Underdosing of antiretrovirals in UK and Irish children with HIV as an example of problems in prescribing medicines to children, 1997-2005: cohort study
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Abstract

Objective To measure the extent of underdosing of antiretroviral drugs in children.

Design Multicentre cohort study.

Setting Clinical centres in hospitals in the United Kingdom and Ireland in the collaborative HIV paediatric study (CHIPS).

Participants 615 HIV infected children aged 2-12 years receiving antiretrovirals.

Main outcome measures Doses relative to weight and height compared with current recommended doses in 2004 European guidelines.

Results The CHIPS cohort of 934 children comprises 80% of diagnosed HIV infected children in the UK and Ireland between January 1997 and March 2005, of which 66% (615) aged 2-12 years were prescribed antiretrovirals. Actual doses standardised to weight or surface area varied widely across individual drugs, antiretroviral class, and calendar time, with children underdosed (prescribed less than 90% of current recommended doses) from 6-62% child time at risk. Three serious issues in prescribing antiretrovirals, which may also be relevant to paediatric prescribing in general, were identified. Firstly, dosing was inadequate before incorrect recommendations at licensing were later revised when important pharmacokinetic results emerged. Secondly, guidelines stating dosage alternatives (by weight/surface area) for the same drug led to different and inconsistent doses. And, thirdly, ongoing growth was not adjusted for.

Conclusions Largely inadvertently, HIV infected children in the United Kingdom and Ireland have been underdosed with antiretrovirals, highlighting problems applicable throughout paediatric prescribing.

Introduction

Most drugs have limited paediatric pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data, partly due to a longstanding culture of resistance to enrolling children in clinical trials and the genuine difficulties of undertaking paediatric pharmacokinetic studies. Without age specific data, adult doses are often extrapolated without regard for age related differences in drug handling or requirements for effectiveness. Lack of acceptable formulations limits the precision with which doses can be prescribed to children as they grow. Postmarketing pharmacovigilance of most drugs licensed for children is limited at best, without legal obligation to monitor drugs prescribed off label (25% of drugs used in paediatric wards).

Few published studies describe the scale or nature of the obstacles to accurate and effective paediatric prescribing. Antiretroviral prescribing to HIV infected children is a good example of some universal problems.
Methods

The UK and Irish collaborative HIV paediatric study (CHIPS; www.ctu.mrc.ac.uk/studies/chipsasp) collects clinical, laboratory, and drug information from HIV infected children under the care of specialist or general paediatricians in 23 centres in the United Kingdom and Ireland, representing 80% of all known HIV infected children reported to the national study of HIV in pregnancy and childhood. We analysed each dose of antiretroviral prescribed after January 1997 relative to the most recent height and weight measurement, using the formula surface area = √(weight (kg) × height (cm) ÷ 3600). We compared the total daily dose with the current recommended dose (CRD; see bmj.com) defined according to 2004 PENTA guidelines,² to evaluate prescribing relative to current best practice. We compared the adequacy of dose in three time periods—1997-9, when effective treatment became available for children; 2000-2, after results of paediatric pharmacokinetic studies and European prescription guidelines were published; and from 2003 to March 2005. We focussed on the duration of underdosing between ages 2 and 12 years inclusive because drug pharmacokinetics differ substantially in infancy and adolescence.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zidovudine</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamivudine</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>234</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abacavir</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Didanosine</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stavudine</td>
<td>269</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tenofovir</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nevirapine</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Efavirenz</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nelfinavir</td>
<td>223</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ritonavir</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig 1 Proportion of child time taking common antiretrovirals for children aged 2-12 years, showing prescribed dose relative to the CRD. Numbers show total child years at risk; proportions not shown where fewer than 20 child years at risk.

Results

Of 934 children in the CHIPS cohort, 615 (66%) aged 2-12 years were prescribed antiretrovirals (see tables on bmj.com). Subsequent analysis excluded 17 children (3%) from five centres that did not report any dose changes to CHIPS and data from eight rarely prescribed antiretrovirals. Three main classes of antiretrovirals were prescribed—nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors; and protease inhibitors.

Weight (and height) measurements for dose calculations

In total, 8907 weights (median 5.6 (interquartile range 2.7-4.6) per child per year) and 3660 antiretroviral dose changes (1.4 (0.6-2.7) per child per year) were reported from visits to clinic. 2788 (76%) of changes to dose had weight reported on the same date; in 3321 (91%) the most recent weight was within the preceding three months or subsequent six weeks (similarly for height).

Prescribed doses compared with CRD

Doses standardised to weight or surface area varied widely across individual drugs and drug classes in all periods (fig 1). The proportion of time prescribed at less than 90% of the CRD varied between 6% and 62%. Non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors and protease inhibitors were underdosed more than nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitors, particularly in earlier time periods. Three specific patterns and dosing issues are highlighted below.

Underdosing related to changes in dosing recommendations after licensing

Dosing recommendations of the nelfinavir were revised after licensing. Before important postlicensing pharmacokinetic data emerged to show that the original licensed dose (60-90 mg/kg/day) was too low, 62% of child time taking nelfinavir (1997-9) was with doses less than 90% of the CRD (110 mg/kg/day). After this, underdosing fell to 26% in 2000-2 and 18% in 2003-5.

Dose of antiretrovirals that have alternative dosage strategies (surface area or weight)

Original licensing studies for nevirapine dose by surface area (300-400 mg/m² a day), but also extrapolated for dose by weight and age (14 mg/kg/day for ages 7 years and younger else 8 mg/kg/day). The two strategies correspond poorly because of the abrupt change in dose at 8 years old, and because the relation between surface area and weight is not linear. For older children, the CRD based on weight is consistently less than the dose based on surface area (fig 2) whereas the reverse is true for younger children when the dose is above 200 mg daily. Doses calculated from surface area that was estimated from weight, using common charts, were closer to doses calculated from actual surface area alone than doses calculated from weight and age. See bmj.com for details.

