastolic blood pressure of ≥15 mm Hg from baseline, combined with proteinuria (≥0.3 g/24 h urine or ≥ + dipstick). Since 1 January 1999, pregnancies registered in the birth registry by checkbox with pre-eclampsia, early onset pre-eclampsia (onset before 34 weeks), eclampsia, or HELLP syndrome (haemolysis, elevated liver enzymes, and low platelet count syndrome) were defined as pre-eclamptic. Before 1999 pre-eclampsia was reported as “complications” under the item “Mother’s health during pregnancy” and specified as pre-eclampsia in free text.

From the birth registry we obtained data on the mothers (age at delivery), pregnancies (parity, gestational age at birth, multiple pregnancies), and neonates (sex, birth weight, Apgar score). We dichotomised women’s parity as nulliparous (no previous births) or parous (≥1 previous births). In the registry, gestational age at birth is calculated from routine ultrasonographic measurements of biparietal diameter at 17–18 weeks of gestation according to Norwegian growth curves. If ultrasonographic measurements are missing, gestational age is calculated from the first day of the last menstrual period. We divided duration of pregnancy into three categories: term birth (≥37+0 weeks of gestation), moderate preterm birth (32+0 to 36+6 weeks), and very preterm birth (≤31+6 weeks). We used small for gestational age as a proxy for fetal growth restriction and defined small for gestational age as a birth weight below the 10th centile, calculated according to Norwegian sex and gestational age specific standard curves. Since 3.1% of the children had missing data on gestational age, the number of participants in the analyses including children born small for gestational age as well as in the analyses stratified according to duration of pregnancy are lower than in the total study population.

Other covariates retrieved from the birth registry included assisted fertilisation (in vitro fertilisation or intracytoplasmatic sperm injection) and initiation of delivery (spontaneous, medically induced, or by caesarean section). Since 1999 the birth registry has also recorded information on smoking at the beginning or towards the end of pregnancy. The recording of
this information required informed consent by the mothers; 350
865 (56.8%) women had information on smoking. The
proportion of mothers with information on smoking did not
differ between children with cerebral palsy (n=492, 58.0%) and
without cerebral palsy (n=350, 373, 56.8%); whereas this
proportion was slightly higher in pre-eclamptic pregnancies
(n=13, 794, 60.1%) than in non-pre-eclamptic pregnancies
(n=227, 071, 56.8%).

Statistical analyses
We used SPSS (version 20) for statistical analyses. Differences
in proportions were analysed using χ² statistics. We used logistic
regression to analyse the effect of pre-eclampsia on cerebral
palsy. In adjusted analyses we took into account the hierarchical
relation between the suggested risk factors (see supplementary
figure 2). Firstly, we adjusted for factors considered to be
possible mediators of the potential effect of pre-eclampsia on
cerebral palsy; namely, small for gestational age (model 2)
and preterm birth (model 3). Furthermore, we included an
interaction term of each potential mediator and pre-eclampsia. If
the interaction term was statistically significant, we included
it in the model (model 4). Finally, we adjusted for potential
confounders. We considered a variable to be a potential
confounder if it could theoretically be associated with both
exposure (pre-eclampsia) and outcome (cerebral palsy) and
could not be affected by exposure or outcome. We first explored
separately the effects of these potential confounders, including
maternal age, parity, smoking in pregnancy, assisted fertilisation,
and sex of the child, in that order. We a priori decided to include
only those variables in the final model that significantly affected
the association between pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy—that
is, changed the unadjusted odds ratio by more than 10%.
To further explore the role of small for gestational age as a
potential mediator of the effect of pre-eclampsia on cerebral
palsy, we compared the individual and combined effects of
pre-eclampsia and small for gestational age on the risk of
cerebral palsy.

Where relevant we report 95% confidence intervals. We
considered two sided P values lower than 0.05 to be significant.

Results
Table 1 presents the characteristics of the mothers and children
within the study groups.

