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Abstract

Objective To determine the effect of a peer led programme for asthma education on quality of life and related morbidity in adolescents with asthma.

Design Cluster randomised controlled trial.

Setting Six high schools in rural Australia.

Participants 272 students with recent wheeze, recruited from a cohort of 1515 students from two school years (mean age 12.5 and 15.5 years); 251 (92.3%) completed the study.

Intervention A structured education programme for peers comprising three steps (the “Triple A Program”).

Main outcome measures Quality of life, school absenteeism, asthma attacks, and lung function.

Results When adjusted for year and sex, mean total quality of life scores showed significant improvement in the intervention than control group. Clinically important improvement in quality of life (>0.5 units) occurred in 25% of students with asthma in the intervention group compared with 12% in the control group (P = 0.01). The number needed to treat was 8 (95% confidence interval 4.5 to 35.7). The effect of the intervention was greatest in students in year 10 and in females. Significant improvements occurred in the activities domain (41% v 28%) and in the emotions domain (39% v 19%) in males in the intervention group. School absenteeism significantly decreased in the intervention group only. Asthma attacks at school increased in the control group only.

Conclusion The triple A programme leads to a clinically relevant improvement in quality of life and related morbidity in students with asthma. Wider dissemination of this programme in schools could play an important part in reducing the burden of asthma in adolescents.

Introduction

Asthma is a major health problem among adolescents. Studies have identified substantial underdiagnosis and poor understanding of asthma management. During adolescence behavioural changes can have an adverse impact on the management of illness because adherence to treatment decreases and medical supervision becomes less consistent. This often leads to frequent absenteeism, hospital admissions, and also exacerbations. Asthma is a major health problem among adolescents.

Participants and methods

We recruited students from six high schools (four government, two independent) in Tamworth, rural New South Wales, Australia. Concealed random allocation was performed using a random number generator and the closed envelope technique. The study was approved by the research ethics committees of the Western Sydney Area Health Service and the Department of School Education.

A video questionnaire was administered to all students in years 7 and 10 who were present on the test day (1379 students) at each school in February 1998. Consenting students reporting recent wheeze were randomly selected from each year 7 and 10 classroom to be included in the study. The triple A programme was then implemented in the three intervention schools, and students completed the same measures in October 1998, three months after the intervention was completed.

Intervention and community support

The triple A programme entrusts young people with the responsibility of educating their peers about asthma. The intervention involved a three step approach to educating and thus empowering students with asthma. In step 1, student volunteers from year 11 in each school were trained as asthma peer leaders during a six hour workshop conducted by the study team. The students learnt how to educate their peers about asthma and its management using games, videos, worksheets, and discussions as teaching tools. In step 2, teams of three to four asthma peer leaders conducted three 45 minute health lessons for each year 10 class in their school. The leaders used the teaching tools to guide students to critically analyse the barriers to asthma management. In step 3, the year 10 students developed and presented key messages learnt in the lessons to the year 7 students. The presentations by the year 10 students included short acts, dramas, and songs, with titles such as “don’t smoke,” “asthma can kill,” and “visit your doctor.”

Before the study all schools received first aid kits for asthma and asthma workshops for their school staff. All students known to have asthma were issued with a record card to be completed by their doctor. In addition, a workshop on adolescent asthma was held for the local doctors, with regular reports of the study in the local print and electronic media.

Measurements

Students who reported recent wheeze completed a validated paediatric asthma quality of life questionnaire, which was the primary outcome measure.
Flow of students through trial

questionnaire contained 23 items organised in three domains: symptoms, activities, and emotional impact of asthma. The mean total score of the questionnaire ranged between 7 (no impairment) and 1 (most severe impairment). Quality of life was also classified as the proportion of students who achieved a clinically important improvement in scores (a change of more than 0.5 units). Students also completed a questionnaire concerning school absenteeism, asthma attacks at school, whether their asthma had been diagnosed by a doctor, and current asthma drugs. Students performed at least three reproducible forced expiratory manoeuvres using a Vitalograph bellows spirometer (Vitalograph, Buckingham, England) before and 10 minutes after inhalation of 200 μg salbutamol through a pressurised metered dose inhaler with a valve holding chamber (Volumatic, Allen and Hanburys, Victoria, Australia). The best forced expiratory volume in one second and forced vital capacity were recorded, compared with predicted values, and the response to the bronchodilator calculated as the percentage change from the baseline value.

**Analysis**—The effect of the intervention was described by the change within subjects from baseline to after the intervention and compared between groups using a two way analysis of variance with repeated measures. We also used the χ² statistics, McNemar’s test, and the Wilcoxon signed rank test, where appropriate.

**Results**

Overall 1379 (91%) students completed the asthma screening questionnaire; 325 reported recent wheeze and 272 (83.7%) participated in baseline testing (figure). Matched data at both baseline and after the intervention were available for 251 students.