Dose prescribing by weight bands

Efavirenz was less commonly underdosed than nevirapine, and had more consistent dosing over calendar time (16% and 17% of child time at less than 90% of the CRD by weight in 2000-2 and 2003-5, respectively, using an approximation of 12.5 mg/kg to...
the complex formula used originally (see table A on bmj.com). Manufacturer's guidelines recommend dosage by weight bands (fig 3), producing a tendency toward underdosing as a child's weight nears the top of each weight band, aggravated by children staying on a lower band despite weight increase.

**Discussion**

We found considerable underdosing of antiretrovirals in the UK and Ireland based on current best evidence. Some antiretrovirals were dosed suboptimally because of inadequate pharmacokinetic data at licensing; other underdosing seems attributable to confusing and inconsistent dosage strategies or to failure to respond to growth, especially at the extremes of weight bands.

Review of medical notes and pharmacy records at one centre (by EM and CW) identified failure to increase the dose with increases in height and weight or rounding down of doses as responsible for about half the underdosing.

Limitations in formulation were responsible for about a third of underdosing (formulation data were not routinely available in CHIPS), and clinical indications or drug interactions for the remainder. Legitimate dose reductions may have been required after toxicity, but these tend to occur less often than for adults who take antiretrovirals.

We have planned further analyses to determine whether underdosing is related to therapeutic response, to evaluate whether the therapeutic index (the ratio of toxic dose to therapeutic dose) of individual drugs affects the extent of misprescribing or effectiveness.

Our study highlights important issues that apply throughout prescribing to children, particularly for other chronic diseases. Drug doses need regular adjustment as children grow, and failure to do so may reduce the benefits of treatment. Child friendly formulations are essential because existing tablet sizes designed for adults limit the precision with which doses can be given to children. Families with young children often prefer small pills (or dispersible, crushable, or scored tablets), rather than unpalatable suspensions with large volumes.

### Fig 2

Recommended daily doses of nevirapine calculated from surface area or weight for each individual measurement of weight and height in CHIPS.

### Fig 3

Dosing based on weight and weight bands for efavirenz. Dotted blue lines show licensed dose bands for capsules or tablets (13-15 kg, 200 mg; 15-20 kg, 250 mg; 20-25 kg, 300 mg; 25-32.5 kg, 350 mg; 32.5-40 kg, 400 mg; more than 40 kg, 600 mg). Capsules available are 50, 100, and 200 mg plus a 600 mg tablet. Efavirenz is also available as a liquid which is not bioequivalent with the capsule but requires higher doses (15 mg/kg). Formulation data were not collected in CHIPS over the study period. One child was taking high doses of efavirenz (>300 mg and >30 mg/kg) to allow for drug interactions.

In conditions without markers of treatment efficacy, inadequate dosing may go undetected until failure of treatment is seen clinically. Treatment of other chronic conditions, such as respiratory diseases of childhood, is largely prescribed off label and is also hampered by insufficient data on safety and efficacy in children. New dosing information that emerges after licensing is too slowly absorbed into clinical practice, even after publication. Where clinical and research networks are well established and integrated (for example, PENTA in Europe, www.pentatrials.org), early dissemination of important new research findings can promptly inform practice.

Drug manufacturers and expert guidelines use a variety of ways to calculate doses of paediatric drugs. In the absence of reasons for variations, simplification and unification of guidelines, with clarity from regulating bodies, would be preferable.

Three key points emerge. Firstly, rigorous pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data for children are needed before drug licensure. Secondly, effective formal systems for early appraisal, dissemination, and implementation of important modifications to treatment recommendations are needed universally.

Thirdly, improved methods of pharmacovigilance are needed to monitor drug utilisation, efficacy and toxicity after drug licensing. The European Union and
What is already known on this topic

The evidence base for prescribing drugs to children lacks sufficient pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic data.

- Adult doses are often extrapolated to children without taking account of potential differences in drug handling with age or dose requirements for effectiveness.
- Licensing data for paediatric dosing are often sparse, and subsequent studies may result in important changes to recommended doses.

What this study adds

HIV infected UK and Irish children have been underdosed with antiretrovirals in the past nine years.

- Poor pharmacokinetic data at licensing results in incorrect drug dosing until important pharmacokinetic results emerge after licensing and inform revision of dosage recommendations.
- Guidelines stating alternative dosage strategies (by weight or surface area) for the same drug lead to different and inconsistent doses.
- Inadequate dosing also arises through failure to adjust for ongoing growth.
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Abstract

Objective To perform prion protein gene (PRNP) codon 129 analysis in DNA extracted from appendix tissue samples that had tested positive for disease associated prion protein.

Design Reanalysis of positive cases identified in a retrospective anonymised unlinked prevalence study of variant Creutzfeldt-Jakob disease (vCJD) in the United Kingdom.

Study samples Three positive appendix tissue samples out of 12 674 samples of appendix and tonsil tested for disease associated prion protein. The patients from whom these samples were obtained were aged 20–29 years at the time of surgery, which took place in 1996–9.

UK Department of Health has launched the Medicines for Children Research Network (www.liv.ac.uk/mcrn), which aims to develop closer links between the drugs industry, regulators, families, and paediatricians, links that will be needed to meet the challenges of developing and manufacturing appropriate paediatric drugs (www.hivforum.org).
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