Children exposed to pre-eclampsia had an excess risk of cerebral
palsy compared with non-exposed children (unadjusted odds
ratio 2.52, 95% confidence interval 1.98 to 3.19, table 2). Adjusting
for small for gestational age reduced the unadjusted odds ratio of
cerebral palsy after exposure to pre-eclampsia to
2.14 (1.67 to 2.74, table 2, model 2). When we further adjusted
for duration of pregnancy, children exposed to pre-eclampsia
had an odds ratio for cerebral palsy of 0.73 (0.56 to 0.96, model
3). However, although there was no significant interaction
between pre-eclampsia and small for gestational age on risk of
cerebral palsy (P=0.17), there was a statistically significant
interaction between pre-eclampsia and duration of pregnancy
on risk of cerebral palsy (P=0.002). This indicates that the effect
of pre-eclampsia on cerebral palsy varies with duration of
pregnancy. Consequently, table 3 shows the risk for cerebral
palsy in children exposed to pre-eclampsia who were or were
not small for gestational age stratified by duration of pregnancy.

In term children, pre-eclampsia alone was not associated with
an excess risk of cerebral palsy (table 3), whereas if the child
was also born small for gestational age the odds ratio of cerebral
palsy was 3.18 (1.50 to 6.71). A similar pattern was seen among
children born moderately preterm (table 3), although the odds
ratio for cerebral palsy in children born small for gestational
age and exposed to pre-eclampsia was not statistically
significantly increased (1.59, 0.80 to 3.16). In children born
very preterm, pre-eclampsia alone was associated with a reduced
risk of cerebral palsy, whereas if the exposed child also was
small for gestational age, the risk for cerebral palsy was not
statistically different compared with unexposed children born
at the same duration of pregnancy (table 3).

To study the overall risk for cerebral palsy in children exposed
to pre-eclampsia allowing for the interaction with duration of
pregnancy, we constructed a new variable with six categories,
combining pre-eclampsia (yes or no) and duration of pregnancy
(term, moderately preterm, very preterm). Using children
unexposed to pre-eclampsia and born at term as the reference
group we assessed the risk of cerebral palsy in each category.
Table 4 shows that in a model adjusting for small for
gestational age status children born at term and exposed to
pre-eclampsia did not have an excess risk for cerebral palsy,
whereas children born moderately preterm had a nearly fivefold
excess risk, and children born very preterm and exposed to
pre-eclampsia had a significantly increased risk (adjusted odds
ratio 20.37, 95% confidence interval 13.74 to 30.22). Table 4
also shows the adjusted odds ratio for cerebral palsy in children
born small for gestational age in this model. These results did
not change by adjusting for maternal age, parity, smoking in
pregnancy, assisted fertilisation, or sex of the child.

Pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy subtypes
Among children with cerebral palsy, the distribution of subtypes
did not differ between exposed and unexposed children (data
not shown). These results did not change in analyses restricted
to preterm or term birth (data not shown). Furthermore, we
analysed the risk of each individual cerebral palsy subtype after
exposure to pre-eclampsia. Children exposed to pre-eclampsia
had an increased risk of unilateral cerebral palsy (adjusted odds
ratio 2.16, 95% confidence interval 1.41 to 3.30), bilateral
cerebral palsy (3.02, 2.13 to 4.28), and ataxic cerebral palsy
(2.88, 1.03 to 8.10), but no increased risk of dyskinetic cerebral
palsy (1.32, 0.41 to 4.21) compared with unexposed children
(data not shown in tabular form).

Discussion
In this study we found that pre-eclampsia was associated with
an increased risk of cerebral palsy and that the excess risk was
mainly mediated through preterm birth, but also through being
born small for gestational age. Exposed children born at term
as non-small for gestational age did not have an excess risk of
cerebral palsy and we did not find that a specific cerebral palsy
subtype was more common in children exposed to pre-eclampsia
than not exposed. Thus we were not able to find evidence for a
direct effect of pre-eclampsia on the risk of cerebral palsy.

Strengths and limitations of this study
Strengths of our study include the population based design and
the large sample size, the large number of obstetrical and
perinatal information prospectively recorded, and the detailed
information from the cerebral palsy registry. In general, the
observed effects of pre-eclampsia on cerebral palsy in our study
were strong. The possibility that chance may explain our results
is small as indicated by the low P values and the large number
of children included in most analyses. However, few children
had a diagnosis of ataxic and dyskinetic cerebral palsy, and the
results for these subgroups should be interpreted with caution.
Furthermore, we did sensitivity analyses by excluding children born in 1996 to 1998 (different reporting of pre-eclampsia) and by excluding children with congenital malformations and including multiple gestations. These analyses did not change the results. Information bias is unlikely since data on pregnancy and the neonatal period were recorded prospectively in the medical birth registry and data on cerebral palsy were collected independently of the birth registry record when the children were at least 4 years old.