More females were in the intervention than control group (74 (65%) and 63 (46%), respectively). This occurred because cluster randomisation was used to assign the schools to study groups. At baseline, students reported mild to moderate impairment of quality of life due to asthma (table 1), with females reporting greater impairment than males (5.3 v 5.7, respectively).

**Quality of life**

The intervention group improved by on average 0.12 units more than the control group. A clinically relevant improvement in quality of life was reported by 25% (28 students) of students with asthma in the intervention group compared with 12% in the control group. In the intervention group significant improvements in quality of life scores occurred in the activities domain but not in the symptoms and emotions domains. Male students showed a significant improvement in the emotions domain (table 2).

**Morbidity from asthma**

After the intervention there was a significant decrease in the median number of days absent from school (8 v 5 days) in year 10 students in the intervention group, with no significant difference in the control group (5.5 v 4 days). The proportion of students reporting asthma attacks at school in year 10 increased in the control group (21.2% v 34.8%). No change was found in the intervention group (24.2% v 25.8%). The intervention had no effect on school absenteeism and asthma attacks in year 7 students. At baseline both the intervention and control groups had good lung function (table 1). At follow up there was an overall improvement in lung function in both groups, with no intervention effect.

**Discussion**

A structured programme for asthma education led by peers can lead to an improvement in self reported quality of life in adolescents with asthma. On average eight students would need to be educated by their peers for one student with asthma to report a clinically significant improvement in quality of life. The education programme influenced different domains in males and females. For males the effect of the intervention was most apparent in the emotions domain, for females the activities domain. This is of clinical importance because the differences between sexes indicate areas that need to be targeted.

The paediatric asthma quality of life questionnaire has been evaluated and reported to be a reliable instrument that is responsive to change and discriminates among asthmatics with varying impairments caused by asthma. The assessment of quality of life in adolescents with asthma was complicated by several factors. Firstly, intermittent symptoms of asthma and seasonal varia-
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**Table 1** Characteristics of students with wheeze in control and intervention groups. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control group (n=138)</th>
<th>Intervention group (n=113)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Year 7</td>
<td>Year 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No of students</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>31 (44)</td>
<td>32 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean age (years)</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>15.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asthma diagnosed by doctor</td>
<td>51 (72)</td>
<td>46 (69)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean percentage predicted change in forced expiratory volume in one second</td>
<td>99.2</td>
<td>105.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean percentage change in forced expiratory volume in one second</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>89.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) total quality of life score</td>
<td>5.7 (1.3)</td>
<td>5.5 (1.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking inhaled corticosteroids</td>
<td>25 (35)</td>
<td>20 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking bronchodilator alone</td>
<td>18 (25)</td>
<td>20 (30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taking asthma drugs</td>
<td>43 (61)</td>
<td>40 (60)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
tions could have influenced fluctuations in their quality of life. The baseline study was undertaken in February (end of summer) whereas the other data were collected in mid-October (spring) to meet the schools’ timetables. Secondly, the respondents did not always use the same activities (35 options) when completing the quality of life questionnaires at baseline and after testing. Finally, contamination was possible because there was community awareness of the study. This could have introduced a bias, known as the “Hawthorne effect,” resulting in perceived improvements in the control group.

The intervention had no effect on lung function. These results are consistent with studies of asthma education that have shown improvement in quality of life after asthma education without changes in lung function.16 17

Interventions using peer education may have a higher chance of success in adolescence than other types of interventions.18 In a meta-analysis of 143 programmes in drug prevention in adolescents, the effect size was largest for peer teaching programmes than for other teaching strategies.19 Young people seem to prefer peers for advice, and change is more likely to occur if someone they can relate to or perceive as a role model relays the message. Additionally, peer educators enhance the programme’s effect by directing peer pressure in a positive direction.5 The triple A programme is the first peer led programme in asthma education utilising senior students as educators to show improvement in quality of life in students with asthma. This builds on our earlier work, which showed that the programme is well received by adolescents and improves knowledge about asthma and its management.20 Wider dissemination of this programme in schools could play an important part in reducing the burden of asthma in adolescents.
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Table 2 Percentage of students with clinically significant improvement in quality of life (>0.5 units) in control and intervention groups. Values are numbers (percentages) unless stated otherwise

What is already known on this topic

Asthma is a major health problem among adolescents in Australia

This age group’s understanding of asthma is generally poor, adherence to treatment is decreased, and medical supervision is less consistent; these are compounded by a poor understanding of asthma management.

What this study adds

The triple A programme addresses asthma self management through peer led education and can play an important part in reducing the burden of asthma in adolescents

Eight students need to be educated by their peers for one student with asthma to report a significant improvement in quality of life.