A limitation to our study is that 381 children with cerebral palsy were not included in the cerebral palsy register. This was mainly because of work overload in some of the paediatric habilitation centres and not because of low compliance; only 34 (3.3%) parents did not wish to include their child in the cerebral palsy register.

Since we found no differences in the distribution of cerebral palsy subtypes, gross or fine motor functions between habilitation centres with high or low participation, we consider these dropouts most likely to be at random. Furthermore, it is unlikely that exposure to pre-eclampsia would differ systematically between registered and non-registered children with cerebral palsy. Finally, the misclassification of these 381 children as non-cerebral palsy in our analyses is negligible in the total population of 616,658 reference children. Of the 1113 children with cerebral palsy in the register, we excluded 264 owing to multiple gestations, postneonatal cause for cerebral palsy, and birth abroad. Comparing the children with cerebral palsy who were excluded and included in our final study group revealed no differences in cerebral palsy subtypes or severity. We therefore consider our study group to be representative of the total population of children born with cerebral palsy in Norway between 1996 and 2006.

We cannot exclude that pre-eclampsia was misdiagnosed in the medical birth registry. If present, such misclassification would reduce the odds ratios. However, a validation study for the years 1967-2005 found that 88.3% of pre-eclamptic pregnancies registered in the birth registry agreed with medical records. This study also validated the pre-eclampsia diagnosis in the birth registry to international diagnostic standards and found that in the years 1999 to 2005 82% of the cases fulfilled the international criteria. We therefore consider it unlikely that such misclassification would explain our results. However, we cannot exclude that some milder cases of pre-eclampsia were not registered, potentially leading to an underestimation of the risk of cerebral palsy associated with exposure to pre-eclampsia in children born at term.

Another potential limitation is the use of small for gestational age as a proxy for fetal growth restriction. The 10th centile definition of small for gestational age, even when adjusted for parity and sex, is liable to include constitutionally small children in addition to those who are growth restricted, whereas some babies who have not reached their growth potential will have birth weights above this cut-off. Using a lower cut-off such as the first, third, or fifth centile might have reduced the misclassification of the constitutionally small children as growth restricted but at the cost of a significantly lower number of children who were born small for gestational age and a higher proportion of growth restricted children in the non-small for gestational age group. More sophisticated methods, such as the method proposed by Gardosi et al, could not be applied owing to essential information missing in the medical birth registry.

None the less, misclassification of constitutionally small children as growth restricted, and vice versa, inherent in any birth weight based statistical cut-off is most likely to dilute the differences between small for gestational age and non-small for gestational age children. Thus the impact of being small for gestational age on the risk of cerebral palsy associated with pre-eclampsia is therefore most likely an underestimate of the effect of fetal growth restriction.

Multivariable analyses did not suggest confounding by maternal age, parity, smoking in pregnancy, assisted fertilisation, or sex of the child.

Comparison with other studies

Although pre-eclampsia is associated with an increased risk of fetal growth restriction and small for gestational age births, which in turn is associated with an excess risk of cerebral palsy, our study is apparently the first to report that the association between exposure to pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy may be partly mediated through fetal growth restriction, assessed by the proxy small for gestational age. Moreover, we are not aware of previous studies exploring the association between exposure to pre-eclampsia and specific cerebral palsy subtypes.

The overall increased risk of cerebral palsy after exposure to pre-eclampsia, without consideration of duration of pregnancy, is in agreement with several, but not all, previous studies. In analyses restricted to term birth, the lack of an association between pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy is in line with two large scale cohort studies. However, two studies on children born at term found an increased risk of cerebral palsy associated with pre-eclampsia. Both study designs were case-control that included fewer than 250 children with cerebral palsy. Thus, differences in results concerning children born at term could be explained by study design and study size.

Consistent with our findings, others have reported that very preterm children exposed to pre-eclampsia are at lower risk for cerebral palsy compared with unexposed children born at the same gestational age. Some authors have therefore suggested that pre-eclampsia may have a neuroprotective effect, whereas more recent studies have concluded that the apparent protective effect of pre-eclampsia on cerebral palsy in very preterm infants may be caused by pre-eclampsia having less detrimental effects on the fetal brain than some other causes of spontaneous preterm birth. In our study, among children with cerebral palsy born very preterm and unexposed to pre-eclampsia, 65.9% of mothers went into labour spontaneously. The corresponding proportion in children with cerebral palsy exposed to pre-eclampsia was 13.3%. Several studies have discussed that cerebral palsy associated with very preterm birth in many cases may have the same cause as the preterm birth itself—for example, a low grade intrauterine infection rather than the consequence of being born very prematurely. In our study, very preterm small for gestational age children exposed to pre-eclampsia had a risk of cerebral palsy comparable to unexposed children born at the same duration of pregnancy. Although speculative, this may be compatible with the findings of McElrath et al who reported an increased risk of cranial ultrasound lesions in very preterm children delivered because of fetal growth restriction. It should be emphasised that although the risk of cerebral palsy in very preterm children exposed to pre-eclampsia is reduced compared with unexposed children born at the same gestational length, it is significantly increased compared with unexposed children born at term.

Interpretation of the findings

Firstly, our findings suggest that the association between pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy is mainly mediated through preterm birth and being born small for gestational age. Both the lack of an association between exposure to pre-eclampsia and...
However, our results may suggest that early signs of fetal growth restriction may be a direct effect on the risk of cerebral palsy. Secondly, the lack of an excess risk of dyskinetic cerebral palsy with pre-eclampsia combined with the finding of no association between pre-eclampsia and low Apgar scores (data not shown), may suggest that a possible brain injury caused by pre-eclampsia would antedate delivery rather than arise through birth asphyxia. Several possible mechanisms exist by which pre-eclampsia may increase the risk of cerebral palsy. Most importantly, pre-eclampsia is a frequent cause of preterm birth, known as the strongest predictor for cerebral palsy. Preterm delivery in pre-eclamptic pregnancies is mostly as a result of iatrogenic delivery of a baby to save the mother’s life. Brain insults in very preterm born children exposed to pre-eclampsia may be caused by complications such as intracranial haemorrhages, ischaemic episodes, and infections during birth as well as in the neonatal period, as seen in spontaneously delivered unexposed children. However, intrauterine hypoxaemic episodes due to impaired placental function may also already have caused antenatal brain insults in these children, even in the absence of fetal growth restriction. The reduced risk of cerebral palsy among very preterm born exposed children compared with unexposed children may be explained by a higher prenatal and perinatal morbidity among spontaneous births, where perinatal infections are thought to play an important role.

In children born at term, the course of pre-eclampsia has often been milder, but when resulting in the birth of a small for gestational age infant a reasonable underlying mechanism may be poor placental function and chronic hypoxaemia leading to a brain insult. Thus we speculate that the excess risk of cerebral palsy associated with pre-eclampsia, in addition to the complications of prematurity, may arise through poor placental function with or without growth restriction. Supportive of our interpretation is the comprehension that the pre-eclamptic syndrome consists of several different entities, where the severe and early onset forms differ from late onset disease not just by affecting the mother severely but also by the serious impact on neonatal morbidity. It seems plausible that mild pre-eclampsia in late pregnancy would have little potential for fetal brain injury, whereas pre-eclampsia accompanied by small for gestational age infants reflects a longstanding ischaemic intrauterine environment, which well could result in brain injury and subsequently cerebral palsy in the child.

Conclusion and policy implications

In conclusion we found that exposure to pre-eclampsia was associated with an increased risk of cerebral palsy. However, in addition to being mediated through preterm birth, the risk for cerebral palsy was also increased in children born small for gestational age. Among children born at term, those who were exposed to pre-eclampsia and were born non-small for gestational age did not have an excess risk of cerebral palsy, and pre-eclampsia was not associated with a specific cerebral palsy subtype. These two last findings suggest that pre-eclampsia does not have a direct effect on the risk of cerebral palsy. Among very preterm children, exposure to pre-eclampsia yielded a reduced risk of cerebral palsy, but this was confined only to children who were not born small for gestational age. Delivery of a child from a pre-eclamptic pregnancy is mostly related to the health of the mother or to severe fetal growth restriction. However, our results may suggest that early signs of fetal growth deviation should be taken into account. Further studies are warranted.
What is already known on this topic

Pre-eclampsia is a frequent cause of preterm birth and being born small for gestational age, both of which are known risk factors for cerebral palsy.

Observational studies have shown conflicting results with respect to whether pre-eclampsia is a risk factor for cerebral palsy.

What this study adds

Pre-eclampsia is a risk factor for cerebral palsy mainly mediated through preterm birth and being small for gestational age.

Among term born children exposed to pre-eclampsia only those born small for gestational age had an excess risk of cerebral palsy.

Pre-eclampsia was not associated with specific subtypes of cerebral palsy.
## Tables

Table 1 | Maternal and pregnancy related characteristics reported as number (percentage) in children with or without exposure to pre-eclampsia and with or without cerebral palsy born in Norway 1996-2006

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>No pre-eclampsia</th>
<th>Pre-eclampsia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebral palsy (n=593 777)</td>
<td>Cerebral palsy (n=774)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maternal characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para 0</td>
<td>235 858 (39.7)</td>
<td>343 (44.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoker in pregnancy</td>
<td>76 095 (22.6)</td>
<td>106 (23.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assisted fertilisation</td>
<td>7024 (1.2)</td>
<td>12 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>304 574 (51.3)</td>
<td>448 (57.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age</td>
<td>43 399 (7.5)</td>
<td>111 (15.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Term birth (≥37 weeks)</td>
<td>549 172 (95.4)</td>
<td>504 (67.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA infants born at term</td>
<td>40 854 (7.4)</td>
<td>76 (15.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate preterm birth (32-36 weeks)</td>
<td>23 185 (4.0)</td>
<td>106 (14.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA infants born moderately preterm</td>
<td>2206 (9.5)</td>
<td>19 (17.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very preterm birth (&lt;32 weeks)</td>
<td>3216 (0.6)</td>
<td>137 (18.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SGA infants born very preterm</td>
<td>339 (10.6)</td>
<td>16 (11.9)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SGA = small for gestational age.

18 841 children had missing data on gestational age, 19 460 children had missing data on small for gestational age status.
### Table 2  Unadjusted (model 1) and adjusted odds ratios for cerebral palsy after exposure to pre-eclampsia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Potential mediators</th>
<th>Odds ratios (95% CI)</th>
<th>Model 1*</th>
<th>Model 2†</th>
<th>Model 3‡</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2.52 (1.98 to 3.19)</td>
<td>2.14 (1.67 to 2.74)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.56 to 0.96)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>2.30 (1.91 to 2.76)</td>
<td>1.96 (1.58 to 2.30)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Duration of pregnancy:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37-40 weeks</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-36 weeks</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>5.10 (4.18 to 6.20)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;32 weeks</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>40.71 (33.70 to 49.17)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Unadjusted odds ratio for association between pre-eclampsia and cerebral palsy.
†Adjusted for small for gestational age.
‡Adjusted for small for gestational age and duration of pregnancy.
### Table 3: Prevalence and odds of cerebral palsy according to exposure to pre-eclampsia and small for gestational age, stratified by duration of pregnancy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Duration of pregnancy and exposure</th>
<th>Total No at risk</th>
<th>Cerebral palsy</th>
<th>No cerebral palsy</th>
<th>No of cases of cerebral palsy/1000</th>
<th>Odds ratio (95% CI)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>≥37 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>508228</td>
<td>418</td>
<td>507810</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>14323</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14309</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.19 (0.70 to 2.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>40930</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>40854</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>2.26 (1.77 to 2.89)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2683</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2676</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>3.18 (1.50 to 6.71)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32-36 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>21027</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>20940</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2736</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2724</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>1.06 (0.58 to 1.94)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2225</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2206</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>2.07 (1.26 to 3.41)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>1372</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1363</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>1.59 (0.80 to 3.16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;32 weeks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>2964</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>2845</td>
<td>40.1</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>705</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>692</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>0.45 (0.25 to 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>355</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>45.1</td>
<td>1.13 (0.66 to 1.93)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>499</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>0.74 (0.43 to 1.28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Missing data on gestational age:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>18231</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>18204</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.0 (reference)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>610</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>3.33 (1.01 to 11.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pre-eclampsia</td>
<td>Duration of pregnancy</td>
<td>Adjusted odds ratio (95% CI)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.00 (reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Term</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.28 (0.83 to 1.98)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent Moderate preterm</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.99 (4.05 to 6.16)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Moderate preterm</td>
<td></td>
<td>4.64 (2.98 to 7.23)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Absent Very preterm</td>
<td></td>
<td>45.90 (37.81 to 55.72)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present Very preterm</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.37 (13.74 to 30.22)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small for gestational age</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.95 (1.61 to 2.36)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>